



Interoffice Memo

DATE: December 11, 2019

FROM: Curtis Scott, Transportation Services Procurement Manager

TO: Treasury Young, Procurement Administrator

SUBJECT RFQ 484-052819, Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract #5 – PI# 0013120, Monroe County Ranking Approval

The Office of Procurement's Transportation Services Procurement Section has reviewed and evaluated Statements of Qualifications, Technical Approach, and Past Performance for the above referenced project.

Attached for your review is one (1) set of the following:

- Advertisement and all Addendums
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase I
- GDOT Guide for Selection Committee Members (Phase I and II)
- Preliminary Ratings and Comments from Evaluators
- Selection Committee Ratings for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection Committee Comments for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection of Finalists Notification and Notice to Selected Finalists
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase II
- Area Class Checklist
- Selection Committee Overall Ratings for Phase I and Phase II
- Selection Committee Comments for Finalists – Phase II
- Past Performance Reference Checks and any available additional documentation
- Verification of Non-Debarment from SAM Website for Intended Awardee and Team
- Prequalification Certificate for Intended Awardee

The six (6) highest firms in order of ranking are as follows:

1. **Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**
2. **American Consulting Professionals, LLC**
3. **Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**
3. **Michael Baker International, Inc.**
3. **Mead and Hunt, Inc.**
6. **Pond & Company**

The Selection Committee recommends the selection of the top ranked firm, **Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**

Concurrence with Award from Responsible Division Director:

Certification Procurement Requirements Met:


Albert Shelby, Director of Program Delivery


Treasury Young, Procurement Administrator

CS:fb

Attachments



Georgia Department of Transportation

Georgia Department of Transportation

Request for Qualifications

To Provide

Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services

RFQ-484-052819

Qualifications Due: May 28, 2019

**Georgia Department of Transportation
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308**

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

484-052819

Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services

I. General Project Information

A. Overview

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is soliciting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) from qualified firm(s) to provide Engineering Design Consultant Services for the projects listed below (note that certain projects may be grouped with other projects and awarded as one (1) contract):

Contract	County	PI #	Project Description
1	Glynn	0014914	CR 583/SEA ISLAND ROAD @ DUNBAR CREEK ON ST SIMONS ISLAND
2	Butts	0016126	SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON (Bridge Design in-house)
	Butts	0016127	SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
3	McDuffie & Wilkes	0016128	SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON
4	Monroe	0016129	SR 18 @ NS #718484D 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
	Jones & Monroe	0016130	SR 18 @ OCMULGEE RIVER 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
5	Monroe	0013120	SR 74 @ SR 42
6	Chatham	0015151	SR 204 FROM SR 21 TO CS 1201/RIO ROAD @ 25 LOCS
7	Baldwin	0015667	SR 22 @ SR 24
8	Butts	0015688	SR 16 @ CR 291/ENGLAND CHAPEL ROAD
9	Muscogee	0015690	SR 22/US 80 @ SR 22 SPUR

This Request for Qualifications (RFQ) seeks to identify potential providers for the Scope of Services for the project/contract listed in Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-9. Firms that respond to this RFQ, and are determined by GDOT to be sufficiently qualified, may be deemed eligible, and invited to offer a technical approach and/or possibly present and/or interview for these services. All respondents to this RFQ are subject to instructions communicated in this document, and are cautioned to completely review the entire RFQ and follow instructions carefully. GDOT reserves the right to reject any or all Statements of Qualifications or Technical Approach, and to waive technicalities and informalities at the discretion of GDOT.

B. IMPORTANT- A RESTRICTION OF COMMUNICATION IS IN EFFECT FOR THIS PROJECT.

From the advertisement date of this solicitation until successful respondents are selected and the award is made official and announced, firms are not allowed to communicate about this solicitation or scope with any staff of GDOT including the Commissioner and GDOT Board Members, except for the submission of questions as instructed in the RFQ, or with the contact designated in **RFQ Section VIII.C.**, or as provided by any existing work agreement(s). For violation of this provision, GDOT reserves the right to reject the submittal of the offending respondent.

C. The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
Equal Opportunity Division
One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Scope of Services

Under the terms of the resulting Agreements, the selected consultants will provide full engineering design services as well as associated engineering related services, for the GDOT Project identified. The anticipated scope of work for the project/contract is included in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-9**.

In addition, GDOT desires that the Consultant have the ability to provide, either with its own forces or through a sub-consultant team member, comprehensive services necessary to fulfill all preliminary engineering services which may arise during the project cycle.

E. Contract Term and Type

GDOT anticipates one (1) Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract to be awarded to one (1) firm, for the project/contract identified. GDOT anticipates that the Contract Type will be paid via Firm Fixed Price and/or Cost Plus Fixed Fee methodology. As a Project Specific contract, it is the Department's intention that the Agreements will remain in effect until successful completion of the preliminary engineering phase of the projects, and may choose to utilize the selected consultant for use on construction revisions as necessary.

F. Contract Amount

The Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract amount will be determined via negotiations with the Department. If the Department is unable to reach a satisfactory agreement and at reasonable rates to be paid for the services to be provided, the Department reserves the right to terminate negotiations with the highest scoring finalist and begin negotiations with the next highest scoring finalist.

II. Selection Method

A. Method of Communication

All general communication of relevant information regarding this solicitation will be made via the Georgia Procurement Registry (GPR) under RFQ-484-052819. All firms are responsible for checking the GPR on a regular basis for updates, clarifications, and announcements. GDOT reserves the right to communicate via electronic-mail with the primary contact listed in the Statements of Qualifications. Other specific communications will be made as indicated in the remainder of this RFQ.

B. Phase I - Selection of Finalists

Based on the Statements of Qualifications submitted in response to the projects/contracts listed in this RFQ, the Selection Committee will review the **Experience and Qualifications** and **Resources and Workload Capacity** listed in **Section IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I**. The Selection Committee will discuss the top submittals and the final rankings of the top submittals will be determined. From the final rankings of the top submittals, the Selection Committee will identify three (3) to five (5) firms which will be shortlisted.

All firms must meet the minimum requirements as listed in **Section IV.A.** below.

C. Finalist Notification for Phase II

Firms selected and shortlisted as finalists will receive notification and final instructions from GDOT regarding the **Phase II – Technical Approach** response.

D. Phase II - Finalists Response on Technical Approach and Past Performance

GDOT will request a **Technical Approach** of the three (3) to five (5) finalist firms for the project/contract. GDOT reserves the right to request a presentation/interview on any project/contract as determined in its best interests; however, this additional requirement shall typically be reserved for the most complex projects. Each finalist firm shall be notified in writing and informed of the Technical Approach due date. Any additional detailed Technical Approach instructions and requirements, beyond that provided in **Section V. Selection Criteria for Phase II**, for the finalists will be provided in the Finalist Notification. All members of the Selection Committee will review the Technical Approach (and will attend the presentation/interview if so chosen). **Firms shall not address any questions, prior to the award announcement, to anyone other than the designated contact.**

E. Final Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. The Selection Committee will discuss the Finalist's Phase II Responses and the final rankings will be determined.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm(s) to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract(s), including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm(s), GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

III. Schedule of Events

The following Schedule of Events represents GDOT's best estimate of the Schedule that will be followed. All times indicated are prevailing times in Atlanta, Georgia. GDOT reserves the right to adjust the Schedule as GDOT deems necessary.

PHASE I	DATE	TIME
a. GDOT issues public advertisement of RFQ-484-052819	4/26/2019	-----
b. Deadline for submission of written questions and requests for clarification	5/13/2019	2:00 PM
c. Deadline for submission of Statements of Qualifications	5/28/2019	2:00 PM
d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms	TBD	
PHASE II		
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	TBD	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	TBD	TBA

IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I - Criteria for Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

A. Area Class Requirements and Certification

Presented teams must be prequalified in the indicated Area Class(es) in order to be evaluated. Required proof of prequalification shall be submitted as indicated in **Section VI.B.4.** below. All Submittals will be pre-screened to verify that the Prime consultant has the required Area Class(es) and that the overall team has the required Area Class(es). Any submittal in which the Prime consultant or the overall team area class requirements are not met will be disqualified from further consideration.

Each submittal will require a certification to allow the Department to analyze risks in determining if any Firm should be ineligible for award. The certification shall cover a wide variety of information. Any firm which responds in any potentially concerning manner must provide additional information as directed herein for consideration by GDOT to determine if Firm is eligible for award.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Experience and Qualifications, which shall account for a total of thirty (30%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring Phase I of the evaluation will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager education, registration, relevant engineering experience, relevant project management experience, experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
2. Key Team Leaders' education, registration, relevant technical experience, and relevant experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
3. Prime Consultant's experience in delivering projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.

C. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Resources availability and Workload Capacity which shall account for a total of twenty (20%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring the Resources and Workload Capacity will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager Workload
2. Workload capacity of Key Team Leader(s)
3. Resources dedicated to delivering project
4. Ability to Meet Project Schedule

V. Selection Criteria for Phase II - Criteria for Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

A. Technical Approach – 40%

The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms (Finalists) on their Technical Approach, which shall account for a total of forty (40%) percent. The Selection Committee shall utilize the following additional criteria for scoring Phase II of the evaluation to determine the highest ranked/most qualified (**NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward and combined with the scores from the Phase II to determine the final ranking of Finalists**):

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. Past Performance – 10%

The Selection Committee may consider information provided via references provided for relevant projects, knowledge any selection committee member has of performance on relevant projects, and performance evaluations or knowledge presented on GDOT projects. The Selection Committee will consider all factors in their totality and score from 0 to 10 when arriving at a final score for the Past Performance.

VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response

The Statements of Qualifications submittal must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section VIII, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

Cover page – Each project/contract submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each submittal for each project/contract and each must list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

A. Administrative Requirements

It is required to submit the information below for each copy of each submittal. This is general information and will not be scored but may be used to determine eligibility for selection. **Under Administrative Requirements section, only submit the information requested; additional information will be subject to disqualification of your firm.**

1. **Basic company information:**
 - a. **Company name.**
 - b. **Company Headquarter Address.**
 - c. **Contact Information** - Name and all contact information (telephone number(s) and e-mail address) of primary proposing contact (this will be the individual with whom the Department will direct all communications).
 - d. **Company website** (if available).
 - e. **Georgia Addresses** - Identify and provide addresses for the offices located in the State of Georgia.
 - f. **Staff** - List the number and disciplines of staff members employed in each office in the State of Georgia.
 - g. **Ownership** - Provide form of ownership, including state of residency or incorporation, and number of years in business. Is the Offeror a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability Corporation, or other structure?
2. **Certification Form** - Complete the Certification Form (*Exhibit "II" enclosed with RFQ*), and provide a notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
3. **Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit** – Complete the form (*Exhibit "III" enclosed with RFQ*), and provide a notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
4. **Addenda** - Signed cover page of any Addenda issued for the Prime **ONLY**.

B. Experience and Qualifications

1. **Project Manager** - Provide information pertaining to the project manager, including but not limited to:
 - a. **Education.**
 - b. **Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
 - c. **Relevant engineering experience.**
 - d. **Relevant project management experience** for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.
 - e. **Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (Plan Development Process, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.).

This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.

2. **Key Team Leaders** - Provide experience of Key Team Leaders (defined as those individuals who oversee project areas determined as particularly important to each specific project, refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I, specifically Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Project). For each Key Team Leader identified provide:
 - a. **Education.**
 - b. **Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
 - c. **Relevant experience** in the applicable resource area of the most relevant projects.
 - d. **Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.) which are specific to the key team leader's area.

This information is limited to one (1) page maximum for each Key Team Leader identified in Section 7 of each Exhibit I. Respondents submitting more than one (1) page for each Key Team Leader identified will be subject to disqualification. Respondents who provide more Key Team Leaders than what is outlined in the requirement will be subject to disqualification as this would provide an advantage over firms who complied with the requirement and had the required number of Key Team Leaders. Respondents who do not provide the required Key Team Leaders will be subject to disqualification as this does not meet the requirements of the project and therefore would deem the respondent and its team unqualified for the award.

3. **Prime Experience** - Provide information on the prime's experience and ability in delivering effective services for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function, which demonstrate the firm's capabilities to provide services for GDOT. For each project, the following information should be provided:
 - a. **Client name, project location and dates** during which services were performed.
 - b. **Description of overall project and services performed** by your firm.
 - c. **Duration of project services provided** by your firm, and overall project budget.
 - d. **Experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.)
 - e. **Client(s) current contact information** including contact names and telephone numbers.
 - f. **Involvement of Key Team Leaders** on the projects.

This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.

4. **Area Class Summary Form and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications** - Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their sub-consultants, who are considered team members. Prime Consultants and their sub-consultant team members must meet the Area Class requirements listed in Exhibit I for each project on which they apply. In regards to the required Area Classes, for each project/contract on which they apply, respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in Exhibit IV) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes and firm's meeting the area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. If a team member's prequalification will expire prior to the due date of the SOQs, documentation must be provided which shows that the firm has submitted its application for prequalification prior to the SOQ due date. The team must maintain its prequalification certification in order to be considered eligible for award if selected. **Additionally, respondents should submit the Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications (for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants for each project) issued by GDOT and attach after the Area Class summary form.**

This information is limited to the one page for the Area Class table (unless the project needs require an extensive list of area classes) and the required Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications.

C. Resources/Workload Capacity

1. **Overall Resources** - Provide information regarding the overall resources dedicated to delivering the specific project, including:
 - a. **Organizational chart** which identifies the project manager, prime, Key Team Leaders, support personnel, and reporting structure. This chart may be submitted on a 11" x 17" page. **(Excluded from the page count)**
 - b. **Primary Office** - Identify and discuss the primary office which will be responsible for handling the specific project and the number and types of staff within the office and how this office could benefit the project and promote efficiency. **This information to be included on the one (1) page allowed combined with the Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability.**
 - c. **Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability** – Respondents are to provide information regarding additional resource areas identified as important to the project, to discuss how the key areas will integrate and work together on the project, to discuss any information which is pertinent to these areas, to provide a narrative regarding how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. (GDOT recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project loads.) Respondents may discuss the advantages of your team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule as identified in **Exhibit I** (where applicable). If there is no proposed schedule, discuss the advantages of the team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. **Respondents submitting more than the one (1) page allowed (combined for C1.b. and C1.c.), will be subject to disqualification.**

2. **Project Manager Commitment Table** - Provide a list of ALL projects (GDOT, other governments and private contracts – Information may be validated and any firm determined not to be listing all projects may be subject to disqualification) on which the proposed project manager is currently committed, to enable the Department to ascertain the project manager’s availability. Utilize a table similar to the following format with a minimum of all criteria indicated to provide the requested information:

Project Manager	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of PM on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

3. **Key Team Leader Project Commitment Table** - Provide a table similar to the below, with a minimum of all criteria indicated, which identifies ALL projects the Key Team Leaders (refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I**, specifically **Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Project) are committed on to enable the Department to ascertain the available capacity.

Key Team Leader	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of Key Team Leader on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

This information is limited to the organization chart (excluded from page count), one (1) page combined of text (for both the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability), and the tables.

VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response

The following information will only be requested of the shortlisted firms. The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms using the information provided as requested below (NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward to Phase II):

The Phase II response must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section IX, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

Phase II Cover page – Each submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each Phase II submittal and each must indicate the response is for Phase II, list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

A. Technical Approach

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.

B. Past Performance

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Past performance may be evaluated through the checking of project references for the proposed project manager as well as the firm. The Department will check these references at random. For this reason, attention should be paid to the references provided to ensure that the contact information provided is accurate and the individual references are reachable. Other past performance information which may be utilized includes GDOT consultant performance ratings as well as knowledge that any member of the Selection Committee has pertaining to the past performance of the firm on any project.

VIII. Instructions for Submittal for Phase I - Statements of Qualifications

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VI, entitled Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response.** See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification. Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications - Phase I Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

Statements of Qualifications submittals must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm's full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract number being submitted on. To submit your Statement of Qualification click the following Links:

Contract 1: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%201%20

Contract 2: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%202%20

Contract 3: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%203%20

Contract 4: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%204%20

Contract 5: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%205%20

Contract 6: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%206%20

Contract 7: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%207%20

Contract 8: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%208%20

Contract 9: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%209%20

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folayan Battle at fbattle@dot.ga.gov.**

Statements of Qualifications **must be received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in the Schedule of Events (Section III of RFQ).

