



## Interoffice Memo

**DATE:** December 11, 2019

**FROM:** Curtis Scott, Transportation Services Procurement Manager

**TO:** Treasury Young, Procurement Administrator

**SUBJECT** RFQ-484-052819, Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract #8 – PI# 0015688, Butts County Ranking Approval

The Office of Procurement's Transportation Services Procurement Section has reviewed and evaluated Statements of Qualifications, Technical Approach, and Past Performance for the above referenced project.

Attached for your review is one (1) set of the following:

- Advertisement and all Addendums
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase I
- GDOT Guide for Selection Committee Members (Phase I and II)
- Preliminary Ratings and Comments from Evaluators
- Selection Committee Ratings for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection Committee Comments for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection of Finalists Notification and Notice to Selected Finalists
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase II
- Area Class Checklist
- Selection Committee Overall Ratings for Phase I and Phase II
- Selection Committee Comments for Finalists – Phase II
- Past Performance Reference Checks and any available additional documentation
- Verification of Non-Debarment from SAM Website for Intended Awardee and Team
- Prequalification Certificate for Intended Awardee

The five (5) highest firms in order of ranking are as follows:

1. **Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**
2. **Mott MacDonald LLC**
3. **Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.**
4. **CHA Consulting, Inc.**
5. **Pond & Company**

The Selection Committee recommends the selection of the top ranked firm, **Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**

Concurrence with Award from Responsible Division Director:

Certification Procurement Requirements Met:

  
Albert Shelby, Director of Program Delivery

  
Treasury Young, Procurement Administrator

CS:hrh

Attachments



Georgia Department of Transportation

## **Georgia Department of Transportation**

**Request for Qualifications**

**To Provide**

**Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services**

**RFQ-484-052819**

**Qualifications Due: May 28, 2019**

**Georgia Department of Transportation  
One Georgia Center  
600 West Peachtree Street, NW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308**

# REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

484-052819

## Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services

### I. General Project Information

#### A. Overview

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is soliciting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) from qualified firm(s) to provide Engineering Design Consultant Services for the projects listed below (note that certain projects may be grouped with other projects and awarded as one (1) contract):

Contract	County	PI #	Project Description
1	Glynn	0014914	CR 583/SEA ISLAND ROAD @ DUNBAR CREEK ON ST SIMONS ISLAND
2	Butts	0016126	SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON (Bridge Design in-house)
	Butts	0016127	SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
3	McDuffie & Wilkes	0016128	SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON
4	Monroe	0016129	SR 18 @ NS #718484D 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
	Jones & Monroe	0016130	SR 18 @ OCMULGEE RIVER 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
5	Monroe	0013120	SR 74 @ SR 42
6	Chatham	0015151	SR 204 FROM SR 21 TO CS 1201/RIO ROAD @ 25 LOCS
7	Baldwin	0015667	SR 22 @ SR 24
8	Butts	0015688	SR 16 @ CR 291/ENGLAND CHAPEL ROAD
9	Muscogee	0015690	SR 22/US 80 @ SR 22 SPUR

This Request for Qualifications (RFQ) seeks to identify potential providers for the Scope of Services for the project/contract listed in Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-9. Firms that respond to this RFQ, and are determined by GDOT to be sufficiently qualified, may be deemed eligible, and invited to offer a technical approach and/or possibly present and/or interview for these services. All respondents to this RFQ are subject to instructions communicated in this document, and are cautioned to completely review the entire RFQ and follow instructions carefully. GDOT reserves the right to reject any or all Statements of Qualifications or Technical Approach, and to waive technicalities and informalities at the discretion of GDOT.

#### B. IMPORTANT- A RESTRICTION OF COMMUNICATION IS IN EFFECT FOR THIS PROJECT.

From the advertisement date of this solicitation until successful respondents are selected and the award is made official and announced, firms are not allowed to communicate about this solicitation or scope with any staff of GDOT including the Commissioner and GDOT Board Members, except for the submission of questions as instructed in the RFQ, or with the contact designated in **RFQ Section VIII.C.**, or as provided by any existing work agreement(s). For violation of this provision, GDOT reserves the right to reject the submittal of the offending respondent.

#### C. The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation  
Equal Opportunity Division  
One Georgia Center, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
600 West Peachtree Street, NW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
Phone: (404) 631-1972

#### **D. Scope of Services**

Under the terms of the resulting Agreements, the selected consultants will provide full engineering design services as well as associated engineering related services, for the GDOT Project identified. The anticipated scope of work for the project/contract is included in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-9**.

In addition, GDOT desires that the Consultant have the ability to provide, either with its own forces or through a sub-consultant team member, comprehensive services necessary to fulfill all preliminary engineering services which may arise during the project cycle.

#### **E. Contract Term and Type**

GDOT anticipates one (1) Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract to be awarded to one (1) firm, for the project/contract identified. GDOT anticipates that the Contract Type will be paid via Firm Fixed Price and/or Cost Plus Fixed Fee methodology. As a Project Specific contract, it is the Department's intention that the Agreements will remain in effect until successful completion of the preliminary engineering phase of the projects, and may choose to utilize the selected consultant for use on construction revisions as necessary.

#### **F. Contract Amount**

The Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract amount will be determined via negotiations with the Department. If the Department is unable to reach a satisfactory agreement and at reasonable rates to be paid for the services to be provided, the Department reserves the right to terminate negotiations with the highest scoring finalist and begin negotiations with the next highest scoring finalist.

## **II. Selection Method**

### **A. Method of Communication**

All general communication of relevant information regarding this solicitation will be made via the Georgia Procurement Registry (GPR) under RFQ-484-052819. All firms are responsible for checking the GPR on a regular basis for updates, clarifications, and announcements. GDOT reserves the right to communicate via electronic-mail with the primary contact listed in the Statements of Qualifications. Other specific communications will be made as indicated in the remainder of this RFQ.

### **B. Phase I - Selection of Finalists**

Based on the Statements of Qualifications submitted in response to the projects/contracts listed in this RFQ, the Selection Committee will review the **Experience and Qualifications** and **Resources and Workload Capacity** listed in **Section IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I**. The Selection Committee will discuss the top submittals and the final rankings of the top submittals will be determined. From the final rankings of the top submittals, the Selection Committee will identify three (3) to five (5) firms which will be shortlisted.

All firms must meet the minimum requirements as listed in **Section IV.A.** below.

### **C. Finalist Notification for Phase II**

Firms selected and shortlisted as finalists will receive notification and final instructions from GDOT regarding the **Phase II – Technical Approach** response.

#### D. Phase II - Finalists Response on Technical Approach and Past Performance

GDOT will request a **Technical Approach** of the three (3) to five (5) finalist firms for the project/contract. GDOT reserves the right to request a presentation/interview on any project/contract as determined in its best interests; however, this additional requirement shall typically be reserved for the most complex projects. Each finalist firm shall be notified in writing and informed of the Technical Approach due date. Any additional detailed Technical Approach instructions and requirements, beyond that provided in **Section V. Selection Criteria for Phase II**, for the finalists will be provided in the Finalist Notification. All members of the Selection Committee will review the Technical Approach (and will attend the presentation/interview if so chosen). **Firms shall not address any questions, prior to the award announcement, to anyone other than the designated contact.**

#### E. Final Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. The Selection Committee will discuss the Finalist's Phase II Responses and the final rankings will be determined.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm(s) to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract(s), including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm(s), GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

### III. Schedule of Events

The following Schedule of Events represents GDOT's best estimate of the Schedule that will be followed. All times indicated are prevailing times in Atlanta, Georgia. GDOT reserves the right to adjust the Schedule as GDOT deems necessary.

PHASE I	DATE	TIME
a. GDOT issues public advertisement of <b>RFQ-484-052819</b>	4/26/2019	-----
b. Deadline for submission of written questions and requests for clarification	5/13/2019	2:00 PM
c. Deadline for submission of Statements of Qualifications	5/28/2019	2:00 PM
d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms	TBD	
PHASE II		
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	TBD	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	TBD	TBA

### IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I - Criteria for Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

#### A. Area Class Requirements and Certification

Presented teams must be prequalified in the indicated Area Class(es) in order to be evaluated. Required proof of prequalification shall be submitted as indicated in **Section VI.B.4.** below. All Submittals will be pre-screened to verify that the Prime consultant has the required Area Class(es) and that the overall team has the required Area Class(es). Any submittal in which the Prime consultant or the overall team area class requirements are not met will be disqualified from further consideration.

Each submittal will require a certification to allow the Department to analyze risks in determining if any Firm should be ineligible for award. The certification shall cover a wide variety of information. Any firm which responds in any potentially concerning manner must provide additional information as directed herein for consideration by GDOT to determine if Firm is eligible for award.

**B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%**

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Experience and Qualifications, which shall account for a total of thirty (30%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring Phase I of the evaluation will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager education, registration, relevant engineering experience, relevant project management experience, experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
2. Key Team Leaders' education, registration, relevant technical experience, and relevant experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
3. Prime Consultant's experience in delivering projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.

**C. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%**

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Resources availability and Workload Capacity which shall account for a total of twenty (20%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring the Resources and Workload Capacity will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager Workload
2. Workload capacity of Key Team Leader(s)
3. Resources dedicated to delivering project
4. Ability to Meet Project Schedule

**V. Selection Criteria for Phase II - Criteria for Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance**

**A. Technical Approach – 40%**

The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms (Finalists) on their Technical Approach, which shall account for a total of forty (40%) percent. The Selection Committee shall utilize the following additional criteria for scoring Phase II of the evaluation to determine the highest ranked/most qualified (**NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward and combined with the scores from the Phase II to determine the final ranking of Finalists**):

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

**B. Past Performance – 10%**

The Selection Committee may consider information provided via references provided for relevant projects, knowledge any selection committee member has of performance on relevant projects, and performance evaluations or knowledge presented on GDOT projects. The Selection Committee will consider all factors in their totality and score from 0 to 10 when arriving at a final score for the Past Performance.

## **VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response**

The Statements of Qualifications submittal must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section VIII, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

**Cover page** – Each project/contract submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each submittal for each project/contract and each must list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

### **A. Administrative Requirements**

It is required to submit the information below for each copy of each submittal. This is general information and will not be scored but may be used to determine eligibility for selection. **Under Administrative Requirements section, only submit the information requested; additional information will be subject to disqualification of your firm.**

#### 1. **Basic company information:**

- a. **Company name.**
- b. **Company Headquarter Address.**
- c. **Contact Information** – Name and all contact information (telephone number(s) and e-mail address) of primary proposing contact (this will be the individual with whom the Department will direct all communications).
- d. **Company website** (if available).
- e. **Georgia Addresses** - Identify and provide addresses for the offices located in the State of Georgia.
- f. **Staff** - List the number and disciplines of staff members employed in each office in the State of Georgia.
- g. **Ownership** - Provide form of ownership, including state of residency or incorporation, and number of years in business. Is the Offeror a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability Corporation, or other structure?

2. **Certification Form** - Complete the Certification Form (*Exhibit "II" enclosed with RFQ*), and provide a notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
3. **Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit** – Complete the form (*Exhibit "III" enclosed with RFQ*), and provide a notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
4. **Addenda** - Signed cover page of any Addenda issued for the Prime **ONLY**.

### **B. Experience and Qualifications**

1. **Project Manager** - Provide information pertaining to the project manager, including but not limited to:
  - a. **Education.**
  - b. **Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
  - c. **Relevant engineering experience.**
  - d. **Relevant project management experience** for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.
  - e. **Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (Plan Development Process, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.).

**This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.**



2. **Key Team Leaders** - Provide experience of Key Team Leaders (defined as those individuals who oversee project areas determined as particularly important to each specific project, refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I, specifically Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Project). For each Key Team Leader identified provide:
- Education.**
  - Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
  - Relevant experience** in the applicable resource area of the most relevant projects.
  - Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.) which are specific to the key team leader's area.

**This information is limited to one (1) page maximum for each Key Team Leader identified in Section 7 of each Exhibit I. Respondents submitting more than one (1) page for each Key Team Leader identified will be subject to disqualification. Respondents who provide more Key Team Leaders than what is outlined in the requirement will be subject to disqualification as this would provide an advantage over firms who complied with the requirement and had the required number of Key Team Leaders. Respondents who do not provide the required Key Team Leaders will be subject to disqualification as this does not meet the requirements of the project and therefore would deem the respondent and its team unqualified for the award.**

3. **Prime Experience** - Provide information on the prime's experience and ability in delivering effective services for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function, which demonstrate the firm's capabilities to provide services for GDOT. For each project, the following information should be provided:
- Client name, project location and dates** during which services were performed.
  - Description of overall project and services performed** by your firm.
  - Duration of project services provided** by your firm, and overall project budget.
  - Experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.)
  - Client(s) current contact information** including contact names and telephone numbers.
  - Involvement of Key Team Leaders** on the projects.

**This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.**

4. **Area Class Summary Form and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications** - Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their sub-consultants, who are considered team members. Prime Consultants and their sub-consultant team members must meet the Area Class requirements listed in Exhibit I for each project on which they apply. In regards to the required Area Classes, for each project/contract on which they apply, respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in Exhibit IV) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes and firm's meeting the area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. If a team member's prequalification will expire prior to the due date of the SOQs, documentation must be provided which shows that the firm has submitted its application for prequalification prior to the SOQ due date. The team must maintain its prequalification certification in order to be considered eligible for award if selected. **Additionally, respondents should submit the Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications (for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants for each project) issued by GDOT and attach after the Area Class summary form.**

**This information is limited to the one page for the Area Class table (unless the project needs require an extensive list of area classes) and the required Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications.**



**C. Resources/Workload Capacity**

1. **Overall Resources** - Provide information regarding the overall resources dedicated to delivering the specific project, including:
  - a. **Organizational chart** which identifies the project manager, prime, Key Team Leaders, support personnel, and reporting structure. This chart may be submitted on a 11" x 17" page. **(Excluded from the page count)**
  - b. **Primary Office** - Identify and discuss the primary office which will be responsible for handling the specific project and the number and types of staff within the office and how this office could benefit the project and promote efficiency. **This information to be included on the one (1) page allowed combined with the Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability.**
  - c. **Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability** – Respondents are to provide information regarding additional resource areas identified as important to the project, to discuss how the key areas will integrate and work together on the project, to discuss any information which is pertinent to these areas, to provide a narrative regarding how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. (GDOT recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project loads.) Respondents may discuss the advantages of your team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule as identified in **Exhibit I** (where applicable). If there is no proposed schedule, discuss the advantages of the team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. **Respondents submitting more than the one (1) page allowed (combined for C1.b. and C1.c.), will be subject to disqualification.**
  
2. **Project Manager Commitment Table** - Provide a list of ALL projects (GDOT, other governments and private contracts – Information may be validated and any firm determined not to be listing all projects may be subject to disqualification) on which the proposed project manager is currently committed, to enable the Department to ascertain the project manager's availability. Utilize a table similar to the following format with a minimum of all criteria indicated to provide the requested information:

Project Manager	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of PM on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

3. **Key Team Leader Project Commitment Table** - Provide a table similar to the below, with a minimum of all criteria indicated, which identifies ALL projects the Key Team Leaders (refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I**, specifically **Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Project) are committed on to enable the Department to ascertain the available capacity.

Key Team Leader	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of Key Team Leader on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

**This information is limited to the organization chart (excluded from page count), one (1) page combined of text (for both the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability), and the tables.**

## **VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response**

The following information will only be requested of the shortlisted firms. The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms using the information provided as requested below (NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward to Phase II):

The Phase II response must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section IX, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

**Phase II Cover page** – Each submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each Phase II submittal and each must indicate the response is for Phase II, list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

### **A. Technical Approach**

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

**This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.**

### **B. Past Performance**

**No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.**

Past performance may be evaluated through the checking of project references for the proposed project manager as well as the firm. The Department will check these references at random. For this reason, attention should be paid to the references provided to ensure that the contact information provided is accurate and the individual references are reachable. Other past performance information which may be utilized includes GDOT consultant performance ratings as well as knowledge that any member of the Selection Committee has pertaining to the past performance of the firm on any project.

## **VIII. Instructions for Submittal for Phase I - Statements of Qualifications**

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VI, entitled Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response**. See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

**NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification.** Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications - Phase I Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

Statements of Qualifications submittals must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm's full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract number being submitted on. To submit your Statement of Qualification click the following Links:

Contract 1: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%201%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%201%20)

Contract 2: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%202%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%202%20)

Contract 3: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%203%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%203%20)

Contract 4: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%204%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%204%20)

Contract 5: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%205%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%205%20)

Contract 6: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%206%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%206%20)

Contract 7: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%207%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%207%20)

Contract 8: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%208%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%208%20)

Contract 9: [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%209%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%209%20)

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folayan Battle at [fbattle@dot.ga.gov](mailto:fbattle@dot.ga.gov).**

Statements of Qualifications **must be received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in the Schedule of Events (*Section III of RFQ*).