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

C. Questions and Requests for Clarification

Questions about any aspect of the RFQ, or the project, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: fbattle@dot.ga.gov**. The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the RFQ are the times and dates shown in the (Schedule of Events- Section III). From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in Section I.B.

IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response

THESE INSTRUCTIONS ARE INTENDED SOLELY FOR THOSE FIRMS IDENTIFIED AND NOTIFIED AS FINALISTS. Final Instructions will be provided to the Finalists in the notification.

Please note that each project/contract will follow an individual schedule which meets the availability of each Selection Committee. For this reason, the Notice to Selected Finalists and resulting Phase II responses may be on different schedules for each project/contract.

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VII, entitled Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response - Phase II Response**. See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section **should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification**. Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VI. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response-Phase II Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

- C. **Technical Approach submittal must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm's full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract being submitted on. To submit your Technical Approach click the following Links:**

Contract 1: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%201%20

Contract 2: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%202%20

Contract 3: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%203%20

Contract 4: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%204%20

Contract 5: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%205%20

Contract 6: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%206%20

Contract 7: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%207%20

Contract 8: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%208%20

Contract 9: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-052819%20Contract%209%20

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folayan Battle at fbattle@dot.ga.gov.**

Technical Approach must be received by GDOT prior to the deadline indicated in Notice to Selected Finalists.

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

Responses submitted via facsimile or e-mail will be rejected. All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

D. Questions and Requests for Clarification

Questions about any aspect of the Phase II Response for Finalists, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: fbattle@dot.ga.gov or as directed in the Notice to Selected Finalists, if different.** The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the Phase II Response will be identified in the Notice to Selected Finalists. From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B.**

X. GDOT Terms and Conditions

A. Statement of Agreement

With the submission of a SOQ, the respondent agrees that he/she has carefully examined the Request for Qualifications, and agrees that it is the respondent's responsibility to request clarification on any issues in any section of the Request for Qualifications with which the respondent disagrees or needs clarified. The respondent also understands that failure to mention these items during the question period or in the SOQ will be interpreted to mean that the respondent is in full agreement with the terms, conditions, specifications and requirements in the therein. With submission of a SOQ, the respondent hereby certifies: (a) that this SOQ is genuine and is not made in the interest or on behalf of any undisclosed person, firm, or corporation; (b) that respondent has not directly or indirectly included or solicited any other respondent to put in a false or insincere SOQ; (c) that respondent has not solicited or induced any person, firm, or corporation to refrain from sending a SOQ.

The respondent also understands that failure to provide required information may result in disqualification. Failure to provide administrative information may not result in disqualification. At the Department's discretion, the Department may notify the respondent that administrative information is not provided or there was an error in the information provided, **and** the Department will allow a respondent to provide an update to the administrative information. However, the exception to this is the provision of the required **GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT**, which by Georgia Law requires disqualification of the response. The above changes mentioned to administrative information would be considered allowable as these would be limited to changes which **do not** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents. Failure of a respondent to provide the specific administrative information as required in the notice will result in disqualification. Any respondent who provides changes in addition to the information requested in the notice shall be subject to disqualification. Failure of a respondent's SOQ to provide any information pertaining to a respondent and its teams qualifications, of any type, will subject the SOQ to disqualification. The Department will not allow updates to qualifications to be provided to avoid disqualification as this would allow a respondent to modify its SOQ and alter the information which evaluators would score. The above changes related to qualifications would not be allowable as these would allow changes which **do** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents SOQ.

B. Joint-Venture Proposals, Sub-Consultants, and Vendors

GDOT does not generally desire to enter into "joint-venture" agreements with multiple firms. In the event two or more firms desire to "joint-venture", it is strongly recommended that one incorporated firm propose and maintain status as the Program Management firm with the remaining firms participating as major firms. Any joint-venture, proposed and established as a separate business entity, should have its own set of books and supporting documentation sufficient for an audit trail. Transactions should be recorded consistent with the joint-venture agreement, and care must be taken to ensure that the joint-venture bears its equitable share of the costs. Therefore, "unpopulated joint-ventures" would not have an adequate accounting system suitable for cost reimbursement contracts.

However more traditional "populated joint-ventures" are welcomed. A populated joint-venture is where an alliance is brought to life by infusing it with working capital, employees, and control systems. The alliance implements all necessary business systems, including payroll processing, purchasing, property control, etc. The alliance will develop its own indirect rate structure and calculates its own indirect cost rates, based on the direct and indirect costs it incurs.

Sub-Consultants shall generally be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically requires prequalification, which is subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as costs. Sub-Consultant Team Members must be written into the resulting Agreement and are subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement. Vendors shall be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically does not require prequalification, which is not subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as direct expenses. Vendors may not be written into the resulting Agreement and may not be subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement.

C. Non-Discrimination and DBE Requirements

The Georgia Department of Transportation in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 78 Stat. 252, 42 USC 2000d-42 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, part 21, Nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all proposers that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, minority business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
Equal Opportunity Division
One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Audit and Accounting System Requirements

GDOT reserves the right to reject any proposal with firms that do not meet the following requirements:

1. Firm(s) should have an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
2. Any firm that currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000 should have submitted their yearly CPA overhead audit.
3. Firm(s) should have no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
4. The prime is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

E. Submittal Costs and Confidentiality

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the respondent submitting the response. The Department is not obligated to any respondent to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of the Department. Labeling information provided in submittals as "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until a final award.

F. Award Conditions

This request is not an offer to contract or a solicitation of bids. This request and any proposal submitted in response, regardless of whether the proposal is determined to be the best proposal, is not binding upon the Department and does not obligate the Department to procure or contract for any services. Neither the Department nor any respondent submitting a response will be bound unless and until a written contract mutually accepted by both parties is negotiated as to its terms and conditions and is signed by the Department and a respondent containing such terms and conditions as are negotiated between those parties. The Department reserves the right to waive non-compliance with any requirements of this Request for Qualifications and to reject any or all proposals submitted in responses. Upon review of responses, the Department will determine the respondent(s) proposal that in the sole judgment of the Department is in the best interest of the Department (if any is so determined), with respect to the evaluation criteria stated herein. The Department then intends to conduct negotiations with such respondent(s) to determine if an acceptable contract may be reached.

G. Debriefings

In lieu of Pre-Award and Post-Award debriefings, it shall be the Department's policy to provide the "Selection Package" at the time of the Selection Announcement (also referred to as the Announcement of Entering into Negotiations). The "Selection Package" will include the scores and comments of phases for all firms who responded and will typically be provided as a PDF file and e-mailed. Previously, pre-award debriefings only provided the scores and comments of the firm. It shall be the policy of the Department that all debriefings will typically be conducted in writing.

H. Right to Cancel or Change RFQ

GDOT reserves the right to cancel any and all Request for Qualifications where it is determined to be in the best interest of the Department to do so. GDOT reserves the right to increase, reduce, add or delete any item in this solicitation as deemed necessary.

It is the responsibility of all firms interested in submitting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) for this advertisement to routinely check the posting on the Georgia Procurement Registry for any revisions to this RFQ.

I. Substitutions, Alternates, Exceptions, and Extensions

No substitutions or alternates will be accepted for this solicitation. Any respondent submitting substitutions or alternates will be considered non-responsive and will not be considered for award.

J. GDOT Code of Conduct Pertaining to Conflict of Interest in the Award and Administration of Contracts

Pursuant to GDOT Policy 3A-17, any GDOT employee who leaves the employment of the Department and subsequently becomes employed with a consultant firm and whose duties while employed with the Department included the direct involvement with the negotiation, administration, or management of a contract in which the firm is either the primary consultant or a sub-consultant **SHALL NOT** be authorized to work on that contract as an employee of that firm for a period of one (1) year after their employment ends.

Additionally, on July 1st of each year, any consultant firm that is under contract with the Department as a prime or sub consultant shall provide to the Department's Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) a current list of all former Department employees employed by the firm and a document that certifies the responsibilities of those employees as it relates to the current contracts with the Department. This certification document shall attest to the fact that over the last year no former Department employee that is employed by their firm has worked on a contract between the Department and their firm where that employee, when employed by the Department, had direct involvement with the selection, award and/or administration of the consultant contract. Any consultant firm entering into a contract with the Department for the first time as a prime or sub consultant shall provide the initial required list of former Department employees and certification prior to the contract effective date. If the Department's CPO determines at any point during a contract that an actual conflict exists as it relates to the above paragraph, then the CPO shall have the authority to issue a stop work order on that contract.

EXHIBIT I-1**Contract 1**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Number: 0014914
3. County: Glynn
4. Description: CR 583/SEA ISLAND ROAD @ DUNBAR CREEK ON ST SIMONS ISLAND
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way (ROW) plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Practical Alternatives Review (PAR) Activities.
5. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
 - a. Categorical Exclusion.
 - b. Environmental Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact (EA/FONSI).
 - c. Section 4f coordination.
 - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
 - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
 3. BFI Report.
 4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
 5. Constructability Meeting participation.
 6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
 7. Location and Design Report.
 8. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
 2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Final ESPCP.
 - d. Final Utility Plans.
 - e. Final Staging Plans.
 - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
 2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
 4. CES Final cost estimate.
 5. Final PS&E Package.
 6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction;
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
 2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
 - B. Bridge Design.
 - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q4 FY 2020.
 - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q1 FY 2021 (about 4 months duration).
 - C. PFPR – Q2 FY 2022.
 - D. FFPR – Q3 FY 2023.
 - E. Let Contract – Q1 FY 2024.

EXHIBIT I- 2**Contract 2**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016126 and 0016127
3. County: Butts
4. Description: SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON and
SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way (ROW) plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

Bridge design and H&H activities will be performed by GDOT's Bridge Design Office for PI# 0016126 only. The Consultant will be responsible for the bridge design and H&H on PI# 0016127; the BFI for both bridges, and all non-bridge hydraulics for both projects.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.
7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
 - a. Categorical Exclusion.
 - b. EA/FONSI.
 - c. Section 4f coordination.
 - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
 - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.

- f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
 2. Bridge Hydraulic Study (for PI# 0016127 only).
 3. BFI Report (both bridges).
 4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
 5. Constructability Meeting participation.
 6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
 7. Location and Design Report.
 8. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
 2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Final ESPCP.
 - d. Final Utility Plans.
 - e. Final Staging Plans.
 - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4.
 2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
 4. CES Final cost estimate.
 5. Final PS&E Package.
 6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
 2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
 - B. Bridge Design.
 - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
 - C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
 - D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
 - E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.

EXHIBIT I- 3**Contract 3**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016128
3. Counties: McDuffie and Wilkes
4. Description: SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.
7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
 - a. Categorical Exclusion.
 - b. EA/FONSI.
 - c. Section 4f coordination.
 - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
 - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
3. BFI Report.

4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
5. Constructability Meeting participation.
6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
7. Location and Design Report.
8. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Final ESPCP.
 - d. Final Utility Plans.
 - e. Final Staging Plans.
 - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
4. CES Final cost estimate.
5. Final PS&E Package.
6. Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Key Team Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design.
- B. Bridge Design.
- C. NEPA Lead.

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
- B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
- C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
- D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
- E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.

EXHIBIT I-4**Contract 4**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016129 and 0016130
3. Counties: Monroe & Jones
4. Description: SR 18 @ NS #718484D 13 MI E OF FORSYTH and
SR 18 @ OCMULGEE RIVER 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design (OR)
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. PAR Activities.
5. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
 - a. Categorical Exclusion.
 - b. EAFONSI.
 - c. Section 4f coordination.
 - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
 - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.

3. BFI Report.
 4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
 5. Constructability Meeting participation.
 6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
 7. Location and Design Report.
 8. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
 2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Final ESPCP.
 - d. Final Utility Plans.
 - e. Final Staging Plans.
 - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
 2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
 4. CES Final cost estimate.
 5. Final PS&E Package.
 6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
 2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
 - B. Bridge Design.
 - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q4 FY 2020.
 - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q1 FY 21 (about 4 months duration).
 - C. PFPR – Q2 FY 2022.
 - D. FFPR – Q3 FY 2023.
 - E. Let Contract – Q1 FY 2024.

EXHIBIT I- 5**Contract 5**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0013120
3. County: Monroe
4. Description: SR 74 @ SR 42
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The project will construct a Single Lane Roundabout at the intersection of SR 74 and SR 42. GDOT performed an Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) in 2017. The Single Lane Roundabout was preferred over the Conventional All-Way Stop (AWSC), however, it recommended the AWSC could be constructed as an interim measure, if needed.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
 - a. Environmental Approval.
 - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Reviews, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
 - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.

9. Pavement Type selection.
10. Constructability Review meeting.
11. Approved Pavement Design.
12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).

D. Survey:

1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
2. Survey Control.
3. Complete Survey Database.
4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
7. Survey package report.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
5. Location & Design Approval.

F. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
7. Amendments & Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.
9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Final Signal Plans.
 - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
10. Utility Plans.
11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
 - a. History.
 - b. Ecology.
 - c. Archaeology.
 - d. Air.
 - e. Noise.
 - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys as needed.
12. Pavement Evaluation.
13. Special Provisions.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Site Condition Revisions.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.

I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Lead.
 - B. NEPA Lead.
8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
- A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. Concept Report Q4 FY 2021.
 - C. Right of Way Authorization: Q3 FY 2021.
 - D. Construction Authorization: Q4 FY 2022.

EXHIBIT I-6**Contract 6**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015151
3. County: Chatham
4. Description: SR 204 FROM SR 21 TO CS 1201/RIO ROAD @ 25 LOCS
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The purpose of this project is to address several issues identified in the Road Safety Audit of SR 204 due to concerns with pedestrian safety. The project is proposed to be pedestrian and signal upgrades in and around Savannah and will be funded with Federal safety dollars. The following reflect recommendations made in the report.

Install ADA-compliant pedestrian facilities. Install obstacles in medians to deter mid-block pedestrian crossings and encourage use of permitted pedestrian facilities. Add crosswalks and make push buttons more accessible. Implement ADA improvements in all quadrants at Abercorn Street @ E. Jackson Boulevard. Close driveways closest to intersections. Replace the painted islands with concrete islands to break up deceleration lanes, or extend right-turn storage onto Eisenhower Dr. at Abercorn Street @ Eisenhower Drive. Replace painted median with concrete along right-turn lane on southbound Abercorn Street at Abercorn Street @ West Montgomery Cross Road/SR 204 Spur. Pedestrian lighting as mentioned in the RSA. Evaluate and install RCUT's as mentioned in the RSA. Consider alternatives for frontage road access.

As programmed, the project does not have a ROW phase.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
 - a. Environmental Approval.
 - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

- C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:
1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
 - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
 2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
 3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
 4. Location and Design Report.
 5. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 6. Traffic Studies.
 7. Preliminary Construction plans.
 8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.
 9. Pavement Type selection.
 10. Constructability Review meeting.
 11. Approved Pavement Design.
 12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).
- D. Survey:
1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
 2. Survey Control.
 3. Complete Survey Database.
 4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
 5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
 6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
 7. Survey package report.
- E. Right-of-Way Plans:
1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
 2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
 3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
 4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
 5. Location & Design Approval.
- F. Final Design:
1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 2. Erosion Control Plans.
 3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
 4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
 5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
 6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
 7. Amendments & Revisions.
 8. Final Design Data Book.
 9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Final Signal Plans.
 - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
 10. Utility Plans:
 11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
 - a. History.
 - b. Ecology.
 - c. Archaeology.
 - d. Air.
 - e. Noise.
 - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
 12. Pavement Evaluation.
 13. Special Provisions.

- G. Construction:
 - 1. Use on Construction Revisions.
 - 2. Site Condition Revisions.
 - H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.
 - I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
 - J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.
 - K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Lead.
 - B. NEPA Lead.
8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
- A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. PFPR Request: Q1 FY 2022.
 - C. Construction Authorization: Q4 FY 2023.

EXHIBIT I-7**Contract 7**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015667
3. County: Baldwin
4. Description: SR 22 @ SR 24
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The purpose of this project is to construct a roundabout at the intersection of SR 22 (Sparta Highway) and SR 24, approximately 4 miles east of Milledgeville. Federal funds will be utilized.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
 - a. Environmental Approval.
 - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
 - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.
9. Pavement Type selection.

10. Constructability Review meeting.
11. Approved Pavement Design.
12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).

D. Survey:

1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
2. Survey Control.
3. Complete Survey Database.
4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
7. Survey package report.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
5. Location & Design Approval.

F. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
7. Amendments & Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.
9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Final Signal Plans.
 - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
10. Utility Plans.
11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
 - a. History.
 - b. Ecology.
 - c. Archaeology.
 - d. Air.
 - e. Noise.
 - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
12. Pavement Evaluation.
13. Special Provisions.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Site Condition Revisions.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.

- I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

- J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
- 7. Key Team Leaders:
 - A. Roadway Lead.
 - B. NEPA Lead.
- 8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
 - A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. ROW Authorization: Q2 FY 2022.
 - C. Construction Authorization: Q2 FY 2023.

EXHIBIT I-8**Contract 8**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015688
3. County: Butts
4. Description: SR 16 @ CR 291/ENGLAND CHAPEL ROAD
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The purpose of this project is to construct a single lane roundabout at the intersection of SR 16 and CR 291/England Chapel Road. The intersection is currently stop-controlled and construction would include pedestrian crossings and sidewalks. Federal funds will be utilized.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
 1. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
 2. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
 3. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
 3. Approved Concept Report.
 4. Concept Design Data Book.
 5. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
 6. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
 - a. Environmental Approval.
 - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
 - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.

9. Pavement Type selection.
10. Constructability Review meeting.
11. Approved Pavement Design.
12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).

D. Survey:

1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
2. Survey Control.
3. Complete Survey Database.
4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
7. Survey package report.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
5. Location & Design Approval.

F. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
7. Amendments & Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.
9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Final Signal Plans.
 - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
10. Utility Plans.
11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
 - a. History.
 - b. Ecology.
 - c. Archaeology.
 - d. Air.
 - e. Noise.
 - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
12. Pavement Evaluation.
13. Special Provisions.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Site Condition Revisions.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.

- I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

- J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities,) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
- 7. Key Team Leaders:
 - A. Roadway Lead.
 - B. NEPA Lead.
- 8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
 - A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. ROW Authorization: Q2 FY 2022.
 - C. Construction Authorization: Q1 FY 2023.

EXHIBIT I-9**Contract 9**

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0015690
3. County: Muscogee
4. Description: SR 22/US 80 @ SR 22 SPUR
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

- B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.15	Highway Lighting
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The purpose of the project is to construct two multi-lane roundabouts with Federal Safety Dollars. The first roundabout would be constructed at the intersection of SR 22 @ SR 22 SPUR. The second roundabout would be constructed at SR 22 @ Technology Parkway. Railroad coordination is anticipated.

The Consultant shall provide development of the following scope of service items. All deliverables shall be in accordance with, but not limited to, the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines (EDG), GDOT Design Policy Manual, GDOT Drainage Manual, GDOT Bridge and Structures Design Manual, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic studies.
2. Conceptual right of way cost estimate utilizing vendor from GDOT's Right-of-Way services prequalified contractor list.
3. Conceptual construction cost estimate.
4. Prepare concept layouts and alignments alternatives.
5. Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Approved Concept Report.
7. Concept Design Data Book.
8. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
9. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

B. Environment Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects for Ecology, History, Archaeology, Air, and Noise.
2. Agency coordination including multiple meetings to ensure consistency of expectations, design, and clearance limits.
3. NEPA documents:
 - a. Environmental Approval.
 - b. NEPA Reevaluations, as required.
4. Preparation of Section 404 Permit Application.
5. Section 7 Coordination.
6. Protected Species Surveys including Aquatic & Terrestrial Surveys, as required.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (Public Information Open House (PIOH)) and associated coordination with GDOT.
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR), Constructability Review, and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.
12. TPro and P6 Updates.
13. Preparation of Environmental Commitments Table "Green Sheet" and Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT).

C. Preliminary Design, include but not limited to:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signal Plans.
 - c. Preliminary Staging & Erosion Control Plans.
2. Cost Estimation System (CES) Preliminary cost estimate with annual updates.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
4. Location and Design Report.
5. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
6. Traffic Studies.
7. Preliminary Construction plans.
8. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring wells/Soil Survey.

9. Pavement Type selection.
10. Constructability Review meeting.
11. Approved Pavement Design.
12. SUE Plans (Quality Level B).

D. Survey:

1. Aerial Photogrammetry/Mapping.
2. Survey Control.
3. Complete Survey Database.
4. Property Information and Owners (with updates).
5. Complete stream hydraulic surveys streams.
6. Extend survey limits (if necessary).
7. Survey package report.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Prepare, Revise and deliver final Right-of-Way plans.
2. Coordinated field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisitions.
4. Coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.
5. Location & Design Approval.

F. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
7. Amendments & Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.
9. Complete Final Roadway Plans. Including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Final Signal Plans.
 - c. Final Staging & Erosion Plans.
10. Utility Plans.
11. Update all Environmental Special Studies Reports and NEPA reevaluation:
 - a. History.
 - b. Ecology.
 - c. Archaeology.
 - d. Air.
 - e. Noise.
 - f. Freshwater Aquatic and other protected species surveys, as needed.
12. Pavement Evaluation.
13. Special Provisions.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Site Condition Revisions.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.

I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Field Plan Review (FPR) Packages, address/respond to comments, and make changes. The Consultant shall provide written responses to all Field Plan Review Reports to the Department's project manager no later than 48 hours prior to the distribution deadline.

- K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, utilities) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.
- 7. Key Team Leaders:
 - A. Roadway Lead.
 - B. NEPA Lead.
- 8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:
 - A. Notice to Proceed: Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. ROW Authorization: Q2 FY 2022.
 - C. Construction Authorization: Q2 FY 2023.

**EXHIBIT II
CERTIFICATION FORM**

I, _____, being duly sworn, state that I am _____ (title) of _____

_____ (firm) and hereby duly certify that I have read and understand the information presented in the attached proposal and any enclosure and exhibits thereto.

Initial each box below indicating certification. The person initialing must be the same person who signs the Certification Form. (If unable to initial any box for any reason, place an "X" in the applicable box and attach a statement explaining the non-certification. The Department will review and make a determination as to whether or not the firm shall be considered further or disqualified).

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge the information given in response to the Request for Qualifications is full, complete and truthful.

I further certify that the submitting firm and any principal employee of the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been convicted of any crime of moral turpitude or any felony offense, nor has had their professional license suspended, revoked or been subjected to disciplinary proceedings, nor is any team members/principals currently under indictment for any reason related to actions on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that I understand that Firms included on the current Federal list of firms suspended or debarred are not eligible for selection and that the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been suspended or debarred from contracting with any federal, state or local government agency, and further, that the submitting firm is not now under consideration for suspension or debarment from any such agency.

I further certify that the submitting firm has not in the immediately preceding five (5) years been defaulted in any federal, state or local government agency contract and further, that the submitting firm is not now under any notice of intent to default on any such contract, nor has been removed from a contract or failed to complete a contract as assigned due to cause or default.

I further certify that the firm or any affiliate(s) has not been involved in any arbitration, litigation, mediation, dispute review board or other dispute resolution proceeding with a client, business partner, or government agency in the last five (5) years involving an amount in excess of \$500,000 related to performance on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that there are not any pending regulatory inquiries that could impact our ability to provide services if we are the selected consultant.

I further certify that there are no possible conflicts of interest created by our consideration in the selection process or by our involvement in the project.

I further certify that the submitting firm's annual average revenue for the past five (5) years is sufficient to allow the services to be delivered effectively by our firm and that there are no trends in the revenue which may be concerning other than normal market fluctuations.

- I further certify that in regards to Audit and Accounting System Requirements, that the submitting firm:
- I. Has an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
 - II. Has submitted its yearly Certified Public Accountant overhead audit if it currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000.
 - III. Has no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
 - IV. Is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

I acknowledge, agree and authorize, and certify that the proposer acknowledges, agrees and authorizes, that GDOT may, by means that either deems appropriate, determine the accuracy and truth of the information provided by the proposer and that the GDOT may contact any individual or entity named in the Statement of Qualifications for the purpose of verifying the information supplied therein.

I acknowledge and agree that all of the information contained in the Statement of Qualifications is submitted for the express purpose of inducing the GDOT to award a contract.

A material false statement or omission made in conjunction with this proposal is sufficient cause for suspension or debarment from further contracts, or denial or rescission of any contract entered into based upon this proposal thereby precluding the firm from doing business with, or performing work for, the State of Georgia. In addition, such false statement or omission may subject the person and entity making the proposal to criminal prosecution under the laws of the State of Georgia of the United States, including but not limited to O.C.G.A. §16-10-20, 18 U.S.C. §§1001 or 1341.

Sworn and subscribed before me

This ____ day of _____, 20__.

Signature

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: _____

NOTARY SEAL

EXHIBIT III

GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT

Consultant's Name:	
Address:	
Solicitation No./Contract No.:	<u>RFQ-484-052819</u>
Solicitation/Contract Name:	<u>Batch 1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services</u>

CONSULTANT AFFIDAVIT

By executing this affidavit, the undersigned Consultant verifies its compliance with O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91, stating affirmatively that the individual, entity or corporation which is engaged in the physical performance of services on behalf of the Georgia Department of Transportation has registered with, is authorized to use and uses the federal work authorization program commonly known as E-Verify, or any subsequent replacement program, in accordance with the applicable provisions and deadlines established in O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91.

Furthermore, the undersigned Consultant will continue to use the federal work authorization program throughout the contract period and the undersigned Consultant will contract for the physical performance of services in satisfaction of such contract only with sub-consultants who present an affidavit to the Consultant with the information required by O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91(b). Consultant hereby attests that its federal work authorization user identification number and date of authorization are as follows:

 Federal Work Authorization User Identification Number
 (EEV/E-Verify Company Identification Number)

 Date of Authorization

 Name of Consultant

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

 Printed Name (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

 Title (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

 Signature (of Authorized Officer or Agent)

 Date Signed

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME ON THIS THE

____ DAY OF _____, 201__

 Notary Public

[NOTARY SEAL]

My Commission Expires: _____

**EXHIBIT IV
Area Class Summary Example**

Respondents should complete a table similar to the below and indicate by placing an "X" in the appropriate column indicating the firm which meets each required area class for each specific project with particular emphasis on the area classes which the Prime must hold as well as the sub-consultants. The below table is a full listing of all area classes. Since no single advertisement would require every area class, Respondents should delete all the area classes which are not applicable to the project they are pursuing and only include the ones applicable. Particular attention should be paid to the date that consultants certificate expires.

Area Class #	Area Class Description	DBE - Yes/No ->						
		Prime Consultant Name	Sub-Consultant #1 Name	Sub-Consultant #2 Name	Sub-Consultant #3 Name	Sub-Consultant #4 Name	Sub-Consultant #5 Name	Sub-Consultant #6 Name
	Prequalification Expiration Date							
1.01	Statewide Systems Planning							
1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning							
1.03	Aviation Systems Planning							
1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning							
1.05	Alternate Systems Planning							
1.06(a)	NEPA							
1.06(b)	History							
1.06(c)	Air Quality							
1.06(d)	Noise							
1.06(e)	Ecology							
1.06(f)	Archaeology							
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys							
1.06(h)	Bat Surveys							
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)							
1.08	Airport Master Planning (AMP)							
1.09	Location Studies							
1.10	Traffic Analysis							
1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies							
1.12	Major Investment Studies							
1.13	Non-Motorized transportation Planning							
2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems Management)							
2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies							
2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System							
2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communication and Information Systems							
2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering							
2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures							
2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical System							
2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services							
2.09	Airport Design (AD)							
2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems Marketing)							
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Rural Roadway Design							
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane urban Roadway Design							
3.03	Multi-Lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction							
3.04	Multi-Lane Rural Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.05	Multi-Lane Urban Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies							
3.07	Traffic Operations Design							
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design							

3.09	Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation								
3.10	Utility Coordination								
3.11	Architecture								
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)								
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians								
3.14	Historic Rehabilitation								
3.15	Highway and Outdoor Lighting								
3.16	Value Engineering (VE)								
3.17	Toll Facilities Infrastructure Design								
4.01	Minor Bridge Design								
4.02	Major Bridge Design								
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)								
4.05	Bridge Inspection								
5.01	Land Surveying								
5.02	Engineering Surveying								
5.03	Geodetic Surveying								
5.04	Aerial Photography								
5.05	Photogrammetry								
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing								
5.07	Cartography								
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)								
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies								
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies								
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies								
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)								
6.04(a)	Laboratory Testing of Roadway Construction Materials								
6.04(b)	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials								
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies								
8.01	Construction Engineering and Supervision								
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan								
9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting								
9.03	Field Inspection for Erosion Control								

ATTACHMENT 1

Submittal Formats for GDOT Batch 1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services

of Pages Allowed

		# of Pages Allowed
Cover Page	->	1
A. Administrative Requirements		
1. Basic Company Information		
a. Company name		
b. Company Headquarter Address		
c. Contact Information		
d. Company Website		
e. Georgia Addresses		
f. Staff		
g. Ownership		
		Excluded
2. Notarized Certification Form (Exhibit II) for Prime	->	1
3. Notarized Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit (Exhibit III)	->	1
4. Signed Cover Page of any Addenda Issued	->	1 (each addenda)
B. Experience and Qualifications		
1. Project Manager		
a. Education		
b. Registration		
c. Relevant engineering experience		
d. Relevant project management experience		
e. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
		2
2. Key Team Leader Experience		
a. Education		
b. Registration		
c. Relevant experience in applicable resource area		
d. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
		1 (each)
3. Prime's Experience		
a. Client name, project location, and dates		
b. Description of overall project and services performed		
c. Duration of project services provided		
d. Experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
e. Clients current contact information		
f. Involvement of Key Team Leaders		
		2
4. Area Class Table and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications for Prime and Sub-Consultants	->	Excluded
C. Resources/Workload Capacity		
1. Overall Resources		
a. Organization chart		
b. Primary office to handle project and staff description of office and benefits of office	->	Excluded
c. Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability		
		1
2. Project Manager Commitment Table	->	Excluded
3. Key Team Leaders Project commitment table	->	Excluded

ADDENDUM NO. 1

ISSUE DATE: 5/1/2019

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ 484- 052819 – Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services

NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

The purpose of this Addendum is to modify the original RFQ.

I. Section I. A. Overview - Project Table is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Contract	County	PI #	Project Description
1	Glynn	0014914	CR 583/SEA ISLAND ROAD @ DUNBAR CREEK ON ST SIMONS ISLAND
2	Butts	0016126	SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON
	Butts	0016127	SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
3	McDuffie & Wilkes	0016128	SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON (Bridge Design in-house)
4	Monroe	0016129	SR 18 @ NS #718484D 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
	Jones & Monroe	0016130	SR 18 @ OCMULGEE RIVER 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
5	Monroe	0013120	SR 74 @ SR 42
6	Chatham	0015151	SR 204 FROM SR 21 TO CS 1201/RIO ROAD @ 25 LOCS
7	Baldwin	0015667	SR 22 @ SR 24
8	Butts	0015688	SR 16 @ CR 291/ENGLAND CHAPEL ROAD
9	Muscogee	0015690	SR 22/US 80 @ SR 22 SPUR

II. Exhibit I-2, Contract 2 is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

EXHIBIT I- 2

Contract 2

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016126 and 0016127
3. County: Butts
4. Description: SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON and
 SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.01a	Minor Bridge Design
	(OR)
4.01b	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way (ROW) plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Complete Field Surveys:

1. Provide Survey Control Package.
2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
3. Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
4. Staking for ROW acquisition.

B. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost Estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.
7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

C. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. NEPA documents:
 - a. Categorical Exclusion.
 - b. EA/FONSI.
 - c. Section 4f coordination.
 - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
4. Section 408 Coordination.
5. Aquatic Survey.
6. Stream Buffer Variance.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Preliminary ESPCP.
 - d. Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.