**No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.**

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

### C. Questions and Requests for Clarification

Questions about any aspect of the RFQ, or the project, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: [fbattle@dot.ga.gov](mailto:fbattle@dot.ga.gov)**. The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the RFQ are the times and dates shown in the (**Schedule of Events- Section III**). From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B**.

## IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response

**THESE INSTRUCTIONS ARE INTENDED SOLELY FOR THOSE FIRMS IDENTIFIED AND NOTIFIED AS FINALISTS. Final Instructions will be provided to the Finalists in the notification.**

**Please note that each project/contract will follow an individual schedule which meets the availability of each Selection Committee. For this reason, the Notice to Selected Finalists and resulting Phase II responses may be on different schedules for each project/contract.**

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VII**, entitled **Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response - Phase II Response**. See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

**NOTE:** Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section **should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification**. Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response-Phase II Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

- C. **Technical Approach submittal must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm's full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract being submitted on. To submit your Technical Approach click the following Links:**

**Contract 1:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%201%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%201%20)  
**Contract 2:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%202%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%202%20)  
**Contract 3:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%203%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%203%20)  
**Contract 4:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%204%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%204%20)  
**Contract 5:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%205%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%205%20)  
**Contract 6:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%206%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%206%20)  
**Contract 7:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%207%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%207%20)  
**Contract 8:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%208%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%208%20)  
**Contract 9:** [mailto:tsp\\_soq\\_tech\\_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%209%20](mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%209%20)

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folan Battle at [fbattle@dot.ga.gov](mailto:fbattle@dot.ga.gov).**

**Technical Approach must be received by GDOT prior to the deadline indicated in Notice to Selected Finalists.**

**No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.**

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

**No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.**

Responses submitted via facsimile or e-mail will be rejected. All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.



GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

#### D. Questions and Requests for Clarification

Questions about any aspect of the Phase II Response for Finalists, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: [fbattle@dot.ga.gov](mailto:fbattle@dot.ga.gov) or as directed in the Notice to Selected Finalists, if different.** The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the Phase II Response will be identified in the Notice to Selected Finalists. From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B.**

### X. GDOT Terms and Conditions

#### A. Statement of Agreement

With the submission of a SOQ, the respondent agrees that he/she has carefully examined the Request for Qualifications, and agrees that it is the respondent's responsibility to request clarification on any issues in any section of the Request for Qualifications with which the respondent disagrees or needs clarified. The respondent also understands that failure to mention these items during the question period or in the SOQ will be interpreted to mean that the respondent is in full agreement with the terms, conditions, specifications and requirements in the therein. With submission of a SOQ, the respondent hereby certifies: (a) that this SOQ is genuine and is not made in the interest or on behalf of any undisclosed person, firm, or corporation; (b) that respondent has not directly or indirectly included or solicited any other respondent to put in a false or insincere SOQ; (c) that respondent has not solicited or induced any person, firm, or corporation to refrain from sending a SOQ.

The respondent also understands that failure to provide required information may result in disqualification. Failure to provide administrative information may not result in disqualification. At the Department's discretion, the Department may notify the respondent that administrative information is not provided or there was an error in the information provided, **and** the Department will allow a respondent to provide an update to the administrative information. However, the exception to this is the provision of the required **GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT**, which by Georgia Law requires disqualification of the response. The above changes mentioned to administrative information would be considered allowable as these would be limited to changes which **do not** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents. Failure of a respondent to provide the specific administrative information as required in the notice will result in disqualification. Any respondent who provides changes in addition to the information requested in the notice shall be subject to disqualification. Failure of a respondent's SOQ to provide any information pertaining to a respondent and its teams qualifications, of any type, will subject the SOQ to disqualification. The Department will not allow updates to qualifications to be provided to avoid disqualification as this would allow a respondent to modify its SOQ and alter the information which evaluators would score. The above changes related to qualifications would not be allowable as these would allow changes which **do** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents SOQ.

#### B. Joint-Venture Proposals, Sub-Consultants, and Vendors

GDOT does not generally desire to enter into "joint-venture" agreements with multiple firms. In the event two or more firms desire to "joint-venture", it is strongly recommended that one incorporated firm propose and maintain status as the Program Management firm with the remaining firms participating as major firms. Any joint-venture, proposed and established as a separate business entity, should have its own set of books and supporting documentation sufficient for an audit trail. Transactions should be recorded consistent with the joint-venture agreement, and care must be taken to ensure that the joint-venture bears its equitable share of the costs. Therefore, "unpopulated joint-ventures" would not have an adequate accounting system suitable for cost reimbursement contracts.

However more traditional "populated joint-ventures" are welcomed. A populated joint-venture is where an alliance is brought to life by infusing it with working capital, employees, and control systems. The alliance implements all necessary business systems, including payroll processing, purchasing, property control, etc. The alliance will develop its own indirect rate structure and calculates its own indirect cost rates, based on the direct and indirect costs it incurs.

Sub-Consultants shall generally be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically requires prequalification, which is subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as costs. Sub-Consultant Team Members must be written into the resulting Agreement and are subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement. Vendors shall be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically does not require prequalification, which is not subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as direct expenses. Vendors may not be written into the resulting Agreement and may not be subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement.

### C. Non-Discrimination and DBE Requirements

The Georgia Department of Transportation in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 78 Stat. 252, 42 USC 2000d-42 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, part 21, Nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all proposers that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, minority business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

**The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.**

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation  
Equal Opportunity Division  
One Georgia Center, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
600 West Peachtree Street, NW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
Phone: (404) 631-1972

### D. Audit and Accounting System Requirements

GDOT reserves the right to reject any proposal with firms that do not meet the following requirements:

1. Firm(s) should have an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
2. Any firm that currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000 should have submitted their yearly CPA overhead audit.
3. Firm(s) should have no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
4. The prime is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

### E. Submittal Costs and Confidentiality

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the respondent submitting the response. The Department is not obligated to any respondent to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of the Department. Labeling information provided in submittals as "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until a final award.



## F. Award Conditions

This request is not an offer to contract or a solicitation of bids. This request and any proposal submitted in response, regardless of whether the proposal is determined to be the best proposal, is not binding upon the Department and does not obligate the Department to procure or contract for any services. Neither the Department nor any respondent submitting a response will be bound unless and until a written contract mutually accepted by both parties is negotiated as to its terms and conditions and is signed by the Department and a respondent containing such terms and conditions as are negotiated between those parties. The Department reserves the right to waive non-compliance with any requirements of this Request for Qualifications and to reject any or all proposals submitted in responses. Upon review of responses, the Department will determine the respondent(s) proposal that in the sole judgment of the Department is in the best interest of the Department (if any is so determined), with respect to the evaluation criteria stated herein. The Department then intends to conduct negotiations with such respondent(s) to determine if an acceptable contract may be reached.

## G. Debriefings

In lieu of Pre-Award and Post-Award debriefings, it shall be the Department's policy to provide the "Selection Package" at the time of the Selection Announcement (also referred to as the Announcement of Entering into Negotiations). The "Selection Package" will include the scores and comments of phases for all firms who responded and will typically be provided as a PDF file and e-mailed. Previously, pre-award debriefings only provided the scores and comments of the firm. It shall be the policy of the Department that all debriefings will typically be conducted in writing.

## H. Right to Cancel or Change RFQ

GDOT reserves the right to cancel any and all Request for Qualifications where it is determined to be in the best interest of the Department to do so. GDOT reserves the right to increase, reduce, add or delete any item in this solicitation as deemed necessary.

It is the responsibility of all firms interested in submitting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) for this advertisement to routinely check the posting on the Georgia Procurement Registry for any revisions to this RFQ.

## I. Substitutions, Alternates, Exceptions, and Extensions

No substitutions or alternates will be accepted for this solicitation. Any respondent submitting substitutions or alternates will be considered non-responsive and will not be considered for award.

## J. GDOT Code of Conduct Pertaining to Conflict of Interest in the Award and Administration of Contracts

Pursuant to GDOT Policy 3A-17, any GDOT employee who leaves the employment of the Department and subsequently becomes employed with a consultant firm and whose duties while employed with the Department included the direct involvement with the negotiation, administration, or management of a contract in which the firm is either the primary consultant or a sub-consultant **SHALL NOT** be authorized to work on that contract as an employee of that firm for a period of one (1) year after their employment ends.

Additionally, on July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year, any consultant firm that is under contract with the Department as a prime or sub consultant shall provide to the Department's Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) a current list of all former Department employees employed by the firm and a document that certifies the responsibilities of those employees as it relates to the current contracts with the Department. This certification document shall attest to the fact that over the last year no former Department employee that is employed by their firm has worked on a contract between the Department and their firm where that employee, when employed by the Department, had direct involvement with the selection, award and/or administration of the consultant contract. Any consultant firm entering into a contract with the Department for the first time as a prime or sub consultant shall provide the initial required list of former Department employees and certification prior to the contract effective date. If the Department's CPO determines at any point during a contract that an actual conflict exists as it relates to the above paragraph, then the CPO shall have the authority to issue a stop work order on that contract.

**EXHIBIT I-1**

**Contract 1**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Number: 0014914
3. County: Glynn
4. Description: CR 583/SEA ISLAND ROAD @ DUNBAR CREEK ON ST SIMONS ISLAND
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way (ROW) plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

### A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

### B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Practical Alternatives Review (PAR) Activities.
5. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

### C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
  - a. Categorical Exclusion.
  - b. Environmental Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact (EA/FONSI).
  - c. Section 4f coordination.
  - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).

### D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
  - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
  - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
  - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
  3. BFI Report.
  4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
  5. Constructability Meeting participation.
  6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
  7. Location and Design Report.
  8. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
  2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
    - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
    - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
    - c. Final ESPCP.
    - d. Final Utility Plans.
    - e. Final Staging Plans.
    - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
  2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
  4. CES Final cost estimate.
  5. Final PS&E Package.
  6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction;
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
  2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
  - B. Bridge Design.
  - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q4 FY 2020.
  - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q1 FY 2021 (about 4 months duration).
  - C. PFPR – Q2 FY 2022.
  - D. FFPR – Q3 FY 2023.
  - E. Let Contract – Q1 FY 2024.

**EXHIBIT I- 2**

**Contract 2**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016126 and 0016127
3. County: Butts
4. Description: SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON and  
SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way (ROW) plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

Bridge design and H&H activities will be performed by GDOT's Bridge Design Office for PI# 0016126 only. The Consultant will be responsible for the bridge design and H&H on PI# 0016127; the BFI for both bridges, and all non-bridge hydraulics for both projects.

The Consultant shall provide:

### A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

### B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.
7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

### C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
  - a. Categorical Exclusion.
  - b. EAFONSI.
  - c. Section 4f coordination.
  - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

### D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
  - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
  - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.



- f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
    2. Bridge Hydraulic Study (for PI# 0016127 only).
    3. BFI Report (both bridges).
    4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
    5. Constructability Meeting participation.
    6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
    7. Location and Design Report.
    8. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  - E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
    1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
    2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
  - F. Utilities:
    - Subsurface Utility Engineering.
  - G. Final Design:
    1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
      - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
      - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
      - c. Final ESPCP.
      - d. Final Utility Plans.
      - e. Final Staging Plans.
      - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4.
    2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
    3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
    4. CES Final cost estimate.
    5. Final PS&E Package.
    6. Amendments & Revisions.
  - H. Construction:
    1. Use on Construction Revisions.
    2. Review Shop Drawings.
  - I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
  - J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
  - B. Bridge Design.
  - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
  - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
  - C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
  - D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
  - E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.

**EXHIBIT I- 3**

**Contract 3**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016128
3. Counties: McDuffie and Wilkes
4. Description: SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

## A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

## B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.
7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

## C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
  - a. Categorical Exclusion.
  - b. EA/FONSI.
  - c. Section 4f coordination.
  - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

## D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
  - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
  - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
  - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
3. BFI Report.

4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
  5. Constructability Meeting participation.
  6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
  7. Location and Design Report.
  8. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
  2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
    - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
    - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
    - c. Final ESPCP.
    - d. Final Utility Plans.
    - e. Final Staging Plans.
    - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
  2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
  4. CES Final cost estimate.
  5. Final PS&E Package.
  6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
  2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
  - B. Bridge Design.
  - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
  - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
  - C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
  - D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
  - E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.

**EXHIBIT I-4****Contract 4**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016129 and 0016130
3. Counties: Monroe & Jones
4. Description: SR 18 @ NS #718484D 13 MI E OF FORSYTH and  
SR 18 @ OCMULGEE RIVER 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design (OR)
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

## A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

## B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. PAR Activities.
5. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

## C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
  - a. Categorical Exclusion.
  - b. EA/FONSI.
  - c. Section 4f coordination.
  - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

## D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
  - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
  - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
  - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.



3. BFI Report.
  4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
  5. Constructability Meeting participation.
  6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
  7. Location and Design Report.
  8. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
  2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
    - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
    - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
    - c. Final ESPCP.
    - d. Final Utility Plans.
    - e. Final Staging Plans.
    - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
  2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
  4. CES Final cost estimate.
  5. Final PS&E Package.
  6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
  2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
  - B. Bridge Design.
  - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q4 FY 2020.
  - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q1 FY 21 (about 4 months duration).
  - C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2022.
  - D. FFPR – Q3 FY 2023.
  - E. Let Contract – Q1 FY 2024.

**EXHIBIT I- 5****Contract 5**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0013120
3. County: Monroe
4. Description: SR 74 @ SR 42
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The project will construct a Single Lane Roundabout at the intersection of SR 74 and SR 42. GDOT performed an Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) in 2017. The Single Lane Roundabout was preferred over the Conventional All-Way Stop (AWSC), however, it recommended the AWSC could be constructed as an interim measure, if needed.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

### A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

### B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
  - a. Environmental Approval.
  - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Reviews, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

### C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
  - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.

9. Pavement Type selection.
  10. Constructability Review meeting.
  11. Approved Pavement Design.
  12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).
- D. Survey:
1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
  2. Survey Control.
  3. Complete Survey Database.
  4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
  5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
  6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
  7. Survey package report.
- E. Right-of-Way Plans:
1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
  2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
  3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
  4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
  5. Location & Design Approval.
- F. Final Design:
1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  2. Erosion Control Plans.
  3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
  4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
  5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
  6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
  7. Amendments & Revisions.
  8. Final Design Data Book.
  9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
    - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
    - b. Final Signal Plans.
    - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
  10. Utility Plans.
  11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
    - a. History.
    - b. Ecology.
    - c. Archaeology.
    - d. Air.
    - e. Noise.
    - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys as needed.
  12. Pavement Evaluation.
  13. Special Provisions.
- G. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
  2. Site Condition Revisions.
- H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.
- I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
- J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.

7. Key Team Leaders:

- A. Roadway Lead.
- B. NEPA Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

- A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
- B. Concept Report Q4 FY 2021.
- C. Right of Way Authorization: Q3 FY 2021.
- D. Construction Authorization: Q4 FY 2022.

**EXHIBIT I-6****Contract 6**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015151
3. County: Chatham
4. Description: SR 204 FROM SR 21 TO CS 1201/RIO ROAD @ 25 LOCS
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The purpose of this project is to address several issues identified in the Road Safety Audit of SR 204 due to concerns with pedestrian safety. The project is proposed to be pedestrian and signal upgrades in and around Savannah and will be funded with Federal safety dollars. The following reflect recommendations made in the report.

Install ADA-compliant pedestrian facilities. Install obstacles in medians to deter mid-block pedestrian crossings and encourage use of permitted pedestrian facilities. Add crosswalks and make push buttons more accessible. Implement ADA improvements in all quadrants at Abercorn Street @ E. Jackson Boulevard. Close driveways closest to intersections. Replace the painted islands with concrete islands to break up deceleration lanes, or extend right-turn storage onto Eisenhower Dr. at Abercorn Street @ Eisenhower Drive. Replace painted median with concrete along right-turn lane on southbound Abercorn Street at Abercorn Street @ West Montgomery Cross Road/SR 204 Spur. Pedestrian lighting as mentioned in the RSA. Evaluate and install RCUT's as mentioned in the RSA. Consider alternatives for frontage road access.

As programmed, the project does not have a ROW phase.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

### A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

### B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
  - a. Environmental Approval.
  - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

## C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
  - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.
9. Pavement Type selection.
10. Constructability Review meeting.
11. Approved Pavement Design.
12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).

## D. Survey:

1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
2. Survey Control.
3. Complete Survey Database.
4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
7. Survey package report.

## E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
5. Location & Design Approval.

## F. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
7. Amendments & Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.
9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
  - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
  - b. Final Signal Plans.
  - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
10. Utility Plans:
11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
  - a. History.
  - b. Ecology.
  - c. Archaeology.
  - d. Air.
  - e. Noise.
  - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
12. Pavement Evaluation.
13. Special Provisions.



- G. Construction:
    - 1. Use on Construction Revisions.
    - 2. Site Condition Revisions.
  - H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.
  - I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
  - J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.
  - K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Lead.
  - B. NEPA Lead.
8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
- A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
  - B. PFPR Request: Q1 FY 2022.
  - C. Construction Authorization: Q4 FY 2023.