3. BFI Report.
 4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
 5. Constructability Meeting participation.
 6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
 7. Location and Design Report.
 8. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
 2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
- F. Utilities:
- Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- G. Final Design:
1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c. Final ESPCP.
 - d. Final Utility Plans.
 - e. Final Staging Plans.
 - f. Final Drainage Design including MS4.
 2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
 4. CES Final cost estimate.
 5. Final PS&E Package.
 6. Amendments & Revisions.
- H. Construction:
1. Use on Construction Revisions.
 2. Review Shop Drawings.
- I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
- J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
- A. Roadway Design.
 - B. Bridge Design.
 - C. NEPA Lead.
8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
 - C. FFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
 - D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
 - E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.

III. Exhibit I-3, Contract 3 is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

EXHIBIT I- 3

Contract 3

1. Project Numbers: NA
2. PI Numbers: 0016128
3. Counties: McDuffie and Wilkes
4. Description: SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON
5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
5.01	Land Survey
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

- A. Complete Field Surveys:
 - 1. Provide Survey Control Package.
 - 2. Provide Inroads Survey Database.
 - 3. Staking for ROW acquisition.

- B. Concept Report:
 - 1. Traffic Studies.
 - 2. Cost Estimates.
 - 3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
 - 4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
 - 5. Approved Concept Report.
 - 6. Concept Design Data Book.
 - 7. Public Involvement Plan (for GDOT's approval).

- C. Environmental Document:
 - 1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
 - 2. NEPA documents:
 - a. Categorical Exclusion.
 - b. EA/FONSI.
 - c. Section 4f coordination.
 - d. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.
 - 3. Preparation of a Section 404 Permit application.
 - 4. Section 408 Coordination.
 - 5. Aquatic Survey.
 - 6. Stream Buffer Variance.
 - 7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
 - 8. Public Involvement (1 possible detour/PIOH).
 - 9. Prepare for and attend the PFPR and FFPR.

- D. Preliminary Design:
 - 1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Preliminary ESPCP.
 - c. Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - d. Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - e. Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
 - 2. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
 - 3. Constructability Meeting participation.
 - 4. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
 - 5. Location and Design Report.

6. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 - E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:
 1. Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
 2. Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.
 - F. Utilities:

Subsurface Utility Engineering.
 - G. Final Design:
 1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - b. Final ESPCP.
 - c. Final Utility Plans.
 - d. Final Staging Plans.
 - e. Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
 2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
 3. Corrected FFPR Plans.
 4. CES Final cost estimate.
 5. Final PS&E Package.
 6. Amendments & Revisions.
 - H. Construction:
 1. Use on Construction Revisions.
 2. Review Shop Drawings.
 - I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.
 - J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
7. Key Team Leaders:
 - A. Roadway Design.
 - B. NEPA Lead.
 8. The following milestone dates are proposed:
 - A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q2 FY 2020.
 - B. Limited Concept report submittal – Q3 FY 2020 (about 4 months duration).
 - C. PFPR – Q2 FY 2021.
 - D. FFPR – Q1 FY 2023.
 - E. Let Contract – Q2 FY 2023.

ADDENDUM NO. 2

ISSUE DATE: 5/16/2019

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ 484-052819 – Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services

NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

The purpose of this Addendum is to modify the original RFQ to include the Project Consideration Checklist.

Project Consideration Checklist – RFQ-484-052819 Batch 1 - 2019

This form must be completed and included in the Statement of Qualifications as the last page with applicable boxes checked.
 This form will NOT be counted in the maximum number of pages.

ALL The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements for all projects and would like to be considered on all projects.
OR
 The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements and would like to be considered on the following checked contracts.

Contract	County	PI #	Project Description
1	Glynn	0014914	CR 583/SEA ISLAND ROAD @ DUNBAR CREEK ON ST SIMONS ISLAND
2	Butts	0016126	SR 36 @ BIG SANDY CREEK 3.8 MI SW OF JACKSON
	Butts	0016127	SR 36 @ NORRIS CREEK 3.2 MI SW OF JACKSON
3	McDuffie & Wilkes	0016128	SR 80 @ LITTLE RIVER 12.9 MI NW OF THOMSON (Bridge Design In-house)
	Monroe	0016129	SR 18 @ NS #718484D 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
4	Jones & Monroe	0016130	SR 18 @ OCMULGEE RIVER 13 MI E OF FORSYTH
	Monroe	0013120	SR 74 @ SR 42
6	Chatham	0015151	SR 204 FROM SR 21 TO CS 1201/RIO ROAD @ 25 LOCS
7	Baldwin	0015667	SR 22 @ SR 24
8	Butts	0015688	SR 16 @ CR 291/ENGLAND CHAPEL ROAD
9	Muscogee	0015690	SR 22/US 80 @ SR 22 SPUR

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST



SOLICITATION #:	RFQ-484-052819
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 5
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	May 28, 2019
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm

No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Exhibit II - Certification	Exhibit III - GSICAA	Signed Addendum if Applicable	Compliant with Page # Limitations	Compliant with Required Format
1	Alfred Benesch & Company	5/28/2019	9:54 AM	X	X	X	X	X
2	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	5/28/2019	1:24 PM	X	X	X	X	X
3	Barge Design Solutions	5/28/2019	10:26 AM	X	X	X	X	X
4	CALYX Engineers and Consultants	5/28/2019	11:42 AM	X	X	X	X	X
5	CHA Consulting, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:43 PM	X	X	X	X	X
6	Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	5/28/2019	1:57 PM	X	X	X	X	X
7	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	5/28/2019	12:20 PM	X	X	X	X	X
8	CROY Engineering, LLC	5/28/2019	8:58 AM	X	X	X	X	X
9	Development Planning & Engineering, Inc.	5/27/2019	6:58 PM	X	X	X	X	X
10	EFK Moen, LLC	5/24/2019	4:48 PM	X	X	X	X	X
11	EXP US Services Inc	5/28/2019	7:33 AM	X	X	X	X	X
12	Freese And Nichols	5/24/2019	12:34 PM	X	X	X	X	X
13	Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:38 PM	X	X	X	X	X
14	IDS-Global - Disqualified	5/28/2019	1:41 PM	X	X	X	No	No
15	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	5/28/2019	11:08 AM	X	X	X	X	X
16	KCI Technologies, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:47 PM	X	X	X	X	X
17	Mead and Hunt, Inc.	5/28/2019	9:55 AM	X	X	X	X	X
18	Michael Baker International, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:47 PM	X	X	X	X	X
19	Moffatt & Nichol	5/28/2019	1:01 PM	X	X	X	X	X
20	Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:15 PM	X	X	X	X	X
21	Mott MacDonald LLC	5/28/2019	12:22 PM	X	X	X	X	X
22	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	5/28/2019	9:58 AM	X	X	X	X	X
23	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	5/28/2019	1:06 PM	X	X	X	X	X
24	Pond & Company	5/28/2019	1:08 PM	X	X	X	X	X
25	QK4, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:34 PM	X	X	X	X	X
26	RK Shah Associates, Inc	5/28/2019	11:48 AM	X	X	X	X	X
27	RS&H, Inc.	5/28/2019	8:22 AM	X	X	X	X	X
28	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	5/28/2019	9:49 AM	X	X	X	X	X
29	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:31 PM	X	X	X	X	X
30	TY Lin International	5/28/2019	1:54 PM	X	X	X	X	X
31	Thompson Engineering, Inc.	5/28/2019	9:51 AM	X	X	X	X	X
32	TranSystems Corporation	5/28/2019	1:44 PM	X	X	X	X	X
33	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	5/28/2019	11:09 AM	X	X	X	X	X
34	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.	5/28/2019	11:51 AM	X	X	X	X	X
35	Volkert, Inc.	5/28/2019	12:29 PM	X	X	X	X	X

GDOT GUIDE FOR SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS
RFQ 484-052819
Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services
Contract #5, PI #0013120

This ENTIRE GUIDE must be reviewed carefully by all Selection Committee Members BEFORE the evaluation of submittals.

Coordination and Communication

Folayan Battle will coordinate the overall submittal evaluation process and serve as Facilitator of any Selection Committee Meetings through the completion of the evaluation. All Committee members will be provided copies of submittals and related information, and will be notified of any proposed (if applicable) meetings, conference calls, and deadlines. **IMPORTANT- All written communication** (e-mails, memos, scoresheets, handwritten notes in SOQs, etc.) related to the evaluation can be subject to public record. Therefore, all such communication should be limited to objective and verifiable information.

Evaluation Process

The evaluation and scoring will be handled in two phases. Phase I will be the evaluation of the written Statements of Qualifications received from all respondents. Phase II will be the evaluation of the written responses from the Finalists. The scoring for the Finalists will be carried forward from Phase I and added to the scores from Phase II to determine the highest ranked Finalists and hence with whom negotiations will be initiated. The criteria to be utilized in the evaluation and scoring are as follows:

Phase I

- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – (30% or 300 Points)**
- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – (20% or 200 Points)**

Phase II

- **Technical Approach – (40% or 400 Points)**
- **Past Performance – (10% or 100 Points)**

Phase I
Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

Evaluation of Eligible Submittals

Submittals determined eligible must be read thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will determine the rating for each criteria as follows:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

Directions for use of the Evaluation Preliminary Scoring Forms:

Scoring forms will be distributed to all Selection Committee members along with copies of submittals which were received and validated. Evaluators will have the option of using the hard copy forms or an electronic version of the form. However, to ensure that Open Records Request can be filed in compliance with the law, Evaluators who choose to use the electronic version of the form should only maintain one version of the form and must provide the electronic version of the form to Procurement. Each evaluator will use their numbered scoring form for scoring all submittals. Evaluators must ensure that the name of the Firm being evaluated is written in the appropriate box to identify the Firm to whom the ratings and comments belong. Using the criteria categories in Evaluation of Eligible Submittals above, each submittal will be given a **preliminary**

score for each of the criteria. The Reviewer should provide comments for each section which support the rating. Reviewers should not seek to write down everything that the submittal contains. Rather, Reviewers should first determine the rating and then answer why they feel the rating is warranted.

The review, preliminary scoring, and comments MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting and must be sent to the Procurement Facilitator by the deadline given in order to make efficient and effective usage of all Selection Committee Members time.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EVALUATING AVAILABILITY

Through working with the consultant industry, they asked that when considering their availability, we consider more than merely the number of projects they have listed. With this in mind we have allowed space in their SOQ for the respondents to provide a narrative in their ability. This narrative will allow them to discuss how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. It also recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project workloads and allows them to discuss the advantages of their team and the abilities of their team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule. If there is no schedule provided, they can discuss the advantages of the team and abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. You **MUST** consider this narrative along with the workload table when rating the SOQs. You **MUST NOT** merely look at the workload table solely for making the rating decision.

Evaluation Meeting:

All completed Scoring Forms with the preliminary scores and comments for each criteria of each firm, must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Monday, July 08, 2019. The completed forms must be turned in at the conclusion of the meeting.

Prior to the meeting, the Facilitator will use the scores and subsequent ranks to determine where the majority of the discussion should be focused. Generally, the majority of the discussion will center on the top submittals. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted.

The final rankings will be used to determine the three to five Finalists who will proceed and have their scores carried forward to Phase II of the evaluation.

It is important to note, that all evaluation scoring, notes, and comments will be subject to open records and there is a very high likelihood they will be reviewed by a wide variety of individuals. For this reason, it is extremely important to adhere to all guidelines and suggestions contained in this Guide for Selection Committee Members.

Phase II

Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

- Finalists will be required to submit a written response which must detail the Technical approach (including design concepts and use of alternative methods).
- Past Performance - Procurement will be checking references and will provide the results of the reference checks to the Selection Committee. The Selection Committee will also be allowed to bring any information for consideration they have available regarding the Firm's performance on any project/contract.

Submittals and Past Performance information must be read/considered thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will make notes in the submittals and must be prepared to discuss their position in the Selection Committee Meeting for Phase II. **The review and notes MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting.**

Evaluation Meeting:

All notes must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for TBD. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted. The Committee will assign the following ratings:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

FINAL SCORING AND SELECTION

The scores from Phase I and Phase II will be added together and a final overall ranking will be determined and provided for Selection Committee approval.

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE PRELIMINARY SCORING AND RANKING OF SUBMITTALS

Solicitation Title:	Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 5	1	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	
Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819	2	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Preliminary Scoring based on Published Criteria			3	Michael Baker International, Inc.
(This Page For GDOT Use)			4	American Consulting Professionals, LLC
			5	Mead and Hunt, Inc.
			6	Pond & Company
			7	TranSystems Corporation
			8	CHA Consulting, Inc.
SUBMITTING FIRMS			9	Mott MacDonald LLC
	(RANKING)		10	CROY Engineering, LLC
	Sum of		11	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
	Individual	Group	12	Barge Design Solutions
	Rankings	Ranking	13	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC
Alfred Benesch & Company	49	21	14	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	18	4	15	Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.
Barge Design Solutions	29	12	16	QK4, Inc.
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	66	29	17	Freese And Nichols
CHA Consulting, Inc.	23	8	18	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	78	33	19	Moffatt & Nichol
Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	54	26	20	Development Planning & Engineering, Inc.
CROY Engineering, LLC	27	10	21	Alfred Benesch & Company
Development Planning & Engineering, Inc.	46	20	22	EXP US Services Inc
EFK Moen, LLC	66	29	23	KCI Technologies, Inc.
EXP US Services Inc	50	22	23	TY Lin International
Freese And Nichols	38	17	25	Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.
Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.	37	15	26	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.
IDS-Global - Disqualified	105	35	27	RK Shah Associates, Inc.
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	34	13	27	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.
KCI Technologies, Inc.	52	23	29	CALYX Engineers and Consultants
Mead and Hunt, Inc.	18	5	29	EFK Moen, LLC
Michael Baker International, Inc.	9	3	31	RS&H, Inc.
Moffatt & Nichol	45	19	31	Volkert, Inc.
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	53	25	33	Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.
Mott MacDonald LLC	24	9	34	Thompson Engineering, Inc.
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	28	11	35	IDS-Global - Disqualified
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	5	1		
Pond & Company	18	6		
QK4, Inc.	37	16		
RK Shah Associates, Inc.	63	27		
RS&H, Inc.	74	31		
Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	40	18		
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	35	14		
TY Lin International	52	23		
Thompson Engineering, Inc.	85	34		
TranSystems Corporation	20	7		
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	6	2		
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.	63	27		
Volkert, Inc.	74	31		

Evaluator 1

SUBMITTING FIRMS	Evaluation Criteria		Phase One	
	Experience and Qualifications	Resources and Workload Capacity	Evaluator 1 Individual	Ranking
	300	200		
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	500 %	
Alfred Benesch & Company	Good	Adequate	325	4
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	4
Berge Design Solutions	Adequate	Good	300	15
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
CHA Consulting, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	15
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P C	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
Cranston Engineering Group, P C	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
CROY Engineering, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	4
Development Planning & Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
EFK Moen, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
EXP US Services Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
Freese And Nichols	Adequate	Good	300	15
Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	4
IDS-Global - Disqualified	0	0	0	35
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	300	15
KCI Technologies, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	4
Mead and Hunt, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	4
Michael Baker International, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	4
Moffatt & Nichol	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
Mott MacDonald LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	4
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc	Good	Good	375	1
Pond & Company	Good	Adequate	325	4
QK4, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	4
RK Shah Associates, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	15
RS&H, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
Southeastern Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
Siantec Consulting Services, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
TY Lin International	Good	Adequate	325	4
Thompson Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
TranSystems Corporation	Good	Good	375	1
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc	Good	Good	375	1
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	15
Volkert, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	21
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	500 %	



GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #:			
<p><i>Rating Scale: Criteria are based on a rating system of 100% (100% of Available Points) to 0% (0% of Available Points). A score of 100% indicates that the project is fully completed and all other items are satisfied. A score of 0% indicates that the project is not completed and all other items are not satisfied.</i></p> <p>Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points Adequate = Meets minimum qualifications/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects = 75% of Available Points Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points</p>			
Firm Name: <i>URS Corporation</i>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>Narrative on additional resources was not detailed. Two team members, the PM and the Roadway Lead, have high outside commitments totaling 80 hours respectively.</i>			
Firm Name: <i>URS Corporation</i>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>Flow Chart was not detailed. One team member, Roadway Lead, has high outside commitments totaling 80 hours.</i>			
Firm Name: <i>URS Corporation</i>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>Roadway Lead experience as an actual lead with corresponding responsibilities was not detailed, specifically to a Roadway Lead as opposed to a Roadway/Traffic Engineer or Project Engineer. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart and narrative were detailed.</i>			
Firm Name: <i>URS Corporation</i>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>Team members have high outside commitments totaling: Roadway Lead 100 hours; approaching high outside commitments for the PM @ 76 hours.</i>			
Firm Name: <i>URS Corporation</i>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>The Roadway Lead experience as an actual lead with corresponding responsibilities was not presented in detail in the RFQ. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart and narrative were detailed.</i>			

#1

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Team members have high outside commitments totaling: Roadway Lead 108 hours; NEPA 100 hours.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Team members have high outside commitments totaling: Roadway Lead 92 hours; NEPA 92 hours.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Flow Chart was not detailed. One team member, Roadway Lead, has high outside commitments totaling 80 hours.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Flow Chart was missing 1.06(a), it can only be assumed that it is the KTL. Two team members, the PM and the Roadway Lead, have high outside commitments totaling 117 and 116 hours respectively.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Flow Chart was not detailed. One team member, the PM, has high outside commitments totaling 110 hours.</i>	

#1

Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>The Roadway Lead experience was more commensurate of a PM, not as an actual lead with corresponding responsibilities; that experience was not presented in detail in the RFQ. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>Flow Chart and Narrative on additional resources were not detailed. Flow Chart cites a team member employee that no longer works for that respective team firm.</i>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>The Roadway Lead experience was more commensurate of a PM, not as an actual lead with corresponding responsibilities; that experience was not presented in detail in the RFQ and the Roadway Lead did not have experience with GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance. Prime project examples were all out of state and did not include the PM. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart and narrative were detailed.</i>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>Narrative on additional resources was not detailed (just gave an overview of previous experience section).</i>			
Firm Name: IDS-Global			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	
FIRM DISQUALIFIED			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	
FIRM DISQUALIFIED			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<i>The PM experience as an actual lead with corresponding responsibilities was not presented in detail in the RFQ. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart and narrative were detailed.</i>			

#1

Firm Name: POTTSVILLE			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>Flow Chart lacked detail, especially in regards to archaeology, there is no mention of that discipline. High outside commitments for the NEPA Lead @ 87 hours.</i>			
Firm Name: POTTSVILLE			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>Flow Chart (Landscape Architecture buried under Traffic Analysis and Operations) and Narrative on additional resources were not detailed (majority of text detailed efforts to get into the Atlanta market). High outside commitments for the PM @ 88 hours.</i>			
Firm Name: POTTSVILLE			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>One team member has high outside commitments totaling: PM 84 hours.</i>			
Firm Name: POTTSVILLE			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>One team member has high outside commitments totaling: NEPA 80 hours.</i>			
Firm Name: POTTSVILLE			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>The Roadway Lead experience as an actual lead with corresponding responsibilities was not presented in detail in the RFQ. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
<i>Flow Chart was not detailed (Landscape Architecture could not be found in the Flow Chart); good narrative.</i>			







#1

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The Roadway Lead did not provide documentation suggesting that he had experience with GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Flow Chart and Narrative were good; High outside commitments for the Roadway Lead @ 95 hours (these commitments are also out of state).</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>One team member has high outside commitments totaling: PM 95 hours. Narrative on additional resources was detailed.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart and narrative were detailed.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>One KTL has high outside commitments totaling: NEPA Lead 100 hours.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Flow Chart was not detailed (Landscape Architecture could not be found in the Flow Chart). Two KTL's approaching high outside commitments (PM-79 hours) and the (Roadway Lead-74 hours).</i>	

#1

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The Roadway Lead experience as an actual lead with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining leads demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Although the narrative could have been more detailed.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>Flow Chart was not detailed; High outside commitments for the PM @ 80 hours, Roadway Lead @ 140 hours, and the NEPA Lead @ 88 hours.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The PM and NEPA Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>One team member has high outside commitments totaling: PM 110 hours. Narrative on additional resources was detailed.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>One team member has high outside commitments totaling: Roadway Lead 84 hours. Narrative on additional resources was detailed.</i>	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
<i>One team member has high outside commitments totaling: NEPA Lead 100 hours. Flow Chart lacked detail.</i>	

#1

Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate	
<i>The Roadway Lead did not present experience with GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance. All other leads demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<i>One team member has high outside commitments totaling: Roadway Lead 148 hours.</i>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good	
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating 	Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart and narrative were detailed.</i>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good	
<i>Prime consultant & team have experience and qualifications to complete the subject project.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating 	Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart and narrative were detailed.</i>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate	
<i>The PM experience as an actual lead (beyond Program level GDOT work) with corresponding responsibilities was not presented in detail in the RFQ. There were two Roadway Leads, one of them didn't provide experience as a Lead. The other Lead had demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating 	Good
<i>Project Team has availability to complete the work. Flow Chart was detailed, although no clear understanding of how dual Roadway Leads would work together.</i>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate	
<i>The PM and Roadway Lead experience as actual leads with corresponding responsibilities were not presented in detail in the RFQ. The remaining lead demonstrated experience.</i>			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<i>Team members have high outside commitments totaling: Roadway Lead 85 hours; PM 80 hours.</i>			

Evaluator 2

Evaluation Criteria	Experience and Qualifications		Resources and Workload Capacity	
	Maximum Points allowed = 300	200	Phase One Evaluator 2 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Alfred Benesch & Company	Adequate	Good	300	13
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Good	Good	375	3
Barge Design Solutions	Good	Good	375	3
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	Adequate	Adequate	250	25
CHA Consulting, Inc	Good	Excellent	425	2
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P C	Adequate	Adequate	250	25
Cranston Engineering Group, P C	Adequate	Good	300	13
CROY Engineering, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	12
Development Planning & Engineering, Inc	Good	Marginal	275	23
EFK Moen, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	25
EXP US Services Inc	Marginal	Excellent	275	23
Freese And Nichols	Good	Good	375	3
Hussey, Gay Bell & Deyoung, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	13
IDS-Global - Disqualified	0	0	0	35
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	300	13
KCI Technologies, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	28
Mead and Hunt, Inc	Good	Good	375	3
Michael Baker International, Inc	Good	Good	375	3
Moffatt & Nichol	Adequate	Good	300	13
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	13
Mott MacDonald LLC	Excellent	Good	450	1
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	13
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc	Good	Good	375	3
Pond & Company	Good	Good	375	3
QK4, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	13
RK Shah Associates, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	28
RS&H, Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	33
Southeastern Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	13
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc	Good	Good	375	3
TY Lin International	Marginal	Good	225	28
Thompson Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Marginal	200	32
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	300	13
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc	Good	Good	375	3
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	26
Volkert, Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	33
Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	500	%



GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819, Contract #5	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #:	7		
Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings and provide an explanation for ratings below to each Section. Comments noted on contract in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.			
Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential respects = Score 25 % of Available Points Adequate = Meets minimum qualifications/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects = 75% of Available Points Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points			
Firm Name: <u>James Stewart & Company</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 10%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 25 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 2 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BS/MSCE, PE, 24 years experience (2 roundabout projects presented); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience (no roundabout projects presented); Prime (3 roadway projects presented, 2 of 3 roundabouts; 3 additional projects presented - p. 21).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resource and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
Comments: PM = 80/160 Committed = 50% Available; Roadway Lead = 80/160 Committed = 50% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 1 + 6 (KH) traffic operations staff.			
Firm Name: <u>James Stewart & Company, LLC</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
Comments: PM = BCE, PE, 25 years experience (9 roadway projects presented, 4 of 9 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 43 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 2 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 27 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 3 of 3 roundabouts); Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 24%		Assigned Rating	Good
Comments: PM = 58/160 Committed = 64% Available; Roadway Lead = 80/160 Committed = 50% Available; ENV Lead = 44/160 Committed = 73% Available; Firm + Resources 5 + 31 (Dalton office) roadway, 2 (MA) + 5 (Dalton office) traffic operations staff.			
Firm Name: <u>James Stewart & Company</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 18 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 7 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BCE, PE, 17 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 5 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 1 of 4 roundabouts); Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resource and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
Comments: PM = 45/160 Committed = 72% Available; Roadway Lead = 55/160 Committed = 66% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 4 traffic operations staff + 3 Roundabout QA.			
Firm Name: <u>James Stewart & Company</u>			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 13 years experience (11 roadway projects presented, 5 of 11 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BCET, PE, 29 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 1 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Geography, MS Management, 29 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 4 of 5 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resource and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
Comments: PM = 76/160 Committed = 53% Available; Roadway Lead = 100/160 Committed = 38% Available; ENV Lead = 48/160 Committed = 70% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 2 traffic operations staff.			

#12

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 27 years experience (7 roadway projects presented, 2 of 7 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, PTOE, 32 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts +30 RABs); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 17 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts); Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resource and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Excellent
Comments: PM = 28/160 Committed = 83% Available; Roadway Lead = 0/160 Committed = 100% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff + Mark Lenters & Thao Truong.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 25 years experience (8 roadway projects presented, 0 of 8 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 12 years experience (7 roadway projects presented, 3 of 7 roundabouts + 2 RABs); ENV Lead = BS Geography, MS Management, 29 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 3 of 4 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
Comments: PM = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Roadway Lead = 108/160 Committed = 33% Available; ENV Lead = 100/160 Committed = 38% Available; Firm + Resources 6 roadway, 5 traffic operations staff + 4 Roundabout QA.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
Comments: PM = BSBE, PE, 16 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 15 years experience (6 roadway projects presented, 4 of 6 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 17 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = 10/160 Committed = 94% Available; Roadway Lead = 92/160 Committed = 43% Available; ENV Lead = 92/160 Committed = 43% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 5 traffic operations staff + 22 additional.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 29 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 8 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 2 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BA Cultural Geography, English, 25 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Adequate
Comments: PM = 72/160 Committed = 55% Available; Roadway Lead = XX/160 Committed = XX% Available; ENV Lead = 65/160 Committed = 59% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 2 traffic operations staff.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = BCE, PE, 33 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 9 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 3 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Marginal
Comments: PM = 117/160 Committed = 26% Available; Roadway Lead = 116/160 Committed = 28% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 5 traffic operations staff.	

#2

Firm Name: [REDACTED] A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating Adequate

Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 26 years experience (9 roadway projects presented, 0 of 9 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 14 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts).

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating Adequate

Comments: PM = 110/160 Committed = 31% Available; Roadway Lead = 73/160 Committed = 54% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 7 roadway & traffic operations staff.

Firm Name: [REDACTED] A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating Marginal

Comments: PM = BSCET, PE, 28 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 3 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, MSCE, PE, 25 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 0 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS, MS Biology, PhD Soil Science, 15 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 3 of 5 roundabouts).

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating Excellent

Comments: PM = 4/160 Committed = 98% Available; Roadway Lead = 4/160 Committed = 98% Available; ENV Lead = 10/160 Committed = 94% Available; Firm + Resources 16 roadway staff.

Firm Name: [REDACTED] A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating Good

Comments: PM = BSCET, PE, 37 years experience (13 roadway projects presented, 0 of 13 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 25 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts, plus); ENV Lead = BS Geology, Marine Science, 20 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts).

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating Good

Comments: PM = 16/160 Committed = 90% Available; Roadway Lead = 15/160 Committed = 91% Available; ENV Lead = 18/160 Committed = 89% Available; Firm + Resources 2 roadway, 1 traffic operations staff.

Firm Name: [REDACTED] A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating Adequate

Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 23 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BCE, PE, 25 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 1 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 1 of 3 roundabouts); Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts).

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating Good

Comments: PM = 52/160 Committed = 68% Available; Roadway Lead = 16/160 Committed = 90% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 7 roadway, 7 traffic operations staff.

Firm Name: IDS-Global - Disqualified A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating

FIRM DISQUALIFIED

B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating

FIRM DISQUALIFIED

#2

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 14 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 3 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, XX years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 1 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Geography, MS Management; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 2 of 5 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = 72/160 Committed = 55% Available; Roadway Lead = 0/160 Committed = 100% Available; ENV Lead = 48/160 Committed = 70% Available; Firm + Resources 3 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Marginal
Comments: PM = BCE, PE, 20 years experience (14 roadway projects presented, 2 of 14 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 13 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 0 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BA English, MA Anthropology, MEM, 25 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 0 of 4 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = 40/160 Committed = 75% Available; Roadway Lead = 36/160 Committed = 78% Available; ENV Lead = 87/160 Committed = 46% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 1 traffic operations staff.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 27 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 0 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 18 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 3 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience; Prime (6 roadway projects presented, 5 of 6 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = 88/160 Committed = 45% Available; Roadway Lead = 50/160 Committed = 69% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 6 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = BCE, PE, 20 years experience (8 roadway projects presented, 5 of 8 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 14 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 1 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS, MS Biology, PhD Soil Science, 28/40 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 3 of 3 roundabouts); Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = 84/160 Committed = 48% Available; Roadway Lead = 40/160 Committed = 75% Available; ENV Lead = 44/160 Committed = 73% Available; Firm + Resources 6 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff.	

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating Adequate
Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, XX years experience (7 roadway projects presented, 1 of 7 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 15 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 2 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 15 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 1 of 4 roundabouts).	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating Good
Comments: PM = 48/160 Committed = 70% Available; Roadway Lead = 40/160 Committed = 75% Available; ENV Lead = 80/160 Committed = 50% Available; Firm + Resources 6 roadway, 5 traffic operations staff.	

#2

Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 27 years experience (7 roadway projects presented, 1 of 7 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, MSCE, PE, 5 years experience (6 roadway projects presented, 1 of 6 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BSES, MSE&BS, XX years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 2 of 5 roundabouts).</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 48/160 Committed = 70% Available; Roadway Lead = 48/160 Committed = 70% Available; ENV Lead = 56/160 Committed = 65% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 2 traffic operations staff + Mark Lenters.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Excellent	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 24 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = AASCE, PE, 38 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 5 of 5 roundabouts).</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 48/160 Committed = 70% Available; Roadway Lead = 95/160 Committed = 41% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff + Roundabout QA.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCET, PE, 27 years experience (10 roadway projects presented, 3 of 10 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 16 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts); ENV Lead = ENV Lead = BS Geology, Marine Science, 24 years experience (6 roadway projects presented, 3 of 6 roundabouts); Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 2 of 5 roundabouts).</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 95/160 Committed = 41% Available; Roadway Lead = 60/160 Committed = 63% Available; ENV Lead = 18/160 Committed = 89% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 2 traffic operations staff + Roundabout QA.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCET, PE, 33 years experience (7 roadway projects presented, 7 of 7 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 21 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 2 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Geology, Marine Science, 24 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 2 of 3 roundabouts); Prime (6 roadway projects presented, 3 of 6 roundabouts).</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 64/160 Committed = 60% Available; Roadway Lead = 40/160 Committed = 75% Available; ENV Lead = 32/160 Committed = 80% Available; Firm + Resources 10 roadway, 4 traffic operations staff.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCE, MBA, PE, 36 years experience (3 + Multiple roadway projects presented, 2 of 3 + 2 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 22 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 3 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BA English, MNR, MCommunications, 30 years experience; Prime (X roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts).</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 0/160 Committed = 100% Available; Roadway Lead = 22/160 Committed = 86% Available; ENV Lead = 100/160 Committed = 38% Available; Firm + Resources 7 roadway, 5 traffic operations staff.</p>			



#2

Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
Comments: PM = BSCE, MSRE, PE, 29 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 0 of 5 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 14 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 1 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS History, MS Planning, 24 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 4 of 5 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
Comments: PM = 79/160 Committed = 51% Available; Roadway Lead = 74/160 Committed = 54% Available; ENV Lead = 56/160 Committed = 65% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff.			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Marginal
Comments: PM = BSCE, MSCE, PE, 40 years experience (11 roadway projects presented, 0 of 11 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BCE, PE, 24 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 0 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience; Prime (3 roadway projects presented, 0 of 3 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
Comments: PM = 30/160 Committed = 81% Available; Roadway Lead = 32/160 Committed = 80% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 4 traffic operations staff.			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Marginal
Comments: PM = BSCE, No PE, 35 years experience (6 roadway projects presented, 0 of 6 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 15 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 0 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Ecology, 16 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 1 of 4 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
Comments: PM = 40 to 80/160 Committed = 50 to 75% Available; Roadway Lead = 60 to 140/160 Committed = 13 to 63% Available; ENV Lead = 88/160 Committed = 45% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway staff.			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Adequate
Comments: PM = BSCET, PE, 21 years experience (9 roadway projects presented, 0 of 9 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, XX years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 3 of 5 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Biology, 23/10 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
Comments: PM = 52/160 Committed = 68% Available; Roadway Lead = 40/160 Committed = 75% Available; ENV Lead = 36/160 Committed = 78% Available; Firm + Resources 7 roadway, 6 traffic operations staff + Roundabout QA.			
Firm Name: [Redacted]			
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
Comments: PM = BSCET, MSE, PE, 40 years experience (6 + 9 roadway projects presented, 4 of 6 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCET, MBA, PE, 20 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BA Biology, MEn, XX years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 3 of 5 roundabouts).			
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	Good
Comments: PM = 52/160 Committed = 68 to 70% Available; Roadway Lead = 84/160 Committed = 48 to 75% Available; ENV Lead = 56/160 Committed = 65 to 70% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff + Roundabout QA.			