**EXHIBIT I-7****Contract 7**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015667
3. County: Baldwin
4. Description: SR 22 @ SR 24
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The purpose of this project is to construct a roundabout at the intersection of SR 22 (Sparta Highway) and SR 24, approximately 4 miles east of Milledgeville. Federal funds will be utilized.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

### A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

### B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
  - a. Environmental Approval.
  - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

### C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
  - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.
9. Pavement Type selection.

10. Constructability Review meeting.
11. Approved Pavement Design.
12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).

D. Survey:

1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
2. Survey Control.
3. Complete Survey Database.
4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
7. Survey package report.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
5. Location & Design Approval.

F. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
7. Amendments & Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.
9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
  - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
  - b. Final Signal Plans.
  - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
10. Utility Plans.
11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
  - a. History.
  - b. Ecology.
  - c. Archaeology.
  - d. Air.
  - e. Noise.
  - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
12. Pavement Evaluation.
13. Special Provisions.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Site Condition Revisions.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.

- I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

- J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
- 7. Key Team Leaders:
  - A. Roadway Lead.
  - B. NEPA Lead.
- 8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
  - A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
  - B. ROW Authorization: Q2 FY 2022.
  - C. Construction Authorization: Q2 FY 2023.

**EXHIBIT I-8****Contract 8**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015688
3. County: Butts
4. Description: SR 16 @ CR 291/ENGLAND CHAPEL ROAD
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

## 6. Scope:

The purpose of this project is to construct a single lane roundabout at the intersection of SR 16 and CR 291/England Chapel Road. The intersection is currently stop-controlled and construction would include pedestrian crossings and sidewalks. Federal funds will be utilized.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

- A. Concept Report:
  - 1. Traffic studies.
  - 2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
    - 1. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
    - 2. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
    - 3. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
    - 3. Approved Concept Report.
    - 4. Concept Design Data Book.
    - 5. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
    - 6. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).
- B. Environment Document:
  - 1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
  - 2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
  - 3. NEPA documents:
    - a. Environmental Approval.
    - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
  - 4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
  - 5. Section 7 Coordination.
  - 6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
  - 7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
  - 8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
  - 9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
  - 10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
  - 11. Certification for Let.
  - 12. TPro and P6 Updates.
  - 13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).
- C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:
  - 1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
    - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
    - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
    - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
  - 2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
  - 3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
  - 4. Location and Design Report.
  - 5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  - 6. Traffic Studies.
  - 7. Preliminary Construction plans.
  - 8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.



9. Pavement Type selection.
  10. Constructability Review meeting.
  11. Approved Pavement Design.
  12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).
- D. Survey:
1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
  2. Survey Control.
  3. Complete Survey Database.
  4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
  5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
  6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
  7. Survey package report.
- E. Right-of-Way Plans:
1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
  2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
  3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
  4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
  5. Location & Design Approval.
- F. Final Design:
1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  2. Erosion Control Plans.
  3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
  4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
  5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
  6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
  7. Amendments & Revisions.
  8. Final Design Data Book.
  9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
    - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
    - b. Final Signal Plans.
    - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
  10. Utility Plans.
  11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
    - a. History.
    - b. Ecology.
    - c. Archaeology.
    - d. Air.
    - e. Noise.
    - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
  12. Pavement Evaluation.
  13. Special Provisions.
- G. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
  2. Site Condition Revisions.
- H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.
- I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
- J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
- 7. Key Team Leaders:
  - A. Roadway Lead.
  - B. NEPA Lead.
- 8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
  - A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
  - B. ROW Authorization: Q2 FY 2022.
  - C. Construction Authorization: Q1 FY 2023.

**EXHIBIT I-9****Contract 9**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015690
3. County: Muscogee
4. Description: SR 22/US 80 @ SR 22 SPUR
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The purpose of the project is to construct two multi-lane roundabouts with Federal Safety Dollars. The first roundabout would be constructed at the intersection of SR 22 @ SR 22 SPUR. The second roundabout would be constructed at SR 22 @ Technology Parkway. Railroad coordination is anticipated.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
  - a. Environmental Approval.
  - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
  - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.

9. Pavement Type selection.
  10. Constructability Review meeting.
  11. Approved Pavement Design.
  12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).
- D. Survey:
1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
  2. Survey Control.
  3. Complete Survey Database.
  4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
  5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
  6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
  7. Survey package report.
- E. Right-of-Way Plans:
1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
  2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
  3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
  4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
  5. Location & Design Approval.
- F. Final Design:
1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  2. Erosion Control Plans.
  3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
  4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
  5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
  6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
  7. Amendments & Revisions.
  8. Final Design Data Book.
  9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
    - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
    - b. Final Signal Plans.
    - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
  10. Utility Plans.
  11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
    - a. History.
    - b. Ecology.
    - c. Archaeology.
    - d. Air.
    - e. Noise.
    - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
  12. Pavement Evaluation.
  13. Special Provisions.
- G. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
  2. Site Condition Revisions.
- H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.
- I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
- J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
- 7. Key Team Leaders:
  - A. Roadway Lead.
  - B. NEPA Lead.
- 8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
  - A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
  - B. ROW Authorization: Q2 FY 2022.
  - C. Construction Authorization: Q2 FY 2023.

**EXHIBIT II  
CERTIFICATION FORM**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, being duly sworn, state that I am \_\_\_\_\_ (title) of \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ (firm) and hereby duly certify that I have read and understand the information presented in the attached proposal and any enclosure and exhibits thereto.

**Initial each box below indicating certification.** The person initialing must be the same person who signs the Certification Form. (If unable to initial any box for any reason, place an "X" in the applicable box and attach a statement explaining the non-certification. The Department will review and make a determination as to whether or not the firm shall be considered further or disqualified).

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge the information given in response to the Request for Qualifications is full, complete and truthful.

I further certify that the submitting firm and any principal employee of the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been convicted of any crime of moral turpitude or any felony offense, nor has had their professional license suspended, revoked or been subjected to disciplinary proceedings, nor is any team members/principals currently under indictment for any reason related to actions on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that I understand that Firms included on the current Federal list of firms suspended or debarred are not eligible for selection and that the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been suspended or debarred from contracting with any federal, state or local government agency, and further, that the submitting firm is not now under consideration for suspension or debarment from any such agency.

I further certify that the submitting firm has not in the immediately preceding five (5) years been defaulted in any federal, state or local government agency contract and further, that the submitting firm is not now under any notice of intent to default on any such contract, nor has been removed from a contract or failed to complete a contract as assigned due to cause or default.

I further certify that the firm or any affiliate(s) has not been involved in any arbitration, litigation, mediation, dispute review board or other dispute resolution proceeding with a client, business partner, or government agency in the last five (5) years involving an amount in excess of \$500,000 related to performance on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that there are not any pending regulatory inquiries that could impact our ability to provide services if we are the selected consultant.

I further certify that there are no possible conflicts of interest created by our consideration in the selection process or by our involvement in the project.

I further certify that the submitting firm's annual average revenue for the past five (5) years is sufficient to allow the services to be delivered effectively by our firm and that there are no trends in the revenue which may be concerning other than normal market fluctuations.

- I further certify that in regards to Audit and Accounting System Requirements, that the submitting firm:
- I. Has an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
  - II. Has submitted its yearly Certified Public Accountant overhead audit if it currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000.
  - III. Has no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
  - IV. Is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

I acknowledge, agree and authorize, and certify that the proposer acknowledges, agrees and authorizes, that GDOT may, by means that either deems appropriate, determine the accuracy and truth of the information provided by the proposer and that the GDOT may contact any individual or entity named in the Statement of Qualifications for the purpose of verifying the information supplied therein.

I acknowledge and agree that all of the information contained in the Statement of Qualifications is submitted for the express purpose of inducing the GDOT to award a contract.

*A material false statement or omission made in conjunction with this proposal is sufficient cause for suspension or debarment from further contracts, or denial or rescission of any contract entered into based upon this proposal thereby precluding the firm from doing business with, or performing work for, the State of Georgia. In addition, such false statement or omission may subject the person and entity making the proposal to criminal prosecution under the laws of the State of Georgia of the United States, including but not limited to O.C.G.A. §16-10-20, 18 U.S.C. §§1001 or 1341.*

Sworn and subscribed before me

This \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
NOTARY SEAL



**EXHIBIT III**

**GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT**

Consultant's Name:	
Address:	
Solicitation No./Contract No.:	<u>RFQ-484-052819</u>
Solicitation/Contract Name:	<u>Batch 1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services</u>

**CONSULTANT AFFIDAVIT**

By executing this affidavit, the undersigned Consultant verifies its compliance with O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91, stating affirmatively that the individual, entity or corporation which is engaged in the physical performance of services on behalf of the Georgia Department of Transportation has registered with, is authorized to use and uses the federal work authorization program commonly known as E-Verify, or any subsequent replacement program, in accordance with the applicable provisions and deadlines established in O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91.

Furthermore, the undersigned Consultant will continue to use the federal work authorization program throughout the contract period and the undersigned Consultant will contract for the physical performance of services in satisfaction of such contract only with sub-consultants who present an affidavit to the Consultant with the information required by O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91(b). Consultant hereby attests that its federal work authorization user identification number and date of authorization are as follows:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Federal Work Authorization User Identification Number  
 (EEV/E-Verify Company Identification Number)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date of Authorization

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Name of Consultant

**I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct**

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Printed Name (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Title (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature (of Authorized Officer or Agent)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date Signed

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME ON THIS THE

\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_, 201\_\_

[NOTARY SEAL]

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Notary Public

My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXHIBIT IV  
Area Class Summary Example**

Respondents should complete a table similar to the below and indicate by placing an "X" in the appropriate column indicating 1 area class for each specific project with particular emphasis on the area classes which the Prime must hold as well as the sub-consultant listing of all area classes. Since no single advertisement would require every area class, Respondents should delete all the area classes to the project they are pursuing and only include the ones applicable. Particular attention should be paid to the date that consultant

Area Class #	Area Class Description	Prime Consultant Name	Sub-Consultant #1 Name	Sub-Consultant #2 Name	Sub-Consultant #3 Name	Sub-Consultant #4 Name
	<b>DBE – Yes/No -&gt; Prequalification Expiration Date</b>					
1.01	Statewide Systems Planning					
1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning					
1.03	Aviation Systems Planning					
1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning					
1.05	Alternate Systems Planning					
1.06(a)	NEPA					
1.06(b)	History					
1.06(c)	Air Quality					
1.06(d)	Noise					
1.06(e)	Ecology					
1.06(f)	Archaeology					
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys					
1.06(h)	Bat Surveys					
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)					
1.08	Airport Master Planning (AMP)					
1.09	Location Studies					
1.10	Traffic Analysis					
1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies					
1.12	Major Investment Studies					
1.13	Non-Motorized transportation Planning					
2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems Management)					
2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies					
2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System					
2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communication and Information Systems					
2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering					
2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures					
2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical System					
2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services					
2.09	Airport Design (AD)					
2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems Marketing)					
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design					
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane urban Roadway Design					
3.03	Multi-Lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction					
3.04	Multi-lane Rural Interstate Limited Access Design					
3.05	Multi-lane Urban Interstate Limited Access Design					
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies					
3.07	Traffic Operations Design					
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design					

3.09	Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation				
3.10	Utility Coordination				
3.11	Architecture				
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)				
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians				
3.14	Historic Rehabilitation				
3.15	Highway and Outdoor Lighting				
3.16	Value Engineering (VE)				
3.17	Toll Facilities Infrastructure Design				
4.01	Minor Bridge Design				
4.02	Major Bridge Design				
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)				
4.05	Bridge Inspection				
5.01	Land Surveying				
5.02	Engineering Surveying				
5.03	Geodetic Surveying				
5.04	Aerial Photography				
5.05	Photogrammetry				
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing				
5.07	Cartography				
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)				
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies				
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies				
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies				
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)				
6.04(a)	Laboratory Testing of Roadway Construction Materials				
6.04(b)	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials				
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies				
8.01	Construction Engineering and Supervision				
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan				
9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting				
9.03	Field Inspection for Erosion Control				

ATTACHMENT 1

Submittal Formats for GDOT Batch 1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services

# of Pages Allowed

Cover Page	->	1
<b>A. Administrative Requirements</b>		
1. Basic Company Information		
a. Company name		
b. Company Headquarter Address		
c. Contact Information		
d. Company Website		
e. Georgia Addresses		
f. Staff		
g. Ownership		
		Excluded
2. Notarized Certification Form (Exhibit II) for Prime	->	1
3. Notarized Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit (Exhibit III)	->	1
4. Signed Cover Page of any Addenda Issued	->	1 (each addenda)
<b>B. Experience and Qualifications</b>		
1. Project Manager		
a. Education		
b. Registration		
c. Relevant engineering experience		
d. Relevant project management experience		
e. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
		2
2. Key Team Leader Experience		
a. Education		
b. Registration		
c. Relevant experience in applicable resource area		
d. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
		1 (each)
3. Prime's Experience		
a. Client name, project location, and dates		
b. Description of overall project and services performed		
c. Duration of project services provided		
d. Experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
e. Clients current contact information		
f. Involvement of Key Team Leaders		
		2
4. Area Class Table and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications for Prime and Sub-Consultants	->	Excluded
<b>C. Resources/Workload Capacity</b>		
1. Overall Resources		
a. Organization chart		
b. Primary office to handle project and staff description of office and benefits of office		
c. Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability		
	->	Excluded
		1
2. Project Manager Commitment Table	->	Excluded
3. Key Team Leaders Project commitment table	->	Excluded

**ADDENDUM NO. 1**

**ISSUE DATE: 5/1/2019**

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

**RFQ 484- 052819 – Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services**

**NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.**

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

**NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.**

Firm Name \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Typed Name and Title \_\_\_\_\_

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)  
Office of Transportation Services Procurement  
One Georgia Center  
600 West Peachtree Street, NW  
19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

**The purpose of this Addendum is to modify the original RFQ.**

I. Section I. A. Overview - Project Table is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Contract	County	PI #	Project Description
1	Glynn	0014914	CR 583/SEA ISLAND ROAD @ DUNBAR CREEK ON ST SIMONS ISLAND
2	Butts	0016126	SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON
	Butts	0016127	SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
3	McDuffie & Wilkes	0016128	SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON (Bridge Design in-house)
4	Monroe	0016129	SR 18 @ NS #718484D 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
	Jones & Monroe	0016130	SR 18 @ OCMULGEE RIVER 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
5	Monroe	0013120	SR 74 @ SR 42
6	Chatham	0015151	SR 204 FROM SR 21 TO CS 1201/RIO ROAD @ 25 LOCS
7	Baldwin	0015667	SR 22 @ SR 24
8	Butts	0015688	SR 16 @ CR 291/ENGLAND CHAPEL ROAD
9	Muscogee	0015690	SR 22/US 80 @ SR 22 SPUR

II. Exhibit I-2, Contract 2 is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

**EXHIBIT I- 2**

**Contract 2**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016126 and 0016127
3. County: Butts
4. Description: SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON and  
 SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way (ROW) plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.
7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
  - a. Categorical Exclusion.
  - b. EA/FONSI.
  - c. Section 4f coordination.
  - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
  - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
  - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
  - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
  - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.

3. BFI Report.
  4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
  5. Constructability Meeting participation.
  6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
  7. Location and Design Report.
  8. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
  2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
    - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
    - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
    - c. Final ESPCP.
    - d. Final Utility Plans.
    - e. Final Staging Plans.
    - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4.
  2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
  4. CES Final cost estimate.
  5. Final PS&E Package.
  6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
  2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
  - B. Bridge Design.
  - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
  - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
  - C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
  - D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
  - E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.



III. Exhibit I-3, Contract 3 is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

**EXHIBIT I- 3**

**Contract 3**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016128
3. Counties: McDuffie and Wilkes
4. Description: SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for ROW acquisition.

B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.
7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
  - a. Categorical Exclusion.
  - b. EA/FONSI.
  - c. Section 4f coordination.
  - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
  - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
  - b. Preliminary ESPCP.
  - c. Preliminary Utility Plans.
  - d. Preliminary Staging Plans.
  - e. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
3. Constructability Meeting participation.
4. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
5. Location and Design Report.

6. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
  - E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
    1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
    2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
  - F. Utilities:
    - Subsurface Utility Engineering.
  - G. Final Design:
    1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
      - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
      - b. Final ESPCP.
      - c. Final Utility Plans.
      - d. Final Staging Plans.
      - e. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
    2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
    3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
    4. CES Final cost estimate.
    5. Final PS&E Package.
    6. Amendments & Revisions.
  - H. Construction:
    1. Use on Construction Revisions.
    2. Review Shop Drawings.
  - I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
  - J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
    - A. Roadway Design.
    - B. NEPA Lead.
  8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
    - A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
    - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
    - C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
    - D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
    - E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.

**ADDENDUM NO. 2**

**ISSUE DATE: 5/16/2019**

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

**RFQ 484-052819 – Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services**

**NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.**

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

**NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.**

Firm Name \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Typed Name and Title \_\_\_\_\_

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)  
Office of Transportation Services Procurement  
One Georgia Center  
600 West Peachtree Street, NW  
19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

**The purpose of this Addendum is to modify the original RFQ to include the Project Consideration Checklist.**

## SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	<b>RFQ-484-052819</b>
SOLICITATION TITLE:	<b>Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 8</b>
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	<b>May 28, 2019</b>
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	<b>2:00pm</b>



No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Exhibit II - Certification	Exhibit III - GSICAA	Signed Addendum If Applicable	Compliant with Page # Limitations	Compliant with Required Format
1	Alfred Benesch & Company	5/28/2019	9:54 AM	X	X	X	X	X
2	Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon	5/28/2019	10:29 AM	X	X	X	X	X
3	CALYX Engineers and Consultants	5/28/2019	11:43 AM	X	X	X	X	X
4	CHA Consulting, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:51 PM	X	X	X	X	X
5	Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	5/28/2019	1:54 PM	X	X	X	X	X
6	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	5/28/2019	12:21 PM	X	X	X	X	X
7	CROY Engineering, LLC	5/28/2019	9:02 AM	X	X	X	X	X
8	EXP US Services Inc.	5/28/2019	7:36 AM	X	X	X	X	X
9	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	5/24/2019	12:36 AM	X	X	X	X	X
10	Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:41 PM	X	X	X	X	X
11	International Design Services, Inc. /dba/IDS Global, Inc. - Disqualified	5/28/2019	1:43 PM	X	X	X	No	X
12	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	5/28/2019	11:10 AM	X	X	X	X	X
13	KCI Technologies, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:49 PM	X	X	X	X	X
14	Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	5/28/2019	1:48 PM	X	X	X	X	X
15	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:08 PM	X	X	X	X	X
16	Michael Baker International, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:48 PM	X	X	X	X	X
17	Moffatt & Nichol	5/28/2019	1:00 PM	X	X	X	X	X
18	Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:17 PM	X	X	X	X	X
19	Mott MacDonald LLC	5/28/2019	12:27 PM	X	X	X	X	X
20	MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston	5/28/2019	10:13 AM	X	X	X	X	X
21	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	5/28/2019	10:00 AM	X	X	X	X	X
22	Pond & Company	5/28/2019	1:11 PM	X	X	X	X	X
23	QK4, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:37 PM	X	X	X	X	X
24	R.K. Shah & Associates, Inc.	5/28/2019	11:49 AM	X	X	X	X	X
25	RS&H, Inc.	5/28/2019	8:23 AM	X	X	X	X	X
26	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	5/28/2019	10:56 AM	X	X	X	X	X
27	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:57 PM	X	X	X	X	X
28	T.Y. Lin International, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:55 PM	X	X	X	X	X
29	Thompson Engineering, Inc.	5/28/2019	9:52 AM	X	X	X	X	X
30	TranSystems Corporation	5/28/2019	1:44 PM	X	X	X	X	X
31	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:23 PM	X	X	X	X	X
32	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.	5/28/2019	11:52 AM	X	X	X	X	X
33	Volkert, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:33 PM	X	X	X	X	X

**GDOT GUIDE FOR SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS –**  
**Phase II Evaluation - Revised**  
**RFQ 484-052819**  
**Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services**  
**Contract # 8 , PI#0015688**

*This ENTIRE GUIDE must be reviewed carefully by all Selection Committee Members BEFORE the evaluation of submittals.*

**Coordination and Communication**

Rhonda Hightower-Rucker will coordinate the overall submittal evaluation process and serve as Facilitator of any Selection Committee Meetings through the completion of the evaluation. All Committee members will be provided copies of submittals and related information, and will be notified of any proposed (if applicable) meetings, conference calls, and deadlines. **IMPORTANT-** *All written communication* (e-mails, memos, scoresheets, handwritten notes in SOQs, etc.) related to the evaluation can be subject to public record. Therefore, all such communication should be limited to objective and verifiable information.

**Evaluation Process**

The evaluation and scoring will be handled in two phases. Phase I will be the evaluation of the written Statements of Qualifications received from all respondents. Phase II will be the evaluation of the written responses from the Finalists. The scoring for the Finalists will be carried forward from Phase I and added to the scores from Phase II to determine the highest ranked Finalists and hence with whom negotiations will be initiated. The criteria to be utilized in the evaluation and scoring are as follows:

**Phase I**

- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – (30% or 300 Points)**
- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – (20% or 200 Points)**

**Phase II**

- **Technical Approach – (40% or 400 Points)**
- **Past Performance – (10% or 100 Points)**

**Phase I**  
**Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications**

**Evaluation of Eligible Submittals**

Submittals determined eligible must be read thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will determine the rating for each criteria as follows:

- **Poor =** Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- **Marginal =** Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- **Adequate =** Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- **Good =** More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- **Excellent =** Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

**Directions for use of the Evaluation Preliminary Scoring Forms:**

Scoring forms will be distributed to all Selection Committee members along with copies of submittals which were received and validated. Evaluators will have the option of using the hard copy forms or an electronic version of the form. However, to ensure that Open Records Request can be filled in compliance with the law, Evaluators who choose to use the electronic version of the form should only maintain one version of the form and must provide the electronic version of the form to

Procurement. Each evaluator will use their numbered scoring form for scoring all submittals. Evaluators must ensure that the name of the Firm being evaluated is written in the appropriate box to identify the Firm to whom the ratings and comments belong. Using the criteria categories in **Evaluation of Eligible Submittals** above, each submittal will be given a **preliminary score** for each of the criteria. The Reviewer should provide comments for each section which support the rating. Reviewers should not seek to write down everything that the submittal contains. Rather, Reviewers should first determine the rating and then answer why they feel the rating is warranted.

**The review, preliminary scoring, and comments MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting and must be sent to the Procurement Facilitator by the deadline given in order to make efficient and effective usage of all Selection Committee Members time.**

### **SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EVALUATING AVAILABILITY**

Through working with the consultant industry, they asked that when considering their availability, we consider more than merely the number of projects they have listed. With this in mind we have allowed space in their SOQ for the respondents to provide a narrative in their ability. This narrative will allow them to discuss how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. It also recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project workloads and allows them to discuss the advantages of their team and the abilities of their team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule. If there is no schedule provided, they can discuss the advantages of the team and abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. You **MUST** consider this narrative along with the workload table when rating the SOQs. You **MUST NOT** merely look at the workload table solely for making the rating decision.

### **Evaluation Meeting:**

**All completed Scoring Forms with the preliminary scores and comments for each criteria of each firm, must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Friday, July 12, 2019. The completed forms must be turned in at the conclusion of the meeting.**

Prior to the meeting, the Facilitator will use the scores and subsequent ranks to determine where the majority of the discussion should be focused. Generally, the majority of the discussion will center on the top submittals. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted.

The final rankings will be used to determine the three to five Finalists who will proceed and have their scores carried forward to Phase II of the evaluation.

**It is important to note, that all evaluation scoring, notes, and comments will be subject to open records and there is a very high likelihood they will be reviewed by a wide variety of individuals. For this reason, it is extremely important to adhere to all guidelines and suggestions contained in this Guide for Selection Committee Members.**



## Phase II - Revised Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

- Finalists will be required to submit a written response which must detail the Technical approach (including design concepts and use of alternative methods).
- **Past Performance - Procurement will be checking references and will provide the results of the reference checks to the Selection Committee for review. The Selection Committee will also be allowed to share and review any other documented information made available for consideration regarding the Firm's performance on any project/contract, along with the reference checks to provide a group rating with comments.**

With the increased lack of responses to the reference checks, Procurement is requesting that prior to attending the Phase II meeting that each of the selection committee members perform the following action to add to the past performance discussion.

- The Selection Committee should be prepared to share personal work experience while working with each shortlisted firm, provide project P.I. number and any performance issues, concerns and/or positive feedback about the Prime Consultant and it's team that may hinder or improve their overall rating for past performance.
- Selection committee members that do not have any personal prior work experience with any of the shortlisted firms, must seek additional documented material through discussion with their Office Management, CMIS (Vendor evaluation), inter-office documentation (emails, written correspondence, cure letters, etc.) to help aid in the discussion during the Phase II meeting.

Submittals and Past Performance information must be read/considered thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will make notes in the submittals and must be prepared to discuss their position in the Selection Committee Meeting for Phase II. **The review and notes MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting.**

### Evaluation Meeting:

**All notes must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Wednesday, October 09, 2019.** The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted. The Committee will assign the following ratings:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

### FINAL SCORING AND SELECTION

The scores from Phase I and Phase II will be added together and a final overall ranking will be determined and provided for Selection Committee approval.



**GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE PRELIMINARY SCORING AND RANKING OF SUBMITTALS**

<b>Solicitation Title</b>	<b>Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services Contract 8, PI# 0016688</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>Pond &amp; Company</b>
<b>Solicitation #.</b>	<b>RFQ-484-052819</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.</b>
<b>PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Preliminary Scoring based on Published Criteria</b>			<b>3</b>	<b>CHA Consulting, Inc.</b>
<b>(This Page For GDOT Use)</b>			<b>4</b>	<b>Mott MacDonald LLC</b>
			<b>5</b>	<b>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.</b>
			<b>6</b>	<b>MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston</b>
			<b>7</b>	<b>TranSystems Corporation</b>
<b>SUBMITTING FIRMS</b>	<b>(RANKING)</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.</b>
	<b>Sum of</b>	<b>Group</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>Neel-Schaffer, Inc.</b>
	<b>Individual</b>	<b>Rankings</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>CALYX Engineers and Consultants</b>
	<b>Rankings</b>	<b>Ranking</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>Alfred Benesch &amp; Company</b>
	24	11	11	<b>Alfred Benesch &amp; Company</b>
<b>Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon</b>	34	21	12	<b>CROY Engineering, LLC</b>
<b>CALYX Engineers and Consultants</b>	17	10	13	<b>Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.</b>
<b>CHA Consulting, Inc.</b>	9	3	14	<b>Freese and Nichols, Inc.</b>
<b>Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.</b>	15	8	15	<b>Hussey, Gay, Bell &amp; Deyoung, Inc.</b>
<b>Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.</b>	25	13	16	<b>Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC</b>
<b>CROY Engineering, LLC</b>	24	12	17	<b>QK4, Inc.</b>
<b>EXP US Services Inc.</b>	54	29	18	<b>Michael Baker International, Inc.</b>
<b>Freese and Nichols, Inc.</b>	25	14	19	<b>Vaughn &amp; Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.</b>
<b>Hussey, Gay, Bell &amp; Deyoung, Inc.</b>	27	15	20	<b>Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.</b>
<b>International Design Services, Inc. /dba/IDS Global, Inc. - Disqualified</b>	99	33	21	<b>Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon</b>
<b>Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC</b>	27	16	22	<b>Southeastern Engineering, Inc.</b>
<b>KCI Technologies, Inc.</b>	47	26	23	<b>T.Y. Lin International, Inc.</b>
<b>Kennedy Engineering &amp; Associates Group LLC</b>	61	32	24	<b>Thompson Engineering, Inc.</b>
<b>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.</b>	10	5	25	<b>Volkert, Inc.</b>
<b>Michael Baker International, Inc.</b>	30	18	26	<b>KCI Technologies, Inc.</b>
<b>Moffatt &amp; Nichol</b>	52	28	27	<b>Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.</b>
<b>Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.</b>	51	27	28	<b>Moffatt &amp; Nichol</b>
<b>Mott MacDonald LLC</b>	9	4	29	<b>EXP US Services Inc.</b>
<b>MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston</b>	12	6	30	<b>RS&amp;H, Inc.</b>
<b>Neel-Schaffer, Inc.</b>	16	9	31	<b>R.K. Shah &amp; Associates, Inc.</b>
<b>Pond &amp; Company</b>	6	1	32	<b>Kennedy Engineering &amp; Associates Group LLC</b>
<b>QK4, Inc.</b>	27	17	33	<b>International Design Services, Inc. /dba/IDS Global, Inc. - Disqualified</b>
<b>R.K. Shah &amp; Associates, Inc.</b>	58	31		
<b>RS&amp;H, Inc.</b>	57	30		
<b>Southeastern Engineering, Inc.</b>	35	22		
<b>Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.</b>	33	20		
<b>T.Y. Lin International, Inc.</b>	41	23		
<b>Thompson Engineering, Inc.</b>	42	24		
<b>TranSystems Corporation</b>	13	7		
<b>Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.</b>	6	2		
<b>Vaughn &amp; Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.</b>	32	19		
<b>Volkert, Inc.</b>	45	25		

# Evaluator 1

Evaluation Criteria	Experience and Qualifications		Resources and Workload Capacity	
	Maximum Points allowed = 200	200	Phase One Evaluator 1 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Alfred Benesch & Company	Good	Adequate	325	11
Berge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon	Adequate	Good	300	14
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	Good	Good	375	4
CHA Consulting, inc	Excellent	Good	450	1
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P C	Good	Good	375	4
Cranston Engineering Group, P C	Good	Good	375	4
CROY Engineering, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	11
EXP US Services Inc	Marginal	Good	225	26
Freese and Nichols, Inc	Good	Good	375	4
Hussey, Gay, Bel, & Deyoung, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
International Design Services, Inc /dba/IDS Global, Inc - Disqualified	0	0	0	33
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	300	14
KCI Technologies, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	26
Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	Marginal	Adequate	175	30
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	Good	Good	375	4
Michael Baker International, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	24
Moffatt & Nichol	Marginal	Good	225	26
Moreland Altabelli Associates, Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	30
Mott MacDonald LLC	Excellent	Good	450	1
MSA Professional Services inc dba Ourston	Excellent	Good	450	1
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
Pond & Company	Good	Good	375	4
Qk4, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
R K Shah & Associates, Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	30
RS&H, inc	Marginal	Good	225	26
Southeastern Engineering, inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
Sianiec Consulting Services, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
T Y Lin International, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
Thompson Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
TranSystems Corporation	Good	Adequate	325	11
Vanassee Hanger Brustlin, Inc	Good	Good	375	4
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	24
Volkeri, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	14
Maximum Points allowed =	300	208	500 %	



GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819, Contract #8	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #: 1			
<p><i>Evaluation Committee should assign Ratings (opinion and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.</i></p>			
<p>Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points</p>			
<p>Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points</p>			
<p>Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points</p>			
<p>Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points</p>			
<p>Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points</p>			
<p><b>Firm Name:</b> Alfred Benesch &amp; Company</p>			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p><b>All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well. Two of the KTLs recently worked together on several projects (SOUTH MILLEDGE ROAD AND WHITEHALL ROAD ROUNDABOUT).</b></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p><b>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has a QA/QC support team</b></p>			
<p><b>Firm Name:</b> Ralph Wagonier, Sumner and Cannon</p>			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p><b>All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Road KTL listed mostly studies and peer reviews. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well. Two of the KTLs recently worked together on a similar project</b></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p><b>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work and QA/QC staff member. Firm has his office includes 24 seasoned professionals, including transportation, structural, and civil engineers and designers, and support team members. 15 offices with nearly 400 employees corporate-wide.</b></p>			
<p><b>Firm Name:</b> CAI, Inc. Engineers and Consultants</p>			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p><b>All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well. Two of the KTLs recently worked together on a similar projects (JOHN WARD ROAD AT IRWIN ROAD ROUNDABOUT AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT)</b></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p><b>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has a QA/QC support team</b></p>			
<p><b>Firm Name:</b> CMA Consulting, Inc.</p>			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<p><b>All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant projects with multiple roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience as well.</b></p>			



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has a staff of 35 employees and a QA/QC support.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Clark Peperkos Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well. Two of the KTLs recently worked together on a similar project ( Old Savannah Road at Twiggs Street Intersection and Sidewalk Improvements)*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has a staff of 36 employees and a QA/QC support.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Chemstar Engineering Group, P.C.
--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well. Two of the KTLs recently worked together on similar projects*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has a staff of more than 40 seasoned and highly capable professionals along with a QA/QC support.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> CROX Engineering, LLC
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has team to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> EXP US Services Inc.
--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
---	-----------------	--	----------

*Only PM listed roundabout projects. Projects with roundabouts were mentioned in Prime Experience*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><i>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has 25 employees with professional expertise encompassing a wide range of transportation and engineering experience. This includes highly experienced employees providing the QA/QC on the project and the team also includes retired GDOT personnel.</i></p>			
Firm Name: <u>Prans and Nichols, Inc.</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><i>All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well.</i></p>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><i>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has 25 additional licensed Georgia Professional Engineers throughout firm who are available to provide roadway, structural, geotechnical and electrical engineering support to our local staff as needed.</i></p>			
Firm Name: <u>Hussey, Gay, Bell &amp; DeYoung, Inc.</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><i>All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Projects with roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience.</i></p>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><i>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has companies employs 125+ professionals to assist with project as needed to successfully deliver project.</i></p>			
Firm Name: <u>International Design Services, Inc. HOWARD'S Global, Inc. - Disqualified</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	
<p><i>Disqualified</i></p>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	
<p><i>Disqualified</i></p>			
Firm Name: <u>Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><i>All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Projects with roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience.</i></p>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity ~ 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has team to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> KCI Technologies, Inc.
--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications ~ 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
---	-----------------	--	----------