#2

Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Marginal	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 38 years experience (10 roadway projects presented, 2 of 10 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 20 years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 0 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BA English, MNR, MCommunications, 28 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 2 of 4 roundabouts).</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating →	
		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 34/160 Committed = 79% Available; Roadway Lead = 60/160 Committed = 63% Available; ENV Lead = 100/160 Committed = 38% Available; Firm + Resources 5 roadway, 2 traffic operations staff.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 29 years experience (11 roadway projects presented, 0 of 11 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, 20 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 0 of 5 roundabouts).</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating →	
		Marginal	
<p>Comments: PM = 10/160 Committed = 94% Available; Roadway Lead = 148/160 Committed = 8% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 4 + 7 roadway, 2 traffic operations staff.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCE, PE, 24 years experience (10 roadway projects presented, 7 of 10 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BCE, PE, 25 years experience (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Zoology, 16 years experience; Prime (3 roadway projects presented, 3 of 3 roundabouts).</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating →	
		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 16/160 Committed = 90% Available; Roadway Lead = 54/160 Committed = 66% Available; ENV Lead = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCE, MSCE, PE, 30 years experience (6 roadway projects presented, 4 of 6 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSBM, BSCE, PE, XX years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 3 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BA International Relations, MHP; Prime (6 roadway projects presented, 6 of 6 roundabouts).</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating →	
		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 25 to 55/160 Committed = 65 to 85% Available; Roadway Lead = 20 to 45/160 Committed = 70 to 90% Available; ENV Lead = 0 to 42/160 Committed = 75 to 100% Available; Firm + Resources 9 roadway, 2 traffic operations staff + Mark Lenters.</p>			
Firm Name: [Redacted]		Assigned Rating →	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Marginal	
<p>Comments: PM = BSCET, PLS, 33 years experience (2 roadway projects presented, 1 of 2 roundabouts); Roadway Lead 1 = BSCE, PE, XX years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 0 of 3 roundabouts); Roadway Lead 2 = BSCE, PE, 12 years experience (2 roadway projects presented, 0 of 2 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS History, MS Planning, 20 years experience; Prime (4 roadway projects presented, 4 of 4 roundabouts).</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating →	
		Good	
<p>Comments: PM = 68/160 Committed = 58% Available; Roadway Lead = 55/160 Committed = 83% Available; ENV Lead = 36/160 Committed = 78% Available; Firm + Resources 8 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff.</p>			

#2

Firm Name:		
A Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
Comments: PM = BECE, MS Construction Management, PE, 15 years experience (4 roadway projects presented, 1 of 4 roundabouts); Roadway Lead = BSCE, PE, XX years experience (3 roadway projects presented, 0 of 3 roundabouts); ENV Lead = BS Biology, ES, 22 years experience; Prime (5 roadway projects presented, 1 of 5 roundabouts).		
B Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
Comments: PM = 80/160 Committed = 50% Available; Roadway Lead = 85/160 Committed = 47% Available; ENV Lead = 48/160 Committed = 70% Available; Firm + Resources 4 roadway, 3 traffic operations staff.		

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications

Resources and Workload Capacity

Evaluator 3

SUBMITTING FIRMS	Maximum Points allowed =		Phase One Evaluator 3 Individual	
	300	200	Total Score	Ranking
Alfred Benesch & Company	Marginal	Adequate	175	32
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Adequate	Good	300	11
Barge Design Solutions	Adequate	Good	300	11
CALYX Engineers and Consultants	Marginal	Good	225	20
CHA Consulting, Inc	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P C	Marginal	Adequate	175	32
Cranston Engineering Group, P C	Marginal	Good	225	20
CROY Engineering, LLC	Adequate	Good	300	11
Development Planning & Engineering, Inc	Good	Good	375	2
EFK Moen, LLC	Marginal	Good	225	20
EXP US Services Inc	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
Freese And Nichols	Marginal	Good	225	20
Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	20
IDS-Global - Disqualified	0	0	0	35
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
KCI Technologies, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	20
Mead and Hunt, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	11
Michael Baker International, Inc	Good	Good	375	2
Moffatt & Nichol	Adequate	Good	300	11
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc	Marginal	Excellent	275	19
Mott MacDonald LLC	Good	Good	375	2
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	11
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc	Good	Excellent	425	1
Pond & Company	Adequate	Good	300	11
QK4, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	20
RK Shah Associates, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	20
RS&H, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	20
Southeastern Engineering, Inc	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	11
TY Lin International	Marginal	Good	225	20
Thompson Engineering, Inc	Marginal	Adequate	175	32
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc	Good	Good	375	2
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	20
Volkert, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	20
Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	500	%

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819, Contract #5	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
----------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------

Evaluator #: 3

Evaluation Committee should assign ratings (and/or explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.

Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points
 Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points
 Adequate = Meets minimum qualifications/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points
 Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects = 75% of Available Points
 Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points

Firm Name	Assigned Rating
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Marginal
Comments: P&E: K. Hammarlund projects Marginal exp Marginal Road: Robert Baysden projects Marginal exp PO ENV: Josh Kahot project Marginal exp Adequate Prime project Marginal	

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Adequate
Comments: org chart Adequate decent depth PM Adequate Road Adequate ENV good Prime	

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Adequate
Comments: PM: Steven Gaines Projects Adequate Exp Adequate Road: Brian Muesen Project Adequate Exp Only one PDP ENV: John Earhart projects Adequate Exp NEPA Prime project Adequate Florida Exp	

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	good
Comments: org chart Adequate PM good excellent ENV adequate Road prime Adequate	

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Adequate
Comments: PM: Johnny Lee projects Adequate Exp No products shown Road: Thomas Alex projects 100% design Adequate ENV: John Earhart projects Adequate/exp Marginal Prime Adequate	

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	good
Comments: org chart Adquait/Marginal Prime Adequate PM excellent Road excellent ENV Adequate	

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Marginal
Comments: PM: David Jackson projects Marginal Exp Not max exp Marginal Road: Ken McDuff projects Marginal Exp Marginal ENV: John Stultz projects Marginal Exp Marginal Prime	

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	good
Comments: org chart good Prime Adequate org good Road Adequate ENV excellent	

#3

Comments

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→ Adequate
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→ Adequate
PM Chris Edmanson project poor Exp Marginal Road Dave Henkel projects Adequate/good exp Marginal/Adequate ENV Jodie projects Adequate exp adequate Comments Prime projects Adequate exp adequate			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→ excellent
Org chart good Prime good Comments PM excellent Road excellent ENV good			
Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→ Marginal
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→ Marginal
PM Jennifer Harpal projects Marginal exp marginal Road bradky cck projects Adequate exp Marginal ENV Tish Stultz, Marginal Marginal Comments Prime good Exp good			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→ Adequate
Org chart good Prime good Adequate Comments PM good Road Adequate ENV Adequate			
Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→ Marginal
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→ Marginal
PM Scott Williams projects Marginal exp Marginal Road David Mills projects Adequate exp adequate ENV Josh Esthars projects Marginal exp Adequate Comments Prime projects Marginal - exp marginal			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→ good
Org chart good Prime good Comments PM excellent Road good ENV Adequate			
Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→ Adequate
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→ Adequate
PM Chris Riedout projects good exp Marginal Road Eric Brisse projects adequate exp Adequate ENV Michelle McIntosh projects Adequate exp Adequate Comments prime good			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→ good
Org chart Adequate Prime good PM good Road Not provided ENV excellent			

#3

Comments

Firm Name: [Redacted]

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating: **Good**

PM Jimmy Gariso project ~~good~~ **good** exp **good**
 Road Kelsey Black project ~~adequate~~ **good** exp **good**
 ENV John Earhart Marginal exp **adequate**

Comments
 Prime **good**

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: **Good**

Org Chart **good**
 Prime **Adequate**

Comments
 PM **good**
 Road **good**
 ENV **adequate**

Firm Name: [Redacted]

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating: **Marginal**

PM Chris Williams projects **marginal** exp **marginal**
 Road Margaret Bruns project **marginal** exp **marginal**
 ENV John Earhart project **marginal** exp **Adequate**

Comments
 Prime **Adequate Adequate**

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: **Good**

Org Chart **good**
 Prime **good**

Comments
 PM **Adequate**
 Road **Good**
 ENV **Good**

Firm Name: [Redacted]

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating: **Adequate**

PM J DeWayne Com projects **Adequate** exp **Adequate**
 Road David McFarlin projects **marginal** exp **marginal**
 ENV Mary Best projects **good** exp **good**

Comments
 Prime **Adequate exp Adequate**

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: **Excellent**

Org Chart **Adequate**
 Prime **good**

Comments
 PM **excellent**
 Road **excellent**
 ENV **excellent**

Firm Name: [Redacted]

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating: **Marginal**

PM Keith Franklin project **marginal** exp **adequate**
 Road Tercia Halley project **marginal** exp **adequate**
 ENV Buddy Counts project **marginal** exp **marginal**

Comments
 Prime **Adequate** project **Adequate** exp **Adequate**

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: **Good**

Org Chart **Adequate**
 Prime **Adequate**

Comments
 PM **excellent**
 Road **excellent**
 ENV **excellent**

#3

Comments

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating: Marginal

PM Client: Parker Projects Marginal exp Marginal
Road Jeffrey Netzerger projects Marginal exp Marginal
ENV Josh Kalhoff projects Marginal exp Marginal
Comments: Prime Proj Marginal exp Marginal

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: Good

Org chart Adequate
Prime Adequate
Comments: pm excellent
Road excellent
ENV Adequate

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating: [Redacted]

FIRM DISQUALIFIED

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: [Redacted]

FIRM DISQUALIFIED

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating: Adequate

PM Tyler Merrish projects Adequate/marginal exp adequate
Road Elizabeth Scaler projects Marginal exp adequate
ENV Tish Stutz projects Marginal exp Marginal
Comments: Prime projects adequate exp Adequate

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: Excellent

Org chart Adequate
Prime good
Comments: pm excellent
Road excellent
ENV excellent

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating: Marginal

PM Korie Brette projects Marginal exp Marginal
Road Holly Parker projects Marginal exp adequate
ENV Patrick Smith projects Marginal exp adequate
Comments: Prime Marginal Exp Adequate

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating: Good

Org chart Adequate
Prime good
PM Road excellent
ENV good

#3

Comments

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
PM Dan Moses	projects marginal	exp marginal	
Road Troy Parkatz	projects Adequate	exp Adequate	
ENV Josh Bernhard	projects Adequate	exp Adequate	
Comments Prime Adequate exp Adequate			

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	
		Good	
Comments Org Chart Adequate Prime Adequate PM good Road excellent ENV excellent			

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Good	
PM Benjamin Clapper	Project good	exp good	
Road Chad Havers	project marginal	exp Adequate	
ENV Mary Best	project good	exp good	
Comments Prime good exp good			

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	
		Good	
Comments Org Chart Adequate Prime Adequate PM good Road excellent ENV excellent			

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
PM Allison Gonzalez	projects Marginal	exp Marginal	
Road Nina Gailor	Project good	exp good	
ENV Josh Bernhard	projects marginal	exp Adequate	
Comments Prime project marginal exp Adequate			

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	
		Good	
Comments Org Chart Adequate Prime good PM excellent Road excellent ENV Adequate			

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Marginal	
PM Brad Hale	Project Adequate	exp Adequate/Marginal	
Road Ben Morden	Project Marginal	exp Adequate	
ENV Bijay Nirauta	Project Marginal	exp Adequate	
Comments Prime project Adequate exp Adequate			

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	
		Excellent	
Comments Org Chart Good Prime Good PM excellent Road excellent ENV excellent			

#3

Comments

Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating → Good
PM: Educational projects good exp adequate Road David Walker project good exp good ENV Josh Easthart marginal exp adequate Prime Adequate exp adequate	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating → Good
Org Chart good Prime good Comments: PM excellent Road medium ENV adequate	
Firm Name: [Redacted]	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating → Adequate
PM: Jay Signore Project Marginal exp Marginal Road Intel Gonzalez projects marginal exp inadequate ENV Buddy Covington projects good exp good	
Comments: Prime good	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating → Good
Org Chart Adequate Prime good Comments: PM Adequate Road excellent ENV excellent	

#3

Comments

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→

Comments

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→
---	--	------------------------	---

Comments

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→

PM Bill Kuntze Projects excellent exp good
 Road Robert Santos Projects good
 ENV Buddy Counten Project good
 Prime Project good exp good

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→
---	--	------------------------	---

Org Chart good
 Prime good
 PM excellent
 Road excellent
 ENV excellent

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→

PM Tom Ziegler Project Adequate exp Marginal
 Road Byron LeBoumeau Project Adequate exp Adequate
 ENV Todd Barker Project Marginal exp Marginal
 Prime project adequate exp adequate

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→
---	--	------------------------	---

Org Chart good
 Prime Adequate
 PM excellent
 Road excellent
 ENV Adequate

Firm Name:		Assigned Rating	→
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Assigned Rating	→

PM Andrew Ballstedt Project Marginal exp Marginal
 Road David Fox Project Marginal exp Marginal
 ENV Russ Danser Project Marginal exp Marginal
 Prime project good exp good

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		Assigned Rating	→
---	--	------------------------	---

Org Chart Adequate
 Prime Good
 PM good
 Road good
 ENV excellent

#3

Firm Name		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Marginal	
PM	RK Shah	project marginal	exp marginal
Road	Garrett Sander	project marginal	exp marginal
ENV	John Earhart	project marginal	exp adequate
Comments			
Prime	Project marginal	exp marginal	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		good	
Org Chart		good	
Prime		adequate	
Comments			
PM	excellent		
Road	excellent		
ENV	good		
Firm Name		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Marginal	
PM	Domnie Saulino	project Marginal	Exp Marginal
Road	Mac Cranford	project Marginal	Exp Marginal
ENV	Jill Brown	project Marginal	Exp Marginal
Comments			
Prime	project marginal	Exp marginal	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		good	
Org Chart		Adequate	
Prime		adequate	
Comments			
PM	excellent	good	
Road	excellent	good	
ENV	good	marginal	
Firm Name		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
PM	Scott Jordan	project marginal	Exp Adequate
Road	Cory Paul	project Adequate	Exp good
ENV	Met Otley	project Marginal	Exp good
Comments			
Prime	projects marginal	Exp Marginal	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		excellent	
Org Chart		good	
Prime		good	
Comments			
PM	excellent		
Road	excellent		
ENV	excellent		
Firm Name		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%		Adequate	
PM	Keith Strickland	Projects marginal	Exp Marginal
Road	Mitchell Greenup	Project adequate	Exp good
ENV	David Smith	Projects marginal	Exp Marginal
Comments			
Prime	Projects good	Exp good	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%		good	
Org Chart		Adequate	
Prime		good	
Comments			
PM	excellent		
Road	good		
ENV	excellent		
Firm Name		Assigned Rating	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%			