*KTL's did not list roundabout projects in experience or Prime Experience*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity ~ 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has team to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC
--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications ~ 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
---	-----------------	--	----------

*PM and Road KTL the same. Projects with roundabouts were not mentioned in Prime Experience.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity ~ 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has plans for QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Kitley Hays and Associates, Inc.
--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications ~ 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity ~ 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has qualified specialist to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Michael Baker International, Inc.
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications ~ 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*PM and Environmental KTL's listed relevant project experience however Road KTL did not. Several relevant projects with multiple roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience as well. Special design of elliptical roundabout mentioned (VENTURE DRIVE AT STEVE REYNOLDS AND DAY DRIVE INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS).*



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work*

<b>Firm Name:</b> McWhitt & Nisbet
------------------------------------

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
---	-----------------	--	----------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Projects with roundabouts were not mentioned in Prime Experience however two KTL's worked together projects mentioned.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has qualified specialist to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Mountain Airflow Associates, Inc.
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
---	-----------------	--	----------

*PM and Road KTL's listed relevant project experience however Environmental KTL referenced mostly ecology work instead of NEPA document writing or review. Several relevant projects with multiple roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Office currently has a staff of 211 employees, with 79 engineers and planners, 10 environmental technicians.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Matt MacDonald LLC
--------------------------------------

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
---	-----------------	--	-----------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant projects with multiple roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience as well.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has qualified specialist to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> WSA Professional Services, Inc. dba Durston
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
---	-----------------	--	-----------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant projects with multiple roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience as well.*

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
--	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has qualified specialist to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Wel-Schaffer, Inc.	
--------------------------------------	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
--	-----------------	--	----------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant projects with multiple roundabouts mentioned in Prime Experience as well.*

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
--	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has qualified specialist to perform QC/QA reviews*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Pond & Company	
----------------------------------	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
--	-----------------	--	------

*All KTL's listed relevant project experience. Several relevant roundabout projects mentioned in Prime Experience as well. Also, two KTL's worked together on some of these projects (Columbia County, Columbia County, GA).*

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
--	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has 12 roadway designers, six traffic engineers, and five environmental scientists.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> QK4, Inc.	
-----------------------------	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
--	-----------------	--	----------

*PM and Road KTLs did not list roundabout project experience. Roundabout projects listed under Prime Experience (KY 81 / Ky 56 Intersection Roundabout)*

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
--	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. staf of 72 engaged in our primary business of planning and designing transportation improvements, including planning, trafrc studies, environmental assessments, road/bridge design, trails and streetscape improvements, drainage design, and right-of-way acquisition. Staff assigned to provide QA/QC.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> R.S. Blum & Associates, Inc.	
--	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
--	-----------------	--	----------

*PM and Road KTLs did not list roundabout project experience. Roundabout projects were not listed under Prime Experience*



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work</i>			

<b>Firm Name:</b> ROAD INC			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>PM and Road KTLs did not list roundabout project experience. One relevant project listed under Prime Experience (Sargent Road at Crossington Road)</i>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. 19 transportation professionals (8 roadway engineers, 6 planners, 3 bridge engineers, 2 water resources engineers). Staff assigned to provide QA/QC.</i>			

<b>Firm Name:</b> Southeastern Engineering, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>All KTLs listed relevant experience. No reference made to roundabout projects under Prime experience.</i>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. 91 employees including 7 administrative staff, 21 transportation employees, and 19 survey office and field staff. Staff assigned to provide QA/QC.</i>			

<b>Firm Name:</b> Seames Consulting Services, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>All KTLs listed relevant experience. Prime experience listed projects with multiple roundabouts (SR 372 (Crabapple Road) Improvements).</i>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has over 120 transportation staff in GA and they have a separate QA/QC team.</i>			

<b>Firm Name:</b> T.Y. Lin International, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM and ENV listed relevant experience. Rd KTL did not list experience w/ roundabout projects. Prime experience listed roundabout projects with unique designs ( 7TH STREET TRUCK ROUTE and ROUNDABOUT - SOUTH TERMINAL EXPANSION).</i>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm is ranked 28 among the Top 100 Pure Designers by Engineering News-Record. Firm consist of 30 domestic offices with over 750 employees and eight international offices with 1,300 employees.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Thompson Engineering, Inc.			
--	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*All KTL's have relevant experince listed including multi lane roundabout projects. Roundabout projects were not mentioned under the Prime Experience.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Firm listed additional resources and has the capacity to complete the project and listed key resource to serve as QC/QA leader.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Tead Systems Corporation			
--	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*All KTL's have relevant experince listed including multi lane roundabout projects. Multi-lane and unique roundabout designs were listed under Prime Experience (COLEMAN BOULEVARD REVITALIZATION).*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Frim has additional resources to handle work if needed (800 transportation professionals).*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Vantage Manger Services, Inc.			
---	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*All KTL's have relevant experince listed including multi lane roundabout projects. Firm currently works on on-call roundabout contract for GDOT and listed several relevant roundabout projects under Prime Experience.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
---	-----------------	--	------

*Firm listed additional resources and has the capacity to complete the project and listed key resource to serve as QC/QA leader.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.			
--	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
---	-----------------	--	----------

*PM and Environmental KTL's have relevant experince listed, however Road KTL's did not mention roundabout projects. Relevant projects listed under Prime Experience including roundabout project that incorporates bike lanes (HARRAH'S CASINO ACCESS ROAD, ROUNDABOUT & BRIDGE).*

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
--	-----------------	--	----------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work*

**Firm Name:** STANLEY, INC.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
--	-----------------	--	----------

*PM and Environmental KTL's have relevant experince listed, however Road KTL's did not mention roundabout projects. One roundabout project was mentioned under the Prime Experience (SR 30/US 98) Gregory Street and Bayfront Parkway at the 17th Avenue Intersccton in Escambia County, Florida).*

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
--	-----------------	--	------

*Flow chart shows required staffing and team has capacity to complete the work. Firm has over 40 offices and 1000 employees*

# Evaluator 2

Evaluation Criteria	Experience and Qualifications		Resources and Workload Capacity	
	Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	Phase One Evaluator 2 individual
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Alfred Benesch & Company	Adequate	Good	300	1
Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon	Adequate	Good	300	1
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	Adequate	Good	300	1
CHA Consulting, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P C	Adequate	Good	300	1
Cranston Engineering Group, P C	Adequate	Good	300	1
CROY Engineering, LLC	Adequate	Good	300	1
EXP US Services Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Freese and Nichols, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
International Design Services, Inc /dba/IDS Global, Inc - Disqualified	0	0	0	33
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	300	1
KCI Technologies, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	Adequate	Good	300	1
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Michael Baker International, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Moffatt & Nichol	Adequate	Good	300	1
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Mott MacDonald LLC	Adequate	Good	300	1
MSA Professional Services Inc dba Curston	Adequate	Good	300	1
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Pond & Company	Adequate	Good	300	1
QK4, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
R.K. Shah & Associates, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
RS&H, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Southeastern Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Stanlec Consulting Services, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
T.Y. Lin International, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Thompson Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	300	1
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
Volkert, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	1
<b>Maximum Points allowed =</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>500 %</b>	





B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services. RKTL has 100% availability to perform scope of services. ENV KTL has numerous projects in various phases of development.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>PM has managed/designed a variety of projects using GDOT processes. RKTL has worked on various types of projects and has exp. utilizing GDOT processes. Prime has completed several a variety of projects with PM or KTL involvement.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Crestline Engineering Group, P.C.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>PM presented exp managing various types of projects. RKTL presented limited exp with projects of similar scope. Notes familiarity with GDOT processes. Prime has completed projects of similar scope with PM or KTL involvement.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> CHOT Engineering, LLC			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>PM presented exp managing various phases of similar scope projects and noted familiarity with GDOT processes. RKTL presented limited exp with projects of similar scope. Relevant exp presented by prime appears to be as a subconsultant to ICE. Actual work performed by Croy is not specified.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<i>Prime presented minimum staff to complete scope of services. PM and KTL appear to have capacity for work. Availability of RTKL unknown.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> EXP US Services Int.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>PM has significant exp with GDOT processes and presented relevant eng exp with projects of similar scope. Roadway Key team presented limited details on relevant eng exp. Prime has exp with various bridge projects that involved bridge KTL.</i>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
---	-----------------	-----	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services. PM and other KTLs appear to have capacity for work.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Press and Nichols, Inc.
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
---	-----------------	----	----------

*PM presented relevant exp with various types of projects and noted familiarity with GDOT processes. RKTL noted exp on an executive level as principal in charge for several bridge rehabilitation projects with no exp with GDOT processes.. The prime presented exp with various types of projects including bridges yet did not have any exp with GDOT specific processes.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
---	-----------------	-----	------

*Prime presented minimum staff to complete scope of services. Additional resources noted provided details on years of exp but limited details on relevant exp and familiarity with GDOT processes. PM and KTL appear to have availability for work.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Hickey, Gay, Bell & Downing, Inc.
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
---	-----------------	----	----------

*RKTL presented limited exp on the completion of a project utilizing GDOT processes. On-going work to complete project of similar scope. Has capabilities to perform the required services.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
---	-----------------	-----	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services and PM and KTL appear to have capacity for work. Env lead has numerous projects in various stages of development.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> International Design Services, Inc. (IBIDS Global, Inc.) - Disqualified
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	
---	-----------------	----	--

**Disqualified**

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	
---	-----------------	-----	--

**Disqualified**

<b>Firm Name:</b> Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC
---

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
---	-----------------	----	----------

*PM presented project with relevant exp. Has managed several interchange projects. Roadway KTL has served as lead on various projects and is familiar with GDOT processes. Prime has completed several project with PM and KTL involvement.*



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime appears to have sufficient resources to deliver scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> KCS Technologies, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>PM presented engineering exp for a variety of transportation projects; provided limited details on actual project management experience. RTKL noted exp with simple projects therefore has capabilities to complete required scope of services. Prime has completed projects of similar scope.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services. NEPA and bridge lead have numerous projects in various phases of development.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>RKTL presented exp on various types of projects and exp with GDOT processes. Prime has limited exp with projects of similar scope yet has capabilities to perform scope of services.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services with support from subconsultants. PM and RKTL will serve in same role. Additional information in narrative referenced bridge projects instead of roundabouts.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>RKTL presented relevant exp on projects of similar scope that demonstrates the necessary exp to complete required scope of services. Has exp with GDOT processes based on listed projects. Prime presented relevant exp with projects of similar scope.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to perform scope of services including QA review. RKTL has numerous projects in various stages of development yet has sufficient support staff listed.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> NICHOLS BARRETT INTERNATIONAL, INC.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>RKTL presented relevant exp with various types of projects including a project of similar scope. Has familiarity with GDOT processes and capabilities to perform scope of services. Prime presented exp with projects of similar scope. Has completed projects with KTL involvement.</i>			



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to perform scope of services including QA review. PM and KTL have capacity for work.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Moffatt & Nichol			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
<i>PM and RKTL presented exp with various types of projects. Prime has exp with projects of similar scope involving KT members.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services including QA resources . PM and KTL appear to have capacity for work.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Maryland Abroad Associates, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
<i>PM presented relevant engineering exp and familiarity with GDOT processes. RK presented relevant exp. Prime has completed several projects with similar scope that involved PM or BKTL.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services including QA resources. PM and KTL appear to have capacity for work.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Matt MacDonald LLC			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
<i>RKTL presented relevant exp . Prime has several contracts underway to perform similar scope of services for another State agency.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> MIA Professional Services Inc. dba Christal			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
<i>RKTL presented relevant exp on projects of similar scope that demonstrates the necessary exp to complete required scope of services. Has exp with GDOT processes based on listed projects. Prime presented relevant exp with projects of similar scope.</i>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to perform scope of services including QA review. RKTL has numerous projects in various stages of development yet has sufficient support staff listed.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Neal-Schaffer, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Roadway KTL presented relevant exp on various types of projects that demonstrates the necessary exp to complete required scope of services. Has exp with GDOT processes and has completed a project. Prime has not completed many projects of similar scope.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Peed & Company			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>RKTL presented relevant exp on projects of similar scope that demonstrates the necessary exp to complete required scope of services. Has exp with GDOT processes Prime presented relevant exp with projects of similar scope.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to perform scope of services and capacity for work.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> QK4, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>RKTL presented relevant exp on various types of projects that demonstrates the necessary exp to complete required scope of services.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> R.K. Shaw & Associates, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM has over 40+ years of eng exp. RKTL noted eng exp for 2 projects whereby he served as lead from concept to letting and served as quality assurance reviewer for the other projects listed. Prime has completed various types of projects with PM and KTL involvement.</i>			

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> RBAH, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>RKTL presented exp for completing concept reports for another bridge bundle contract currently under development. Notes exp with GDOT processes. Prime has completed projects of various types.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Southeastern Engineering, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>RKTL presented relevant exp to complete scope of services</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Mantel Consulting Services, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>Roadway KTL presented relevant engineering exp with several projects of similar scope. Has exp with GDOT processes based on listed projects and had completed a project based on details provided under prime's exp. Prime presented relevant exp with PM and/or KTL involvement.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
<i>Prime has sufficient resources to perform scope of services</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> T.Y. Lin Associates, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>Prime has not completed a project of similar scope yet has two on-going GDOT projects of similar scope. PM presented exp with various types of projects but included limited exp with GDOT PDP processes. RKTL presented exp with several on-going projects and notes exp with GDOT processes.</i>			



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
---	-----------------	-----	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Thompson Engineering, Inc.			
--	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
---	-----------------	-----	----------

*RKTL presented relevant exp on projects of similar scope that demonstrates the necessary exp to complete required scope of servcies. Has exp with GDOT processes based on listed projects. Prime presented relevant exp with projects of similar scope.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
---	-----------------	-----	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services. RKTL has several projects in various phases of development, and appear to have capacity for work.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> TranSystems Corporation			
---	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
---	-----------------	-----	----------

*PM presented relevant management exp for several bridge replacment projects from concept to preliminary design. RKTL presented exp with varlous types of projects that demonstrates the necessary exp to complete required scope of services. Has exp with GDOT processes based on listed projects and has completed a project based on details provided under prime's exp. Prime has completed several bridge replacment projects with PM or KTL involment. Prime has exp with GDOT processes.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
---	-----------------	-----	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Sankaranarayanan Engineering, Inc.			
--	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
---	-----------------	-----	----------

*RKTL presented exp for projects of similar scope yet did not provide sufficient details on actual work performed/completed. Has capabilities to perform the required services.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Good
---	-----------------	-----	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.*

<b>Firm Name:</b> Vedant & Nelson Consulting Engineers, Inc.			
--	--	--	--

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→→	Adequate
---	-----------------	-----	----------

*RKTL presented exp and cabilities to perform required scope of services. Prime resources have completed several projects of similar scope.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
---	---	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.*

**Firm Name:** *Valliant, Inc.*

A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
---	--	----------

*RKTL presented limited exp on the completion of a project utilizing GDOT processes. Has capabilities to perform the required services. Prime has not completed a project of similar scope. Relevant projects including mainly on-going bridges.*

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
---	--	------

*Prime has sufficient resources to complete scope of services.*

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications

Resources and Workload Capacity

# Evaluator 3

SUBMITTING FIRMS	Maximum Points allowed =		Phase One	
	100	200	Evaluator 3 Individual	Total Score
Alfred Benesch & Company	Good	Adequate	325	12
Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon	Good	Marginal	275	19
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	Good	Adequate	325	12
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Good	Good	375	7
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	Excellent	Marginal	350	10
Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	Adequate	Adequate	250	20
CROY Engineering, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	12
EXP US Services Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	27
Freese and Nichols, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	20
Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	12
International Design Services, Inc /dba/IDS Global, Inc - Disqualified	0	0	0	33
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Good	Adequate	325	12
KC2 Technologies, inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	20
Kenredy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	Marginal	Marginal	125	30
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	Excellent	Adequate	400	5
Michael Baker International, Inc.	Excellent	Adequate	400	5
Moffatt & Nichol	Marginal	Good	225	25
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	20
Mott MacDonald LLC	Good	Good	375	7
MSA Professional Services Inc dba Oursion	Excellent	Marginal	350	10
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Excellent	Excellent	500	1
Pond & Company	Excellent	Excellent	500	1
QK4, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	12
R.K. Shah & Associates, Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	27
RS&H, Inc	Marginal	Marginal	125	30
Southeastern Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	20
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	18
T.Y. Lin International, Inc	Adequate	Marginal	200	26
Thompson Engineering, Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	27
TranSystems Corporation	Excellent	Excellent	500	1
Vannasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc	Excellent	Excellent	500	1
Vaughn & Meltor Consulting Engineers, Inc	Good	Good	375	7
Volkeri, Inc	Marginal	Marginal	125	30
<b>Maximum Points allowed =</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>5%</b>



GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819, Contract #8	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #: 3			
<p><i>Evaluation: Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.</i></p> <p>Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points          Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points          Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points          Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points          Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points</p>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Alfred Benesch & Company			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Good</b>
<p><b>Comments:</b> <i>Sufficient PM &amp; Design experience w/ roundabouts excellent Environmental management experience (primarily w/ GDOT)</i></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<p><b>Comments:</b> <i>Extensive staff resources, with at least an extra individual available per Area Class; their commitments are a little high, particularly for the PM and Roadway KTL</i></p>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Hays, Inc. (Hays, Inc. - Staffing and Support)			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Good</b>
<p><b>Comments:</b> <i>PM's examples reference roundabout experience; Roadway KTL shows exp. w/ roundabouts; NEPA KTL shows roundabout exp. - Prime shows roundabout exp.</i></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<p><b>Comments:</b> <i>Org. chart only lists single individuals for the environmental team; does not break team down by Area Class prequalifications; other commitments are relatively low</i></p>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> CALYX Engineers and Consultants			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Good</b>
<p><b>Comments:</b> <i>PM examples roundabout experience; Roadway KTL shows roundabout experience; NEPA Key TL shows extensive experience managing contracts and bundles, but doesn't specifically identify roundabout experience - Prime experience include roundabout projects</i></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<p><b>Comments:</b> <i>Extensive staff resources, with an extra individual available for most Area Classes; other commitments are a little high, particularly for the PM and Roadway KTL</i></p>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> CMA Consulting, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Good</b>
<p><b>Comments:</b> <i>PM's examples reference roundabout experience; Roadway KTL shows exp. w/ roundabouts; NEPA KTL shows roundabout exp. - Prime shows roundabout exp.</i></p>			



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Comments: Extensive staff resources, with an extra individual available for most Area Classes; other commitments are relatively low</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyors and Architects, P.C.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<i>Comments: PM doesn't show roundabout exp, but does include Intersection improvement exp; Roadway KTL shows significant exp w/ roundabouts; NEPA KTL doesn't specifically show roundabout exp, does show large experience w/ NEPA and ENV in general - Prime shows significant exp w/ GDOT and roundabout projects (examples within last 5 years)</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<i>Comments: Extensive staff resources, with an extra individual available for most Area Classes; other commitments are a little high w/ around 50% monthly time accounted for, Roadway KTL exceeds 66% of available monthly commitment (160 hr month)</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Creation Engineering Group, P.C.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Comments: PM examples roundabout experience; Roadway KTL shows roundabout experience; NEPA KTL doesn't specifically show roundabout exp, does show large experience w/ NEPA and ENV in general - Prime shows at least some exp w/ roundabout projects</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Comments: Org. chart shows multiple staff for the different areas of focus, but does not break team down by Area Class prequalifications; other commitments are relatively low, but the Roadway KTL's monthly time commitments exceed 50% (160 hrs per month)</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> ICROY Engineering, LLC			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Comments: PM examples roundabout experience; Roadway KTL shows roundabout experience; NEPA KTL doesn't specifically show roundabout exp, does show large experience w/ NEPA and ENV in general - Prime shows extensive exp w/ roundabout projects</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Comments: Org chart doesn't list specific individuals or # of individuals for ENV Area Classes; Time commitments area all somewhat high, but the status for some the various commitments are nearing completion</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> EXP US Services, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<i>Comments: Only PM's examples demonstrate exp w/ roundabout projects, though the Roadway and NEPA KTLs do show exp with other GDOT projects; Prime shows a fair bit of exp w/ roundabout projects</i>			



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resource and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>Comments:</b> Org. chart shows multiple staff for the different areas of focus, but does not break team down by Area Class prequalifications; other commitments are very low</p>		

<b>Firm Name:</b> Frank and Nichols, Inc.		
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>Comments:</b> PM doesn't show roundabout exp; Roadway KTL does show roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't show roundabout exp, but does exp with various other project types; Prime shows a larger amount of exp w/ roundabout projects</p>		

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>Comments:</b> Org chart only shows minimal staff resources for each Area Class; Other commitments are minimal</p>		

<b>Firm Name:</b> Murray, Day, Gill & Devault, Inc.		
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<p><b>Comments:</b> PM and Roadways KTL do show exp w/ roundabout projects; NEPA KTL doesn't specify roundabout exp, but does show exp with a number of other projects that include intersection and operation improvements - Prime does show a large amount of roundabout exp</p>		

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>Comments:</b> Org chart only shows minimal staff resources for each Area Class; Other commitments are minimal</p>		

<b>Firm Name:</b> International Design Services, Inc. (IDSI Global, Inc. - Disqualified)		
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Poor
<p><b>Disqualified</b></p>		

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Poor
<p><b>Disqualified</b></p>		

<b>Firm Name:</b> Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC		
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<p><b>Comments:</b> PM &amp; Roadway KTL show roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't specifically ID roundabout experience, but does have extensive exp managing contracts and other projects - Prime shows a fair bit of experience w/ roundabout projects</p>		

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>Comments:</b> Org chart shows a number of staff resources, but doesn't ID which Area Class prequalifications are satisfied by each individual/firm; Other commitments are relatively low, though the PM's monthly time commitment is on the higher side</p>		

<b>Firm Name:</b> MCI Technologies, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 50%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<b>Comments: PM and NEPA KTL show roundabout experience, including current project for NEPA KTL; Roadway KTL doesn't ID roundabout exp but shows a good amount of design experience for Intersection Improvement and widening projects; Firm doesn't ID roundabout exp but does show widening exp</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart shows a limits # of available staff, doesn't ID Area Class prequalification holders; Other commitments are lower for PM and RW KTL, a fair bit higher for the NEPA KTL</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments: PM shows exp w/ at least 1 roundabout project; No Roadway KTL (same as PM?); NEPA KTL doesn't show roundabout exp, but does have exp on widening and reconstruction projects; Firm doesn't ID roundabout project exp, but does show Intersection improvement exp</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart staff is limited; Other commitments are high for PM, no separate Roadway KTL</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Rindley-Hone and Associates, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Excellent</b>
<b>Comments: PM has extensive exp w/ roundabout projects; RW KTL has extensive exp w/ roundabout projects, both as lead designer and peer reviewer; NEPA KTL also shows extensive exp as the NEPA lead on roundabout projects for GA projects - Firm has extensive exp w/ roundabout projects</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart is somewhat limited, doesn't specifically call out Noise SME, staff resources don't call out Area Class prequalification holders; Other commitments for PM, RW KTL, NEPA KTL are all quite high (over 70% for RW KTL, 50% for NEPA KTL)</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Michael Baker International, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Excellent</b>
<b>Comments: PM, RW KTL, and NEPA KTL all show roundabout experience; Firm shows a larger amount of exp w/ roundabout projects in GA</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart is somewhat limited, w/ only individual SMEs for most Area Classes Identified; Other commitments for PM &amp; RW KTL are above 50% per month</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Murray & Murray			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments: PM doesn't ID specific project examples for roundabouts, but has a large amount of exp w/ widening and reconstruction projects; RW KTL has exp w/ multiple roundabout projects; NEPA KTL has exp w/ various project types, but doesn't ID specific roundabout projects - Firm doesn't ID much exp w/ roundabout projects</b>			



B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<b>Comments: Org chart resources seem sufficient, but are limited to individual SMEs for ENV, don't have Area Class prequalifications called-out; Other commitments are low</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Morgan & Mitchell Associates, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<b>Comments: PM shows exp w/ at least 1 roundabout project; RW KTL shows roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't ID roundabout project exp, IDs limited NEPA team lead exp - Firm shows a fair bit of roundabout project exp</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<b>Comments: Org chart is sufficient, but doesn't ID Area Class prequalification holders; Other commitments are relatively low</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Scott Mac Donald LLC			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
<b>Comments: PM, RW KTL show exp w/ roundabout projects; NEPA KTL doesn't ID specific roundabout examples, but has been ENV lead for various project types, including widening projects - Firm shows exp with various roundabout projects</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<b>Comments: Org chart is extensive and IDs Area Class prequalification holders; Commitments are low for PM, reasonable for NEPA KTL, high for RW KTL</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> MSA Professional Services Inc. (via Director)			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<b>Comments: PM, RW KTL show extensive roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't ID specific roundabout exp, but shows exp w/ complex widening and roadway improvement projects - firm has extensive exp w/ roundabout projects</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<b>Comments: Org chart doesn't list specific individuals or # of individuals for ENV Area Classes; Time commitments area quite high for PM and RW KTL, but the status for some the various commitments are nearing completion</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> Wind-Schmitt, Inc.			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<b>Comments: PM, RW, and NEPA KTLs all specify a fair bit of roundabout project exp - Firm shows a fair bit of roundabout exp</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<b>Comments: Org chart is sufficient, somewhat shallow for ENV team (NEPA KTL covers multiple ENV Area Classes); Other commitments are fairly reasonable/low</b>			

<b>Firm Name:</b> <b>Parsons &amp; Company</b>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Excellent</b>
<b>Comments: PM &amp; Roadway KTL show roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't specifically ID roundabout experience, but does have extensive exp w/ intersection and Interchange improvement projects - Prime shows extensive exp w/ roundabout projects</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Excellent</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart is fairly deep, ENV team is limited to individual SMES, Area Class prequalification holders not specifically ID'd; Other commitments are quite low</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> <b>GKI, Inc</b>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Good</b>
<b>Comments: PM doesn't specify any roundabout exp, but does have exp w/ intersection imp and widening projects; RW KTL shows roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't specify roundabout projects, but has a variety of widening and improvements projects - Firm has extensive roundabout exp</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart and staff list is fairly shallow, as really only single SMEs are listed for each Area Class (Area Class prequalification holders not specified); Other commitments are fairly open for PM and KTLs</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> <b>R.R. Stone &amp; Associates, Inc</b>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments: PM specifies no roundabout project exp; RW KTL specifies no roundabout exp; NEPA KTL specifies no roundabout exp; all do have Intersection/Interchange/widening project exp - Firm exp doesn't specify roundabout exp, but includes interchange and widening exp</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart is sufficient, staff for ENV team is limited to individual SMEs for each focus, no Area Class prequalification holders ID'd; other commitments are minimal</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> <b>REDA, Inc</b>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments: PM, RW, and NEPA KTLs don't list roundabout exp, but do have exp w/ other complex project types including widening and bridge replacement projects - Firm does list a roundabout project for exp</b>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments: Org chart somewhat limited, doesn't list Area Class prequalification holders; Other commitments for PM and RW KTL are rather high, though they may drop by Jan 2020 prior to NTP</b>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> <b>Southeastern Engineering, Inc</b>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Adequate</b>
<b>Comments: PM doesn't ID specific roundabout exp; RW KTL has roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't ID specific roundabout exp, but does have Intersection improvement exp - Firm has at least some roundabout project experience</b>			



B	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Comments: Org chart is sufficient, a little shallow for the ENV team; Other commitments are fairly reasonable</i>				
<b>Firm Name:</b> <i>Martec Consulting Services, Inc.</i>				
A	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Comments: PM show exp and involvement in roundabout projects (primarily traffic and feasibility studies); RW KTL shows exp with roundabout projects; NEPA KTL doesn't specify roundabout project exp, and has some what limited NEPA Lead exp, but doesn't show that they were lead for widening and streetscape projects - Firm has exp with multiple roundabout projects</i>				
B	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Comments: Org Chart is sufficient, ENV SMEs are somewhat limited, but the years of exp are quite high across the groups, Area Class prequalification holders are not ID'd; Other commitments are fairly low</i>				
<b>Firm Name:</b> <i>E.Y. Lin International, Inc.</i>				
A	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Comments: PM shows roundabout exp; RW KTL doesn't ID roundabout exp, but has widening and reconstruction exp; NEPA KTL doesn't list roundabout exp, but does ID exp w/ other complex project types including widening and bridge replacement projects - Prime has exp with roundabout projects</i>				
B	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>Comments: Org Chart is limited, doesn't list individual SMEs or their respected Area Class prequalification holders; Other commitments are fairly low</i>				
<b>Firm Name:</b> <i>Thompson Engineering, Inc.</i>				
A	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>Comments: PM doesn't list specific roundabout project exp, but does have exp with widening projects; RW KTL has roundabout exp; NEPA KTL has widening exp - Firm doesn't list roundabout project exp</i>				
B	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Comments: Org chart seems fairly extensive, Area Class prequalification holders not specifically ID'd; Other commitments are low for PM and NEPA KTL, but quite high for RW KTL, though it appears that should drop or be complete this year prior to NTP for this contract</i>				
<b>Firm Name:</b> <i>TransSystems Corporation</i>				
A	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<i>Comments: PM, RW, and NEPA KTLs all specify a fair bit of roundabout project exp - Firm shows a fair bit of roundabout exp</i>				
B	Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<i>Comments: Org chart is sufficient, though ENV team is limited to individual SMEs per area of focus, Area Class prequal holders not ID'd; Other commitments are low</i>				

<b>Firm Name:</b> <i>Verde Management Solutions, Inc.</i>			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Excellent</b>
<b>Comments:</b> <i>PM and RW KTL have a large amount of roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't list specific roundabout examples, but does show exp as the ENV manager for Bridge Batches and on-call ENV contracts - Firm has a large amount of roundabout project exp</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Excellent</b>
<b>Comments:</b> <i>Org Chart is extensive, but doesn't ID Area Class prequal holders; Other commitments are low</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> <i>Veight &amp; Miller Consulting Engineers, Inc.</i>			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Good</b>
<b>Comments:</b> <i>PM has some roundabout exp; RW KTLs don't show specific roundabout project exp, but do have widening and intersection improvement exp; NEPA KTL has widening and relocation project exp - Firm has a large amount of roundabout exp</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Good</b>
<b>Comments:</b> <i>Org chart is sufficient, ENV SMEs are somewhat limited; Other commitments are fairly low</i>			
<b>Firm Name:</b> <i>Volsted, Inc.</i>			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments:</b> <i>PM has roundabout exp; RW KTL doesn't specify roundabout exp; NEPA KTL doesn't specify roundabout exp, but does show exp with widening and bypass projects - Firm doesn't list roundabout project exp, does show some intersection exp</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		<b>Marginal</b>
<b>Comments:</b> <i>Org Chart is extensive, but doesn't ID Area Class prequal holders; Other commitments are fairly high (~75%) for OM and RW KTL, low for NEPA KTL</i>			



**GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF TOP SUBMITTALS FOR PHASE I**

Solicitation Title:	Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 8	1	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	
Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819	2	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria FOR TOP <b>FOURTEEN</b> SUBMITTALS		3	MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston	
<b>(This Page For GDOT Use)</b>				
				4
<b>SUBMITTING FIRMS</b>		4	CHA Consulting, Inc.	
		4	Mott MacDonald LLC	
		7	CALYX Engineers and Consultants	
		7	Alfred Benesch & Company	
		7	CROY Engineering, LLC	
		10	TranSystems Corporation	
		10	Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	
		10	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	
		13	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	
		13	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	
		375	4	
		500	1	
		375	4	
		375	4	
450	2			
400	3			
300	10			
300	10			
300	10			
325	7			
325	7			
325	7			
250	13			
250	13			

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications  
Resources and Workload Capacity

SUBMITTING FIRMS	Maximum Points allowed =		Phase One Scores and Group Ranking	
	300	200	Total Score	Ranking
Pond & Company	Good	Good	375	4
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc	Excellent	Excellent	500	1
CHA Consulting, Inc	Good	Good	375	4
Mott MacDonald LLC	Good	Good	375	4
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	Excellent	Good	450	2
MSA Professional Services inc dba Ourston	Excellent	Adequate	400	3
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	300	10
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C	Adequate	Good	300	10
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	10
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	Good	Adequate	325	7
Alfred Benesch & Company	Good	Adequate	325	7
CROY Engineering, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	7
Cranston Engineering Group, P.C	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
Freese and Nichols, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
<b>Maximum Points allowed =</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>%</b>