Ty Lin
 PM, Chuck Deeb
 Road Nicole Alexander
 ENV Todd Baker
 Prime
 project marginal exp marginal marginal
 project marginal exp marginal
 project marginal exp marginal
 project ~~adequate~~ exp ~~adequate~~

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating → good

#3
 Org Chart Adequate
 Prime good
 Comments
 PM excellent
 Road excellent
 ENV Adequate

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating → Marginal

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%
 PM, Tom Harjans
 Road Daniel Thornhill
 ENV Josh Earhart
 Comments
 Prime
 Project marginal exp Marginal
 Project Adequate exp Adequate
 Project Marginal exp Marginal
 Project Marginal exp Marginal

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating → Adequate

Org Chart Adequate
 Prime Adequate
 Comments
 PM excellent
 Road Marginal
 ENV good

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating → Adequate

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%
 PM, Alex Stone
 Road David Henry
 ENV Josh Earhart
 Comments
 Prime
 Project Adequate exp Marginal
 Project ~~adequate~~ exp Adequate
 Project Marginal exp Adequate
 Project Adequate exp Adequate

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating → excellent

Org Chart Adequate
 Prime good
 Comments
 PM excellent
 Road excellent
 ENV excellent

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating → good

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%
 PM, Tammy Crochet
 Road Matthew Thompson
 ENV Erin Murphy
 Comments
 Prime
 projects good exp Adequate/good
 projects good exp good
 projects Adequate exp good
 projects good exp good

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating → good

Org Chart good
 Prime good
 Comments
 PM excellent
 Road excellent
 ENV excellent

Firm Name: [Redacted] Assigned Rating → Marginal

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%
 PM, David Mathen
 Road Reece Schuler
 ENV Russ Danser
 Comments
 Prime
 project good exp ?? Adequate
 project marginal exp marginal
 project marginal exp marginal
 project Adequate exp Adequate

#3

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating → good

OrgChart not provide
Prime good
Comments
PM excellent
Road excellent
ENV excellent

Firm Name: [Redacted]

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30% Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM Aman Mathur
Road Clark Hammet
ENV Jason Gohmet
Comments
Prime
projects Marginal
projects Marginal
projects Marginal
projects Marginal
Exp Adequate
Exp Marginal
Exp Adequate
Exp Adequate

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20% Assigned Rating → good

OrgChart good
Prime good
Comments
PM good
Road good
ENV good

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF TOP SUBMITTALS FOR PHASE I

Solicitation Title:		Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 5	1	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Solicitation #:		RFQ-484-052819	1	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria FOR TOP SIXTEEN SUBITTALS				
			1	Michael Baker International, Inc.
			1	American Consulting Professionals, LLC
			1	Mead and Hunt, Inc.
		(RANKING)	1	Pond & Company
			7	CHA Consulting, Inc.
		Group	8	Mott MacDonald LLC
		Score	8	CROY Engineering, LLC
			10	TranSystems Corporation
			10	Barge Design Solutions
			10	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC
SUBMITTING FIRMS				
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	375	1	10	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	375	1	14	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
Michael Baker International, Inc.	375	1	14	Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	375	1	14	QK4, Inc.
Mead and Hunt, Inc.	375	1		
Pond & Company	375	1		
TranSystems Corporation	300	10		
CHA Consulting, Inc.	350	7		
Mott MacDonald LLC	325	8		
CROY Engineering, LLC	325	8		
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	250	14		
Barge Design Solutions	300	10		
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	300	10		
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	300	10		
Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.	250	14		
QK4, Inc.	250	14		

(This Page For GDOT Use)

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity

SUBMITTING FIRMS	Phase One Scores and Group Ranking		Total Score	Ranking
	300	200		
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Michael Baker International, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Good	Good	375	1
Mead and Hunt, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Pond & Company	Good	Good	375	1
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	300	10
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	350	7
Mott MacDonald LLC	Good	Adequate	325	8
CROY Engineering, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	8
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	14
Barge Design Solutions	Adequate	Good	300	10
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	300	10
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	10
Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	14
QK4, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	14
Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	500	%

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>PTG's PM has the relevant experience and listed seven projects that included roundabouts. PM also listed this project in Monroe where he worked as PM on intersection improvement. Roadway Lead listed 21 years of experience and presented two projects that included roundabouts. NEPA has served as lead and authored EA documents. As Prime PTG listed projects that included intersections and roundabouts. PM and Roadway Lead have worked together on two of the projects listed by Prime.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>PTG organizational chart very detailed. All the Leads show sufficient availability. Additional resource narrative also detailed and presented risks and how to mitigate issues such as constructability.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>VHB PM has extensive experience managing roundabout projects and listed six projects with four of them being projects with roundabouts. Roadway Lead listed three roundabout projects that he led. NEPA lead is a historian but has managed environmental projects for many years and has extensive experience. As Prime VHB listed six projects that included roundabouts and the Leads have worked together on several projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>VHB organizational chart has depth and included QA person that is a roundabout subject matter expert. The team shows sufficient availability to complete the project.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Michael Baker International, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>MBI PM detailed his responsibilities as PM, listed 20 years experience and 5 projects that included roundabouts. Roadway Lead has 14 years experience and of the projects presented one included a roundabout. NEPA has over 40 years experience, is an Ecologist and has experience working with CORE. MBI as Prime listed 5 projects that included roundabouts and the team worked together on two of the projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>MBI addressed and included discussion of entire team including the leads in the additional narrative. PM has over half of his time dedicated to other projects but the other leads show good availability. Organizational Chart lists 6 road and 3 traffic ops staff.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>ACP PM listed 25 years experience and 4 of 9 projects listed include roundabouts. Roadway Lead has 43 years experience and listed 3 projects that include roundabouts. NEPA has 27 years experience managing environmental documentation for a variety of projects. ACP as Prime listed 5 projects that included roundabouts and the team has worked together on I-75 @ SR 52 roundabout.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>ACP organizational chart gave sufficient depth but confusing under Environmental in regards to specific responsibilities. Also listed additional resources in Dalton Office. The additional narrative listed other team members and defined their roles well. Team lists sufficient availability.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Mead and Hunt, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>M&H PM has over 27 years experience listed 5 projects. Roadway Lead with 18 years experience listed 3 projects that included roundabouts. NEPA has 16 years experience managing environmental documentation for a variety of projects. M&H as Prime listed 5 projects with roundabouts with the Roadway Lead from the team working on the projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>M&H organizational chart lists 6 roadway and 2 traffic operations staff. Noted the Traffic Analysis and Operations tab is confusing as it list Landscape Architecture. The additional narrative section discusses how the firm wants to expand in Georgia. PM has high commitment on projects in SC.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Pond & Company	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>P&C PM has 36 years experience and listed 2 of 3 projects that included roundabouts. Roadway Lead has 22 years experience and listed 3 projects that included roundabouts. NEPA has 30 years and has extensive environmental project management experience. P&C as Prime listed 4 roundabout projects with the roadway lead having worked on two of the projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>P&C organizational chart detailed. NEPA lead has low availability but the rest of the team has sufficient availability. The additional narrative discussed experience with roundabouts, traffic engineering expertise, scope, schedule and budget.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-062819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	TranSystems Corporation	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>TSC PM has 24 years of experience and listed 7 projects that included roundabouts. Roadway Lead has 25 years experience and presented 1 project with roundabout although he didn't provide a detailed description of his roadway design lead experience with roundabouts. NEPA has 16 years experience managing environmental documentation for a variety of projects. TSC as Prime listed 3 roundabout projects with PM and Roadway Lead having worked on one of the projects together.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>TSC organizational chart detailed, included a QA/QC for environmental and listed 4 roadway and 3 traffic ops staff. All leads show sufficient availability. Additional narrative discussed QA/QC in regards to roundabout expertise.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-062819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CHA Consulting, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>CHA PM has 27 years experience and listed 2 projects that included roundabouts. Roadway Lead in addition to being PE also has PTOE and 32 years experience, listed 4 projects that include roundabouts plus an additional 30 roundabouts where he led CHA staff on analysis and design . NEPA has 17 years experience managing environmental documentation for a variety of projects. CHA as Prime listed 5 projects with roundabouts and included 2 of the leads.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>CHA organizational chart listed 5 roadway and 3 traffic ops staff with 2 roundabout SMEs. Additional narrative detailed, included QA/QC, participation from nationally recognized leader in RABs. The Leads all have good availability.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-062819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Mott MacDonald LLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>MM PM has 24 years experience and listed 5 projects that included roundabouts but did not provide details about PM responsibilities. Roadway Lead 38 years experience with 4 projects that included roundabouts. NEPA has 16 years experience managing environmental documentation for a variety of projects. MM as Prime listed 5 projects that included roundabouts but the leads have not worked together on any of the projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>MM organizational chart detailed and included 4 roadway and 3 traffic ops staff, plus roundabout QA. Roadway Lead has heavy commitments out of state in NC. PM and NEPA have sufficient availability. Additional narrative detailed discussion on QA/QC process.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CROY Engineering, LLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>CE PM has 29 years experience and listed 5 projects including roundabouts but did not detail pm responsibilities. Roadway Lead has 8 years experience and listed 2 projects that included roundabouts. NEPA has over 25 years experience including managing environmental documentation for GDOT. CE as Prime listed 4 projects with roundabouts with the PM and Roadway Lead having worked together on 3 of the 4 projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>CE organizational chart lacked detail and didn't include staff names. Additional narrative discussed QA/QC and additional resources on team that can do the work as well. PM and NEPA show sufficient availability.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>NE PM has 27 years of experience including 3 projects with roundabouts but PM did not include any details or describe his responsibilities as PM. Roadway lead has 16 years experience with 1 project including roundabouts. NEPA has 24 years experience as environmental manager and has extensive experience with environmental documentation. NE as Prime listed 5 projects that included 2 roundabouts but the team has not worked together on either project.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>NE organizational chart listed 5 roadway, 2 traffic ops staff plus roundabout QA, but environmental does not appear to be well covered. PM has heavy commitment but the other leads have availability. The additional narrative has detailed QA/QC section.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Barge Design Solutions	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

BDS PM has 18 years experience and listed 5 projects with roundabouts but failed to detail what his roles/responsibilities were. Roadway Lead has 17 years experience and listed 4 projects that included roundabouts but it's unsure if he was lead on all projects. NEPA has 16 years experience managing environmental documentation for a variety of projects. BDS as Prime listed 5 projects with roundabout and PM and Roadway lead worked together on 1 of the projects.

Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
---------------------------------	--	-----------------	------

BDS organizational chart detailed and listed 4 roadway, 4 traffic ops staff plus 3 roundabout QA members. The team shows good availability. The Additional narrative detailed other team members and their participation to project.

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

ICE PM has 14 years experience with 3 projects including roundabouts but he was PM on only 1 of the projects and failed to give details about his role/responsibilities. Roadway Lead listed 1 project that included a roundabout. NEPA has over 20 years experience managing environmental documentation for GDOT projects. ICE as Prime listed 2 projects that included roundabouts and the PM and Roadway Lead worked together.

Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
---------------------------------	--	-----------------	------

ICE organizational chart detailed and listed 3 roadway and 3 traffic ops staff. Additional narrative discussed QA/QC and other key team members as additional resources to the key team leads. The key team leads all show good availability.

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

SCS PM has 40 years experience and listed 4 projects that included roundabouts but failed to convey he acted as PM and did not give enough detail regarding his role/responsibilities on projects. Roadway Lead has 20 years experience and listed 4 projects that included roundabouts but experience shows he acted more as PM rather than roadway lead on the projects listed. NEPA listed 3 projects that he acted as lead on and demonstrated experience providing CE and EA documentation. SCS as Prime listed 3 projects that included roundabouts with two of the projects where team worked together.

Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
---------------------------------	--	-----------------	------

SCS organizational chart listed 4 roadway, 3 traffic ops staff plus roundabout QA member. The Additional narrative very detailed including QA/QC discussion. The team shows sufficient availability

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Hussey, Gay, Bell & Deyoung, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>HGBD PM has 23 years experience and listed 1 project including a roundabout but does not define his duties/responsibilities on project. PM's project listing roundabout is at interstate which is not same/relevant design to this project in Monroe. Roadway Lead has 25 years experience with 1 project including a roundabout. NEPA has 16 years experience managing environmental documentation for a variety of projects. HGBD as Prime listed 2 projects including a roundabout and none of the Key Team Leads have worked together.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>HGBD organizational chart listed 7 roadway and 7 traffic ops staff. Additional narrative did not discuss QA/QC and reiterates the Key Team Leads experience instead of presenting additional resources. The Key Team Leads show availability</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	QK4, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>QK4 PM has 29 years experience but did not include any relevant projects that included roundabouts. Roadway Lead listed 1 project that included roundabout. NEPA has extensive experience providing environmental documentation. QK4 as Prime listed 4 projects that include roundabouts PM worked on 2 of projects with Prime and Roadway worked on 2 of the projects</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>QK4 organizational chart listed 4 roadway and 3 traffic ops staff but is missing staff for Landscape Architecture. Additional narrative detailed and included discussions on QA/QC, scheduling and design software/traffic modeling. The Key Team Leads show good availability.</p>			



SELECTION OF FINALISTS

RFQ-484-052819

Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design services

The Georgia Department of Transportation is pleased to announce the selection of the following firms as finalists regarding the above RFQ:

Contract #1: PI# 0014941, Glynn County

**Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
Holt Consulting Company, LLC
Lowe Engineers, LLC
Michael Baker International, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
TranSystems Corporation**

Contract #2: PI# 0016126 and 0016127, Butts County

**American Consulting Professionals, LLC
KCI Technologies, Inc.
Lowe Engineers, LLC
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**

Contract #3: PI# 0016128, McDuffie and Wilkes Counties

**Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
Lowe Engineers, LLC
Moffatt & Nichol
Mott MacDonald, LLC
R.K. Shah & Associates**

Contract #4: PI#s 0016129 and 0016130, Jones and Monroe Counties

**Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, PC
Lowe Engineers, LLC
Michael Baker International, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
STV Incorporated d/b/a STV Ralph Whitehead Associates**

Contract #5: PI# 0013120, Monroe County

American Consulting Professionals, LLC

Mead and Hunt, Inc.

Michael Baker International, Inc.

Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.

Pond & Company

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Contract #6: PI# 0015151, Chatham County

American Engineers, Inc.

Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.

Michael Baker International Inc.

Moffatt & Nichol

Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.

STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates

Contract #7: PI# 0015667, Baldwin County

American Consulting Professionals, LLC

Development Planning & Engineering, Inc.

Mott MacDonald, LLC

Pond & Company

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

WSP USA, Inc.

Contract #8: PI# 0015688, Butts County

CHA Consulting, Inc.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Mott MacDonald, LLC

Pond & Company

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Contract #9: PI# 0015690, Muscogee County

Barge Design Solutions, Inc.

CHA Consulting, Inc.

Clark Paterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, PC

TranSystems Corporation

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.



Russell R. McMurry, P.E., Commissioner
 One Georgia Center
 600 West Peachtree Street, NW
 Atlanta, GA 30308
 (404) 631-1000 Main Office

September 3, 2019

NOTICE TO SELECTED FINALISTS - REVISED

To: American Consulting Professionals, LLC; Mead and Hunt, Inc.; Pond & Company; Michael Baker International, Inc.; Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. and Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Please send an e-mail confirming receipt of this notice to Folayan Battle (fbattle@dot.ga.gov).

Re: RFQ 484-052819, Batch #1 – 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract #5, PI# 0013120, Monroe County

On behalf of the Selection Committee for the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) referenced above, we congratulate you and your firm on being selected as a finalist for further consideration. This notice shall serve as an official request for additional required information and action from finalists. **Please refer to the original solicitation (RFQ-484-052819), page 9, VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response, A&B and pages 10-12, IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response, A-D for instructions to submit your package.** As a finalist, your firm is required to comply with the written instructions and remaining schedule below:

A. Technical Approach - 40%

This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.