	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Pond & Company	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p><b>Pond &amp; Company presented relevant experience with projects of similar scope. All examples that the Firm listed were roundabout projects. The roundabout projects listed were complex in scope. Two (2) of the Key Team Leads have previously worked together on similar projects. The Project Manager, Roadway Lead and NEPA Lead all show experience with projects of similar scope.</b></p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p><b>Pond &amp; Company's organizational chart showed depth. The Firm showed sufficient resources to complete the scope of services. The Firm has twelve (12) Roadway Designers, six (6) Engineers, and five (5) Environmentalist which demonstrates that they have the capacity to handle the workload. The Project Manager and all Key Team Leads have limited other monthly commitments.</b></p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Vanassee Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Excellent	
<p><b>Vanassee Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB) listed a large amount of roundabout projects. The Firm currently has an on call roundabout contract with GDOT. The Project Manager has experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance (Plan Development Process, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.). The Roadway Lead noted experience with roundabout projects.</b></p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Excellent	
<p><b>VHB's organizational chart showed depth in resources. The Firm listed multiple environmental specialist for each discipline. The Firm listed a key resource to serve as QC/QA leader for each area class. The Firm listed a SME for roundabout design. All Key Team Leads have limited other monthly commitments.</b></p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CHA Consulting, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p><b>CHA Consulting, Inc. has completed numerous projects of similar scope with the Roadway Lead. The Project Manager was involved with the quick response roundabout project example presented. All Key Team Leads listed relevant experience with projects of similar scope. The Roadway Lead has completed analysis and design of over thirty (30) roundabout projects, however, his experience with GDOT processes is limited. The NEPA Lead listed relevant experience with required documents.</b></p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p><b>CHA Consulting Inc.'s organizational chart shows staff depth for the different areas of disciplines. The Firm listed a subject matter expert (SME) for roundabout design. The Firm listed a key resource to serve as QC/QA.</b></p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Mott MacDonald LLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>All of the projects that Mott MacDonald LLC listed incorporated roundabouts. Although the Firm listed projects of similar scope, the Firm and the Roadway Lead showed limited experience with GDOT processes. The Project Manager does have experience with GDOT processes. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead showed experience with roundabouts, however, the NEPA Lead did not.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>Mott Macdonald LLC's organizational chart showed depth of resources for each discipline. The Firm listed a specialist for roundabout peer review. The Firm listed a QC/QA reviewer for roadway and environmental.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Excellent	
<p>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.'s listed multiple examples of projects of similar scope and have completed several projects with the Project Manager's involvement. The Project Manager and all Key Leads have extensive experience with roundabout projects. The NEPA Lead listed specific details of the cultural resource studies along with completion of relevant environmental documents. The Firm listed a project that was designed for a school bus and WB-67 truck movements.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.'s organizational chart was somewhat limited with environmental. The Firm did not list a noise specialist. The Project Manager, Roadway and NEPA Leads' monthly commitments were high. The Firm listed sufficient resources to complete the project. The Firm included a roundabout SME for QC/QA. The Firm has been serving GDOT since 1994.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Excellent	
<p>MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston listed numerous examples of projects of similar scope. The projects listed varied in complexity. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead have extensive experience with roundabout projects. The Project Manager is the leading SME for roundabouts in North America. The Roadway Lead has extensive experience utilizing GDOT specific processes.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston's organizational chart did not list each specific environmental specialist. The Firm listed minimal resources to complete the scope of services. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead have high monthly commitments.</p>			



RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	TranSystems Corporation	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>TranSystems Corporation and the Project Manager listed experience with projects of varying complexity. Although the Roadway Lead listed examples of various projects, he only listed an example of one (1) roundabout project. The NEPA Lead demonstrated experience with roundabout projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>TranSystems Corporation resources were sufficient. The Firm has a total of eight hundred (800) transportation focused professionals to supplement their local staff, if needed. The Firm included a roundabout SME for QC/QA reviews. The Project Manager and all Key Team Leads have high availability.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>The Prime (Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.) and Roadway Lead listed experience with projects of similar complexity and scope. The Project Manager listed intersection improvement projects, but not any specific roundabout projects. The NEPA Lead did not list specific roundabout projects, but he did list experience writing environmental documents for projects of varying complexity.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C. have a staff of thirty six (36) employees. The Firm's organizational chart displayed sufficient resources. The Firm listed a team for QC/QA, which included four (4) roundabout reviewers. The Roadway Lead's commitment is somewhat high, yet the firm has sufficient resources to support the role. The Project Manager and NEPA Lead's commitment is minimal.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>Neel-Schaffer, Inc. listed two (2) examples of projects of similar scope. The Project Manager and all Key Team Leads listed experience with projects of varying complexity, but listed few examples of roundabout projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>Neel-Schaffer, Inc.'s organizational chart shows required staffing and that the team has the capacity to complete the work. The Firm listed a team for QC/QA for all disciplines. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead's commitment level is low. The NEPA Lead's current availability is low, however, due to the current stages of the projects, this may change. The Firm listed a total of five hundred (500) additional staff resources for engineering and</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CALYX Engineers and Consultants	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>CALYX Engineers and Consultants and the Project Manager listed a number of roundabout examples. The Roadway Lead listed minimal experience with roundabout projects. The NEPA Lead demonstrates experience completing environmental documentation for complex projects, but not specific roundabout projects. The Project Manager and all Key Team Leads have previously worked together.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>CALYX Engineers and Consultants's organizational chart was somewhat extensive. The Firm listed multiple resources for each area of discipline. The Firm presented a general QC/QA team. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead's current availability is sufficient, however, due to the current stages of the projects, this may change.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Alfred Benesch & Company	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>Alfred Benesch &amp; Company listed two (2) roundabout projects. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead have reasonable experience with roundabout projects. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead have worked together previously on these two (2) projects. The Roadway Lead has limited experience with GDOT specific processes. The NEPA Lead did not list any roundabout examples, however, he does show extensive experience with GDOT specific processes, manuals, Design policy, environmental procedures manuals, etc.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>Alfred Benesch &amp; Company has sufficient resources to complete the project. The organizational chart listed multiple environmental specialist for each discipline. The Firm only listed one (1) individual for QC/QA. No additional information was listed in the narrative for additional resources.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CROY Engineering, LLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>CROY Engineering, LLC demonstrated a number of roundabout projects, however they only listed one (1) project utilizing GDOT processes, manuals, or guidance (Plan Development Process, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.). The Project Manager listed extensive experience with roundabout projects. The Roadway Lead listed a number of roundabout projects. The NEPA Lead listed extensive experience reviewing and writing environmental documentation.</p>			

Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>CROY Engineering, LLC has sufficient resources to complete the project. Although only one (1) individual is listed for QC/QA, the Firm provides details on their QC/QA process. The Project Manager and all Key Team Leads have low commitments.</b></p>		

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p><b>Cranston Engineering Group, P.C. and the Project Manager listed an example of one (1) roundabout project. The Roadway Lead listed experience with duel roundabouts. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead have worked together on previous projects. The NEPA Lead has extensive experience with GDOT specific processes, manual, or guidance (plan development process, design policy, environmental procedures manuals, etc.).</b></p>			

Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.'s organizational chart shows sufficient resources. The Firm listed forty (40) seasoned and highly capable professionals. The Firm listed one (1) individual for QC/QA.</b></p>		

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p><b>Freese and Nichols, Inc. listed examples of roundabout projects, however, none of those project required that the Firm utilize GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance. The Project Manager listed experience with various projects of complexity, but not specific to project scope. The Roadway Lead presented limited projects utilizing GDOT processes.</b></p>			

Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p><b>Freese and Nichols, Inc.'s organizational chart showed minimal resources for each discipline, however, they listed twenty five (25) additional licensed Georgia Professional Engineers. The Firm identified a QC/QA lead. The Project Manager and Roadway Lead have low commitments. The NEPA Lead currently has low commitments, but due to the current phase of those projects, this may change.</b></p>		





## **SELECTION OF FINALISTS**

**RFQ-484-052819**

**Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design services**

**The Georgia Department of Transportation is pleased to announce the selection of the following firms as finalists regarding the above RFQ:**

**Contract #1: PI# 0014941, Glynn County**

**Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.  
Holt Consulting Company, LLC  
Lowe Engineers, LLC  
Michael Baker International, Inc.  
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.  
TranSystems Corporation**

**Contract #2: PI# 0016126 and 0016127, Butts County**

**American Consulting Professionals, LLC  
KCI Technologies, Inc.  
Lowe Engineers, LLC  
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.  
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**

**Contract #3: PI# 0016128, McDuffie and Wilkes Counties**

**Barge Design Solutions, Inc.  
Lowe Engineers, LLC  
Moffatt & Nichol  
Mott MacDonald, LLC  
R.K. Shah & Associates**

**Contract #4: PI#s 0016129 and 0016130, Jones and Monroe Counties**

**Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, PC  
Lowe Engineers, LLC  
Michael Baker International, Inc.  
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.  
STV Incorporated d/b/a STV Ralph Whitehead Associates**

**Contract #5: PI# 0013120, Monroe County**

**American Consulting Professionals, LLC**

**Mead and Hunt, Inc.**

**Michael Baker International, Inc.**

**Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**

**Pond & Company**

**Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**

**Contract #6: PI# 0015151, Chatham County**

**American Engineers, Inc.**

**Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.**

**Michael Baker International Inc.**

**Moffatt & Nichol**

**Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.**

**STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates**

**Contract #7: PI# 0015667, Baldwin County**

**American Consulting Professionals, LLC**

**Development Planning & Engineering, Inc.**

**Mott MacDonald, LLC**

**Pond & Company**

**Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**

**WSP USA, Inc.**

**Contract #8: PI# 0015688, Butts County**

**CHA Consulting, Inc.**

**Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.**

**Mott MacDonald, LLC**

**Pond & Company**

**Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**

**Contract #9: PI# 0015690, Muscogee County**

**Barge Design Solutions, Inc.**

**CHA Consulting, Inc.**

**Clark Paterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, PC**

**TranSystems Corporation**

**Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**



**Russell R. McMurry, P.E., Commissioner**  
 One Georgia Center  
 600 West Peachtree Street, NW  
 Atlanta, GA 30308  
 (404) 631-1000 Main Office

September 3, 2019

**NOTICE TO SELECTED FINALISTS - REVISED**

**To: CHA Consulting, Inc.; Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.; Mott MacDonald LLC, Pond & Company and Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**

**Please send an e-mail confirming receipt of this notice to Rhonda Hightower-Rucker (rhightower-rucker@dot.ga.gov).**

**Re: RFQ 484-052819, Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract #8, PI# 0015688, Butts County**

On behalf of the Selection Committee for the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) referenced above, we congratulate you and your firm on being selected as a finalist for further consideration. This notice shall serve as an official request for additional required information and action from finalists. **Please refer to the original solicitation (RFQ-484-052819), page 9, VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response, A&B and pages 10-12, IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response, A-D for instructions to submit your package.** As a finalist, your firm is required to comply with the written instructions and remaining schedule below:

**A. Technical Approach - 40%**

**This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.**

Furnish information that may serve to differentiate your firm from other firms and evidence of the firm's fit to the project and/or needs of GDOT, including:

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

**B. Past Performance - 10%**

**No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.**

Remaining Schedule

d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms	09/04/2019	-----
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	09/20/2019	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	10/1/2019	2:00 PM

**C. Finalist Selection**

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. For each evaluator, the points assigned to each criterion will be totaled and a rank will be determined. The rankings of all evaluators will be totaled for each finalist in order to determine the sum of the individual rankings. The finalists will be ranked in descending order of recommendation using the sum of individual rankings from the Selection Committee members. Should a tie exist for the highest ranking firm on the contract/project, and qualifications appear to be equal, the Selection Committee shall defer to the sum of the individual points and the award shall be made to the finalist with the highest sum.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract, including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm, GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations in writing and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

Please address any questions you may have to Rhonda Hightower-Rucker, and congratulations, again, to each of you!

Rhonda Hightower-Rucker  
[Rhightower-rucker@dot.ga.gov](mailto:Rhightower-rucker@dot.ga.gov)  
404-631-1430

## SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	<b>RFQ-484-052819</b>					
SOLICITATION TITLE:	<b>Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 8</b>					
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	<b>October 1, 2019</b>					
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	<b>2:00pm</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Consultants</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Compliant with Page # Limitations</b>	<b>Meets Required Area Classes</b>	
1	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	10/1/2019	1:27 PM	X	X	
2	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	10/1/2019	10:11 AM	X	X	
3	MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston	Firm Purchased by Kimley-Horn between Phase I and Phase II				
4	Pond & Company	10/1/2019	1:19 PM	X	X	
5	CHA Consulting, Inc.	10/1/2019	1:09 PM	X	X	
6	Mott MacDonald LLC	10/1/2019	1:01 PM	X	X	

# SOQ AREA CLASS CHECKLIST

Solicitation #: RFQ-484-052819

Solicitation Title: Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 8

Primes and Subconsultants	1.06(a)	1.06(b)	1.06(c)	1.06(d)	1.06(e)	1.06(f)	1.06(g)	1.07	1.10	2.01	2.02	2.06	2.07	2.08	2.12	2.15	3.01	3.02	3.03	3.04	3.05	3.08	3.01(a)	3.05	3.09	Certificate Expires
Chfa Consulting, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2/9/2020
Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/11/2020
MSA Professional Services, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/9/2021
MC Squared, Inc.																										11/9/2020
Vaughn and Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.										X	X						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	8/31/2021
Settimo Consulting Services, Inc.	X							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	5/28/2022
Southwestern Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/24/2024
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	6/31/2021
MSA Professional Services, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/9/2021
TetraXplorations, Inc.		X					X																			5/31/021
Ecological Solutions, Inc.	X				X		X																			2/28/2022
R. Powell and Associates, Inc.																X										5/31/2019
Southwestern Engineering, Inc.	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	12/31/2021
KCI Technologies, Inc.					X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	5/10/2020
MC Squared, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	11/9/2020
Moat Macdonald LLC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1/12/2020
Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/11/2020
Berge Design Solutions, Inc.	X				X		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	9/30/2021
Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	12/14/2020
Platinum Graphics, LLC										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/30/2022
Willmer Engineering, Inc.																										2/9/2020
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	11/9/2020
Kittelson & Associates									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	11/2/2020
Pond & Company	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	3/6/2021
Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/11/2020
Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	8/9/2020
Adrian Collaborative LLC	X				X		X																			7/13/2020
United Consulting					X		X																			3/7/2020
W-Skies, LLC															X											4/30/2022
Platinum Graphics, LLC										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/30/2022
Vanassee Hamgen Brustlin, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/30/2021
MSA Professional Services, Inc. dba Ourston									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4/9/2021
Concur Engineering LLC																										4/11/2020
CCR Environmental, Inc.							X																			6/7/2020
Accura Engineering and Consulting Services, Inc.																										1/31/2022
Settimo Consulting Services, Inc.																										12/29/2022
Atlanta Consulting Engineering, Inc.																										7/12/2021
Berge Design Solutions, Inc.	X				X		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	9/30/2021



**GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF SUBMITTALS**

<b>Solicitation Title</b>	<b>Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.</b>
<b>Solicitation #</b>	<b>RFQ-484-052819</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Mott MacDonald LLC</b>
<b>PHASE I AND PHASE II - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.</b>
<b>(This Page For GDOT Use)</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>CHA Consulting, Inc</b>
		<b>6</b>	<b>Pond &amp; Company</b>
		<b>6</b>	<b>MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston</b>
			<b>(RANKING)</b>
		<b>Sum of</b>	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>Group</b>
<b>SUBMITTING FIRMS</b>		<b>Score</b>	<b>Ranking</b>
<b>Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.</b>		<b>900</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.</b>		<b>825</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston</b>		<b>400</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Pond &amp; Company</b>		<b>650</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>CHA Consulting, Inc.</b>		<b>675</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Mott MacDonald LLC</b>		<b>850</b>	<b>2</b>

Evaluation Criteria →

*Experience and Qualifications*  
*Resources and Workload Capacity*  
*Technical Approach*  
*Past Performance*

	PHASE I		PHASE II		Group Scores and Ranking	
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	<b>300</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>		
<b>SUBMITTING FIRMS</b>	▼	▼	▼	▼	<b>Total Score</b>	<b>Ranking</b>
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Excellent	900	1
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	825	3
MSA Professional Services Inc. dba Ourston	Excellent	Adequate	0	0	400	6
Pond & Company	Good	Good	Adequate	Good	650	5
CHA Consulting, Inc	Good	Good	Adequate	Excellent	675	4
Mott MacDonald LLC	Good	Good	Excellent	Good	850	2
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	<b>300</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1000 %</b>	

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Vanessa Hangmen Brustlin, Inc.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><b>Vanessa Hangmen Brustlin, Inc.'s (VHB) Technical Approach identified environmental challenges (pertaining to history), however, they did not present any viable solutions to mitigate impacts to 4(f) resources. The Firm was aware of a future widening project along the corridor and the need to coordinate with the future plans. The proposed design limited impacts to an existing church and farmstead. The Firm mentioned that the Project Manager will work to press for early submittals and provide continuous updates to GDOT's P6 schedule. The Firm mentioned public involvement with Henry county to overcome public opposition to roundabouts. The QA checklist will be used by the design team for deliverables.</b></p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p><b>2 of the 3 evaluators have past experience working with VHB. Based on this past experience, the evaluators stated the Firm was very responsive and has an extensive history of providing quality environmental work for GDOT. The Firm addressed issues in a timely manner. Using the past experience from the evaluators, along with CMIS Vendor Evaluations (PI # 322250-, # 0013525) and the comments from the survey response (from Survey Monkey), the evaluators agreed to a rating of Excellent.</b></p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	<b>PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS</b>	
Firm	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><b>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.'s Technical Approach identified existing schedule delays and made plans to recover. The Firm noted a challenge in the horizontal alignment that is not currently part of the proposed design and the solutions presented is the actual current design. The Firm noted that they performed peer reviews. The Firm stated that they would involve the Project Manager and other KTLs of risk and recovery as needed. The Firm identified an additional resource to assist with schedule. The technical approach detailed minimal impacts expected to environmental resources based on initial environmental screening and their currently proposed design. The current proposed design did not consider the future widening project on this corridor. The Firm provided a detailed approach to evaluate for a staged construction alternative and a partial closure alternative to avoid full detours. The proposed approach plans to limit lighting impacts on adjacent environmental resources. The Firm will use GDOT processes for QC/QA.</b></p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><b>All 3 evaluators have past experience working with Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Based on past experience, the evaluators stated that the firm is responsive and quickly returns quality environmental and design deliverables. Using the past experience of the evaluators, along with CMIS Vendor Evaluations (PI #0013736, #370860-, #0011678, and 0011679) and the comments from the survey response (from Survey Monkey), the evaluators agreed to a rating of Good.</b></p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	<b>PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS</b>	
Firm	Pond & Company		
Technical Approach		<b>Assigned Rating</b>	Adequate
<p><b>Pond &amp; Company's Technical Approach was standard. The Firm focused on the technical design procedures. There was little detail outlining their environmental approach and anticipated level of environmental studies. The technical approach made accommodation of future widening projects. The Firm discussed their QC/QA process.</b></p>			
Past Performance		<b>Assigned Rating</b>	Good
<p><b>None of the evaluators have past experience working with Pond &amp; Company. The evaluators used past performance comments from the survey response (from Survey Monkey), comments from The Consultant Environmental Tracking System and comments and rating from CMIS Vendor Evaluations (PI # 0010821, #721790- and #721780-) and agreed to a rating of Good.</b></p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	<b>PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS</b>	
Firm	CHA Consulting, Inc.		
<b>Technical Approach</b>		<b>Assigned Rating</b>	Adequate
<p><b>CHA Consulting, Inc.'s Technical Approach was standard. The technical approach evaluated options that minimized Right Of Way (ROW) impacts. Their proposed design alternative should minimize impacts to the existing church and historic resources. The technical approach provided unique approach to resolving challenges for public outreach specifically for roundabouts.</b></p>			
<b>Past Performance</b>		<b>Assigned Rating</b>	Excellent
<p><b>None of the evaluators have past experience working with, CHA Consulting, Inc., however, the technical approach list Edwards Pitman as their environmental sub consultant, and 2 of the 3 evaluators have past experience with this sub consultant and agreed that this sub consultant performs superb work. Based on comments and scores from CMIS Vendor Evaluations (PI # 0014898 and #0014895 ) for CHA Consulting, Inc. the evaluators agreed to a rating of Excellent.</b></p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Mott MacDonald LLC		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p><b>Mott MacDonald has their own standard QC/QA process which is ISO 9001 certification. The Firm discussed QC reviews at interim milestones and independent peer reviews outside of project teams. The technical approach noted a need for coordination of the roundabout design with the widening project (PI #0013619). The Firm noted that they would conduct weekly conference calls with sub consultants and provide meeting minutes to the GDOT Project Manager. The Firm has a proactive and detailed procurement plan for task orders. The Firm will provide meeting minutes for preparation of ROW and Let status meetings. The technical approach provides a comprehensive plan for the environmental coordination process, beginning with a detailed projection of anticipated environmental effects, based on their initial desktop and field survey results. The Firm has a detailed plan for early coordination and public involvement.</b></p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Good
<p><b>1 of the 3 evaluators has past experience working with Mott MacDonald LLC. One of the evaluators is currently working on PI 0013238 and noted that Mott MacDonald is responsive and proactive with project deliverables. Mott MacDonald LLC's Technical Approach list Edwards Pitman as their environmental sub consultant, and 2 of the 3 evaluators have past experience with this sub consultant and agree that this sub consultant provides superb work. The evaluators used past performance comments from the survey response (from Survey Monkey), comments from The Consultant Environmental Tracking System ( PI #0013700, #751770-) and rating from CMIS Vendor Evaluations (PI #0013238 ) and agreed to a rating of Good.</b></p>			



Reference Check Summary for  
RFQ 484-052819, Contract #8  
Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services

	CHA Consulting, Inc.***	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Mott MacDonald LLC	Pond & Company	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
<i>Questions answered on a 1, 3, 5 scale.</i>					
<i>1 = Below Expectations, 3 = Met Expectations, 5 = Exceeded Expectations</i>					
1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project.					
Reference 1		3	3	5	5
Reference 2					
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	0.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	5.00
2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.					
Reference 1		5	5	5	5
Reference 2					
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	0.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goal's.					
Reference 1		3	5	3	5
Reference 2					
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	0.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	5.00
4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management.					
Reference 1		5	5	5	5
Reference 2					
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	0.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.					
Reference 1		5	5	5	5
Reference 2					
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	0.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Overall Average	0.00	4.20	4.60	4.60	5.00

\*\*\*Surveyor responded as unable to complete survey due to Conflict of Interest

#1

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Email Invitation 1 (Email)  
**Started:** Friday, September 06, 2019 2:43:40 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, September 06, 2019 2:46:24 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:43  
**Email:** ewhitlock@coweta.ga.us  
**IP Address:** 174.218.21.32

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

### Q1 Contact Information

Eddie Whitlock  
Assistant County Administrator  
Coweta County, Georgia  
ewhitlock@coweta.ga.us  
770-254-2601

**Eddie Whitlock**  
**Associate County Administrator**  
**Coweta County, Georgia**  
**ewhitlock@coweta.ga.us**  
**770-254-2601**

**Q2** A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

**Yes**

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

**Q3** Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q5** Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q6** Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q8** Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

**Respondent skipped this question**

#1

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Email Invitation 1 (Email)  
**Started:** Thursday, September 19, 2019 4:15:44 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, September 19, 2019 4:20:30 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:45  
**Email:** hmalik@augustaga.gov  
**IP Address:** 184.95.149.120

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

**Q1 Contact Information**

Name	<b>Hameed Malik</b>
Company	<b>City of Augusta Engineering</b>
Title	<b>Director</b>
Email Address	<b>hmalik@augustaga.gov</b>
Phone Number	<b>706-796-5068</b>

**Q2** A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

**No**

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

**Q3** Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

**3 - Met expectations**

**Q4** Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q5** Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

**3 - Met expectations**

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.; Druid Park Avenue at Laney Walker Boulevard

**Q6** Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q7** Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q8** Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

very response, provide regular update, ability to resolve field conflict during construction

#1

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Email Invitation 1 (Email)  
**Started:** Friday, September 20, 2019 3:32:32 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, September 20, 2019 3:33:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:12  
**Email:** smepperson@ncdot.gov  
**IP Address:** 199.90.35.10

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

**Q1** Contact Information

Name	Sean Epperson
Company	NCDOT
Email Address	smepperson@ncdot.gov
Phone Number	704-957-6130

**Q2** A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

**Q3** Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

3 - Met expectations

**Q4** Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

**Q5** Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations



**Q6** Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q7** Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q8** Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

**Respondent skipped this question**

#1

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Email Invitation 1 (Email)  
**Started:** Monday, September 23, 2019 8:48:01 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, September 23, 2019 11:34:42 AM  
**Time Spent:** 02:46:40  
**Email:** sexley@columbiacountyga.gov  
**IP Address:** 162.216.25.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

**Q1 Contact Information**

Name	<b>STEVE EXLEY</b>
Company	<b>Columbia County Board of Commissioners</b>
Email Address	<b>SEXLEY@COLUMBIACOUNTYGA.GOV</b>
Phone Number	<b>7064477602</b>

**Q2** A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

**No**

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

**Q3** Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q4** Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q5** Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

**3 - Met expectations**

**Q6** Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q7** Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q8** Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Solid roadway designers and good communicators. They keep the project moving on schedule.

#1

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Email Invitation 1 (Email)  
**Started:** Monday, September 09, 2019 1:45:21 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, September 09, 2019 1:47:53 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:32  
**Email:** rburckhalter@co.henry.ga.us  
**IP Address:** 68.118.115.151

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

**Q1 Contact Information**

Ronald Burckhalter

Henry County

Henry County, GA

rburckhalter@co.henry.ga.us

(770) 288-7339

**David Simmons**

**Henry County**

**Henry County, GA**

**dsimmons@co.henry.ga.us**

**(770) 288-7641**

**Q2** A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

**No**

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

**Q3** Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q4** Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

**Q5** Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

**5 - Exceeded expectations**

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Vanasse Hangen Brustlin (VHB), Inc.; SR 20 AT  
EAST LAKE ROAD ROUNDABOUT - HENRY COUNTY, GA

**Q6** Rate the firm's technical assistance in  
program/project management

**5 - Exceeded  
expectations**

**Q7** Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded  
expectations**

**Q8** Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

VHB personnel (made up of previous McGee Partners personnel) typically provide a good design product.

**SAM Search Results**

**List of records matching your search for :**

**Search Term : MSA PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Inc. dba Ourston\***

**Record Status: Active**

**No Search Results**



**SAM Search Results**  
**List of records matching your search for :**

**Search Term : CONTOUR ENGINEERING, LLC\***  
**Record Status: Active**

<b>ENTITY</b> CONTOUR ENGINEERING, LLC	Status: Active
--	----------------

DUNS: 050433932    +4:	CAGE Code: 3EPX6	DoDAAC:
------------------------	------------------	---------

Expiration Date: 10/01/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------

Address: 1955 VAUGHN RD NW STE 101

City: KENNESAW

State/Province: GEORGIA

ZIP Code: 30144-7808

Country: UNITED STATES

**SAM Search Results**  
**List of records matching your search for :**

**Search Term : CCR ENVIRONMENTAL INC\***  
**Record Status: Active**

<b>ENTITY</b>	CCR ENVIRONMENTAL INC	Status: Active
---------------	-----------------------	----------------

DUNS: 120290635	+4:	CAGE Code: 1QXB2	DoDAAC:
-----------------	-----	------------------	---------

Expiration Date: 09/04/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------

Address: 3772 PLEASANTDALE RD STE 150

City: ATLANTA

State/Province: GEORGIA

ZIP Code: 30340-3709

Country: UNITED STATES

**SAM Search Results**  
**List of records matching your search for :**

**Search Term : Accura Engineering And Consulting Services, Inc.\***  
**Record Status: Active**

<b>ENTITY</b>	Accura Engineering And Consulting Services, Inc.	Status: Active
---------------	--	----------------

DUNS: 168562267	+4:	CAGE Code: 534H9	DoDAAC:
-----------------	-----	------------------	---------

Expiration Date: 03/20/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------

Address: 3200 Presidential Dr

City: ATLANTA

ZIP Code: 30340-3910

State/Province: GEORGIA

Country: UNITED STATES

**SAM Search Results**  
**List of records matching your search for :**

**Search Term : SETTIMIO CONSULTING SERVICES INC.\***  
**Record Status: Active**

**No Search Results**

**SAM Search Results**

**List of records matching your search for :**

**Search Term : ATLANTA CONSULTING ENGINEERING, INC.\***

**Record Status: Active**

**No Search Results**

**SAM Search Results**  
**List of records matching your search for :**

**Search Term : Barge Design Solutions, Inc.\***  
**Record Status: Active**

<b>ENTITY</b> Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 008636446 +4:	CAGE Code: 32VX0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/16/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3535 Grandview Pkwy Ste 500	
City: Birmingham	State/Province: ALABAMA
ZIP Code: 35243-1976	Country: UNITED STATES

<b>ENTITY</b> Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079454163 +4:	CAGE Code: 767F3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/16/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5445 Triangle Pkwy Ste 240	
City: NORCROSS	State/Province: GEORGIA
ZIP Code: 30092-2587	Country: UNITED STATES

<b>ENTITY</b> Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 097746929 +4:	CAGE Code: 33MA9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/30/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 200 Clinton Ave W Ste 800	
City: Huntsville	State/Province: ALABAMA
ZIP Code: 35801-4933	Country: UNITED STATES

<b>ENTITY</b> BARGE DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 361006083 +4:	CAGE Code: 33LP5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/21/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 4 Sheridan Sq Ste 100	
City: Kingsport	State/Province: TENNESSEE
ZIP Code: 37660-7435	Country: UNITED STATES



ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 092330620	+4:	CAGE Code: 33KT5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/16/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 520 W Summit Hill Dr Ste 1202		
City: Knoxville	State/Province: TENNESSEE	
ZIP Code: 37902-2012	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 166180968	+4:	CAGE Code: 33KU9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/17/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 60 Germantown Ct Ste 100		
City: Cordova	State/Province: TENNESSEE	
ZIP Code: 38018-4239	Country: UNITED STATES	


ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 804749406	+4:	CAGE Code: 33LB3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/16/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2047 W Main St Ste 1		
City: Dothan	State/Province: ALABAMA	
ZIP Code: 36301-6405	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	BARGE DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 129307307	+4:	CAGE Code: 33LY6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/08/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1110 Market St Ste 200		
City: Chattanooga	State/Province: TENNESSEE	
ZIP Code: 37402-2901	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079239071	+4:	CAGE Code: 726N3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/08/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1201 Front Ave Ste F		
City: Columbus	State/Province: GEORGIA	
ZIP Code: 31901-5275	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 081100140	+4:	CAGE Code: 824S6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/25/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5100 Westheimer Rd Ste 200		
City: Houston	State/Province: TEXAS	
ZIP Code: 77056-5597	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 081107439	+4:	CAGE Code: 82NA4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/14/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 250 E Fifth St Ste 1500		
City: Cincinnati	State/Province: OHIO	
ZIP Code: 45202-4252	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 617183157	+4:	CAGE Code: 0S8F0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/16/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1370 VANGUARD BLVD		
City: MIAMISBURG	State/Province: OHIO	
ZIP Code: 45342-0313	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 044267599	+4:	CAGE Code: 0F8K9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/16/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 615 3rd Ave S Ste 700		
City: Nashville	State/Province: TENNESSEE	
ZIP Code: 37210-2345	Country: UNITED STATES	

**STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**  
**NOTICE OF PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT QUALIFICATION**  
 You are qualified to provide Consulting Services to the Department of Transportation for the  
 area-classes of work checked below. Notice of qualification is not a notice of selection.

NAME AND ADDRESS		DISPOSITION DATE	EXPIRATION DATE
VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC. 1355 Peachtree Street, Suite 100, Atlanta, GA 30309		February 18, 2019	April 30, 2021
<b>SIGNATURE</b>			
			
<b>1. Transportation Planning</b>		<b>3. Highway Design Roadway (continued)</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.01	State Wide Systems Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.09	Traffic Control System Analysis, Design and Implementation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.10	Utility Coordination
<input type="checkbox"/> 1.03	Aviation Systems Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.11	Architecture
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.05	Alternate System and Corridor Location Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
<input type="checkbox"/> 1.06	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.14	Historic Rehabilitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06a	NEPA Documentation	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.15	Highway Lighting
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06b	History	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.16	Value Engineering
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06c	Air Studies	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.17	Design of Toll Facilities Infrastructure
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06d	Noise Studies		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06e	Ecology	<b>4. Highway Structures</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06f	Archaeology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.01a	Minor Bridges Design
<input type="checkbox"/> 1.06g	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.01b	Minor Bridges Design CONDITIONAL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06h	Bat Surveys	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.02	Major Bridges Design
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.07	Attitude, Opinion and Community Value Studies	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.03	Movable Span Bridges Design
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.08	Airport Master Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.09	Location Studies	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.05	Bridge Inspection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.10	Traffic Studies	<b>5. Topography</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.01	Land Surveying
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.12	Major Investment Studies	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.02	Engineering Surveying
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.13	Non-Motorized Transportation Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.03	Geodetic Surveying
<b>2. Mass Transit Operations</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> 5.04	Aerial Photography
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems) Management	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.05	Aerial Photogrammetry
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.07	Cartography
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communications and Information Systems	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.08	Subsurface Utility Engineering
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering	<b>6. Soils, Foundation &amp; Materials Testing</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.01a	Soil Surveys
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical Systems	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.01b	Geological and Geophysical Studies
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.09	Aviation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Soils and Foundation)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems) Marketing	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.04a	Laboratory Materials Testing
		<input type="checkbox"/> 6.04b	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6.05	Hazard Waste Site Assessment Studies
<b>3. Highway Design Roadway</b>		<b>8. Construction</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Rural Generally Free Access Highway Design	<input type="checkbox"/> 8.01	Construction Supervision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane with Curb and Gutter Generally Free Access Highways Design Including Storm Sewers	<b>9. Erosion and Sedimentation Control</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.03	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Widening and Reconstruction, with Curb and Gutter and Storm Sewers in Heavily Developed Commercial Industrial and Residential Urban Areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control and Comprehensive Monitoring Program
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.04	Multi-Lane, Limited Access Expressway Type Highway Design	<input type="checkbox"/> 9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.05	Design of Urban Expressway and Interstate	<input type="checkbox"/> 9.03	Field Inspections for Compliance of Erosion and Sedimentation Control Devices Installations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.06	Traffic Operations Studies		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.07	Traffic Operations Design		
<input type="checkbox"/> 3.08	Landscape Architecture		