Furnish information that may serve to differentiate your firm from other firms and evidence of the firm’s fit to the project and/or needs of GDOT, including:

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. Past Performance - 10%

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Remaining Schedule

d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms	09/03/2019	-----
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	09/20/2019	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	10/01/2019	2:00 PM

C. Finalist Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. For each evaluator, the points assigned to each criterion will be totaled and a rank will be determined. The rankings of all evaluators will be totaled for each finalist in order to determine the sum of the individual rankings. The finalists will be ranked in descending order of recommendation using the sum of individual rankings from the Selection Committee members. Should a tie exist for the highest ranking firm on the contract/project, and qualifications appear to be equal, the Selection Committee shall defer to the sum of the individual points and the award shall be made to the finalist with the highest sum.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract, including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm, GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations in writing and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

Please address any questions you may have to Folayan Battle, and congratulations, again, to each of you!

Folayan Battle
fbattle@dot.ga.gov
404-631-1466

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	RFQ-484-052819	
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 5	
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	October 1, 2019	
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm	

No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Compliant with Page # Limitations	Meets Required Area Classes
1	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	10/1/2019	1:05 PM	X	X
2	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	10/1/2019	1:25 PM	X	X
3	Michael Baker International, Inc.	10/1/2019	10:35 AM	X	X
4	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	10/1/2019	12:05 PM	X	X
5	Mead and Hunt, Inc.	9/30/2019	3:51 PM	X	X
6	Pond & Company	10/1/2019	1:19 PM	X	X

SOQ AREA CLASS CHECKLIST

Solicitation #: RFQ-484-052819

Solicitation Title: Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 5

Primes and Subconsultants	1.08(a)	1.08(b)	1.08(c)	1.08(d)	1.08(e)	1.08(f)	1.08(g)	1.07	1.10	3.01	3.06	3.07	3.08	3.12	3.15	5.01	5.02	5.03	5.04	5.05	5.06	5.08	6.01(a)	6.01(b)	6.03	9.01	Certificate Expiry
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X															4/11/2020	
Atlanta Consulting Engineers, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																7/12/2021
Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																4/11/2020
Moreland Altabelli Associates, LLC	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																3/8/2021
NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC																											3/14/2022
Settimo Consulting Services, Inc.																											9/28/2022
Ineard and Hunt, Inc.	X	X								X	X																1/31/2022
Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X																12/14/2020
Platinum Geomatics	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																4/30/2022
Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																4/11/2020
MC Squared, Inc.	X									X	X																11/9/2020
Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X									X	X																12/31/2021
Michael Baker International, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																11/8/2020
Atlanta Consulting Engineers, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																7/12/2021
Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																4/11/2020
Long Engineering, Inc.	X									X	X																12/14/2020
Platinum Geomatics	X									X	X																4/30/2022
Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X									X	X																12/31/2021
United Consulting, LLC																											7/13/2020
Fatons Transportation Group, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																12/14/2020
Atlanta Consulting Engineers, Inc.										X	X																7/12/2021
Bowby & Associates, Inc.			X	X	X	X																					5/31/2021
Contour Engineering, LLC																											4/11/2020
Ecological Solutions	X																										2/28/2022
Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																7/17/2021
New South Associates, Inc.		X																									6/7/2020
Settimo Consulting Services, Inc.																			X	X	X						2/28/2022
Surveying and Mapping, LLC (SAM)										X	X								X	X	X						12/14/2020
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.										X	X								X	X	X						8/31/2021
Fond & Company	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																3/8/2021
Adrian Collaborative, LLC	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																8/9/2020
Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X																					4/11/2020
Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X																12/14/2020
United Consulting, LLC																											7/13/2020
Platinum Geomatics										X	X																4/30/2022
WFSKIES, LLC																											3/7/2020
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X																4/30/2021
MSA Professional Services, Inc. dba Ourston										X	X																4/9/2021
Contour Engineering, LLC										X	X																4/11/2020
Accura Engineering and Consulting Services, Inc.																											1/31/2022
Settimo Consulting Services, Inc.																				X	X						2/28/2022
Atlanta Consulting Engineers, Inc.																											7/12/2021
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	X									X	X																9/30/2021
CCR Environmental, Inc.																											6/7/2020

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF SUBMITTALS

Solicitation Title:	Batch #1 - 2019 Engineering Design Services, Contract 5	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-052819	American Consulting Professionals, LLC
PHASE I AND PHASE II -Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria		Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

(This Page For GDOT Use)

SUBMITTING FIRMS	(RANKING)	
	Sum of	Group
	Total Score	Ranking
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	750	1
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	675	3
Michael Baker International, Inc.	675	3
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	725	2
Mead and Hunt, Inc.	675	3
Pond & Company	650	6

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity
Technical Approach
Past Performance

	PHASE I		PHASE II		Group Scores and Ranking	
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	400	100	Total Score	Ranking
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	▼	▼		
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	Good	Good	750	1
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	Good	Good	Adequate	Excellent	675	3
Michael Baker International, Inc.	Good	Good	Adequate	Excellent	675	3
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Good	Good	Good	Adequate	725	2
Mead and Hunt, Inc.	Good	Good	Adequate	Excellent	675	3
Pond & Company	Good	Good	Adequate	Good	650	6
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	400	100	1000 %	

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>PTG's approach is to build on their track record with similar type projects and to lead with an experienced former GDOT PM with historical knowledge of the project site. Aware of past issues with this project, PTG presents the potential preferred alternative design to be a "dog bone" round about to address previous designs constraints of ROW and staging concerns. PTG proposes to use risk register to address concerns by developing early mitigation strategies and did a good job detailing their understanding of risks associated with this projects construction staging, ROW and maintenance of traffic and how to mitigate potential challenges. PTG proposal of a 3 phase task order procurement plan that separates Concept, Preliminary and Final plans may assist with a more fluid procurement process and result in early NTP.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Evaluators with previous experience working with PTG agree that the PM has extensive experience with project area and was a part of original project. Evaluators also noted that PTG is known to be responsive and provide attention to engineering detail and that PTG's quality control procedures are the basis for GDOT design procedures. All 5 of PTG's Past Performance references rated PTG as having met or exceeded expectations on projects that included roundabouts similar to this proposed project. Based on the past performance scores and Evaluators prior knowledge of PTG's work performance the Evaluators agree to score of Good.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>VHB's roundabout subconsultant has extensive experience designing and reviewing roundabouts and has completed the feasibility study for this project. VHB's approach to 4F and a potentially eligible historic property (the farm) seems inconsistent. The proposed alternative design was not detailed and only identified avoidance of farm property. VHB discussed importance of Public Involvement but failed to include public coordination in their section discussing meeting the schedule.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<p>The Evaluators that have worked with VHB on past projects agree that VHB's PM is proficient at delivering projects and the environmental section is very responsive and knowledgeable of environmental processes. Based on the past performance reference that scored VHB as exceeded expectations on a roundabout project in Henry County and the Evaluators past experience working with VHB the Evaluators agree with score of Excellent.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Michael Baker International		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>MBI presents their main asset is their nationally recognized professional roundabout expert engineer and the firms design of 9 other roundabouts in the state. QC/QA lacked detail even though they say they take QC/QA seriously. With the maintenance of traffic and construction staging they identify it as a challenge but did not give solutions to mitigate potential issues. MBI proposed a streamlined 2 phase procurement approach to eliminate procurement delays. MBI misidentified terminology associated with cultural resources by utilizing Phase II AOE (Phase II is inaccurate).</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<p>Evaluators are familiar with MBI's QC/QA team member as a former GDOT designer and agree they have experience with reviewing projects both from design and construction. Evaluators agree with score of Excellent based on the 3 past performance references that rated MBI as exceeded expectations and the prior experience of Evaluators familiar with MBI team.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	American Consulting Professionals, LLC		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>ACP presented knowledgeable summary of proposed project including environmental desktop review. ACP's approach is to complete traffic study and to analyze 4 alternatives including GDOT recommended single lane roundabout. ACP addressed scoping and negotiation as means to streamline NTP. ACP's QC/QA program utilizes fresh eyes and day to day checks.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>One of the evaluators with experience working with ACP's team acknowledges that ACP's environmental team members have experience with environmental process. The Evaluators agreed to score of adequate based on ACP not having any past performance references to review and past experience with ACPs environmental team.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Mead and Hunt, Inc.		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>MH presented sufficient understanding of project including environmental desktop review. MH's approach describes role of each major focus area. MH approach lacked detail on unique challenges associated with project. MH did present a concern for profile grades and sight distance improvements, however this does not seem to relate to this project. MH approach seems very high level and lacked project specific details.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<p>Evaluators noted that MH is currently working on GDOT historical bridge survey update and are proving to be an asset to the project, also based on the 6 past performance reviews that rated MH as exceeded expectations on all projects the Evaluators agree to score of Excellent.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-052819	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Pond & Company		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>PC's approach and design solutions reference the wrong project (SR 22 & 24) in several areas. Approach to EJ for "multiple mobile homes" does not seem applicable to this project. The lighting discussion is not commensurate with existing conditions.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Not having worked with PC and unable to identify any past projects that PC had worked on for GDOT the Evaluators agreed with score of good based solely on the two past performance references that rated PC as having met and/or exceeded expectations on roadway projects.</p>			

Reference Check Summary for
RFQ 484-052819 Contract #5
Batch 1 - 2019

Questions answered on a 1, 3, 5 scale.

1 = Below Expectations, 3 = Met Expectations, 5 = Exceeded Expectations

	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Mead and Hunt, Inc	Michael Baker International, Inc	Pond & Company	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc
1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project.						
Reference 1		5	5	5	5	5
Reference 2		5	5	3	5	
Reference 3		5	5		5	
Reference 4		5			3	
Reference 5		5			3	
Reference 6		5				
Reference 7						
Section Average		5.00	5.00	4.00	4.20	5.00
2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.						
Reference 1		5	5	5	5	5
Reference 2		5	5	3	5	
Reference 3		5	5		5	
Reference 4		5			5	
Reference 5		5			3	
Reference 6						
Reference 7						
Section Average		5.00	5.00	4.00	4.60	5.00
3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.						
Reference 1		5	5	5	5	5
Reference 2		5	5	5	5	
Reference 3		5	5		3	
Reference 4		5			3	
Reference 5		5			5	
Reference 6		5				
Reference 7						
Section Average		5.00	5.00	5.00	4.20	5.00
4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management.						
Reference 1		5	5	5	3	5
Reference 2		5	5	5	3	
Reference 3		5	5	3	5	
Reference 4		5			3	
Reference 5		5			5	
Reference 6		5				
Reference 7						
Section Average		5.00	5.00	4.33	3.80	5.00
5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.						
Reference 1		5	5	5	5	5
Reference 2		5	5	5	5	
Reference 3		5	5	5	3	
Reference 4		5			5	
Reference 5		5			5	
Reference 6		5				
Reference 7						
Section Average		5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60	5.00
Overall Average	0.00	5.00	5.00	4.47	4.28	5.00

#1

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Wednesday, October 09, 2019 3:58:04 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, October 09, 2019 3:58:51 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:46
Email: george.brewer@aecom.com
IP Address: 143.100.55.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	George Brewer
Company	AECOM
Title	TIA Preconstruction Manager
Email Address	george.brewer@aecom.com
Phone Number	706-832-0917

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Respondent skipped this question

**GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for American Consulting Professionals, LLC;
PI#011372; Waynesboro Truck Route Rondabout**

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in
program/project management

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your
ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:43:53 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:47:19 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:26
Email: tfravel@aei.cc
IP Address: 96.87.232.81

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Tom Fravel
Company	American Engineers, Inc.
Title	Branch Manager
Email Address	tfravel@aei.cc
Phone Number	770-421-8422

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Mean and Hunt, Inc. Roundabout Design, E. Cherokee Drive and Gaddis Road

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Mead & Hunt excellent with roundabout layout. Wouldn't use anyone else to assist besides them.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:47:37 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:48:32 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:55
Email: tfravel@aei.cc
IP Address: 96.87.232.81

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Tom Fravel
Company	American Engineers, Inc.
Title	Branch Manager
Email Address	tfravel@aei.cc
Phone Number	770-421-8422

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Mead and Hunt, Inc. Roundabout Design, Three Intersections In Forsyth County

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:53:09 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:53:56 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:46
Email: tfravel@aei.cc
IP Address: 96.87.232.81

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Tom Fravel
Company	American Engineers, Inc.
Title	Branch Manager
Email Address	tfravel@aei.cc
Phone Number	770-421-8422

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Mead and Hunt, Inc. Fulton County Roundabout
Design - Three Intersections

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in
program/project management

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your
ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:54:03 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:54:43 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:39
Email: tfravel@aei.cc
IP Address: 96.87.232.81

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Tom Fravel
Company	American Engineers, Inc.
Title	Branch Manager
Email Address	tfravel@aei.cc
Phone Number	770-421-8422

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Mead and Hunt, Inc. Sullivan Road At Clubview Drive Roundabout

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:54:47 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:55:33 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:46
Email: tfravel@aei.cc
IP Address: 96.87.232.81

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Tom Fravel
Company	American Engineers, Inc.
Title	Branch Manager
Email Address	tfravel@aei.cc
Phone Number	770-421-8422

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Mean and Hunt, Inc. Shiloh Road At Shiloh Crossing Roundabout

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:55:49 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:56:36 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:47
Email: tfravel@aei.cc
IP Address: 96.87.232.81

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Tom Fravel
Company	American Engineers, Inc.
Title	Branch Manager
Email Address	tfravel@aei.cc
Phone Number	770-421-8422

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Mead and Hunt, Inc. Forsyth County SR 9 At Oak Grove Circle

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, September 27, 2019 4:03:18 PM
Last Modified: Friday, September 27, 2019 4:07:52 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:34
Email: kevin.korth@brookhavenga.gov
IP Address: 216.194.45.34

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Kevin Korth
Company	City of Brookhaven Public Works
Title	City Transportation Engineer
Email Address	kevin.korth@brookhavenga.gov
Phone Number	4046370724

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Respondent skipped this question

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Michael Baker International, Inc. Windsor Parkway At Osborne Road Intersection Improvements

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, October 11, 2019 9:50:32 AM
Last Modified: Friday, October 11, 2019 9:51:32 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:59
Email: kemullins@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.55.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Kelvin
Company	GDOT
Title	Local Grants Administrator
Email Address	kemullins@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404-631-1002

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Michael Baker International, Inc. District Line Road Improvements

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Wednesday, October 09, 2019 2:39:07 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, October 09, 2019 2:53:52 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:44
Email: james.hudgins@cobbcounty.org
IP Address: 50.204.249.2

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Rustavius Ford
Company	Cobb County DOT
Title	Pre-Construction Engineer
Email Address	rustavius.ford@cobbcounty.org
Phone Number	7704206659

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Michael Baker International, Inc. County Line Road Safety And Operational Improvements

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Michael Baker delivered the project on time and within budget. There were many obstacles associated with this project. Michael Baker was quick to provide well thought out solutions.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 3:13:01 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 3:15:06 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:05
Email: Tshaya.Jackson@gwinnettcountry.com
IP Address: 12.164.201.242

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Tshaya Jackson
Company	Gwinnett County DOT
Title	Project Manager
Email Address	tshaya.jackson@gwinnettcountry.com
Phone Number	7708227488

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Michael Baker International, Inc. Venture Drive At Steve Reynolds And Day Drive Intersection Improvements

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

This consultant is proactive and understands County, state, and federal requirements.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 8:33:28 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 8:34:05 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:36
Email: sexley@columbiacountyga.gov
IP Address: 162.216.25.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	STEVE EXLEY
Company	Columbia County Board of Commissioners
Email Address	SEXLEY@COLUMBIACOUNTYGA.GOV
Phone Number	7064477602

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Pond & Company Hardy McManus, Columbia County, GA

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 8:58:14 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 8:59:37 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:23
Email: scassell@ismllc-engr.com
IP Address: 70.91.181.6

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Steve Cassell
Company	ISM
Title	Principle
Email Address	scassell@ismllc-engr.com
Phone Number	706-836-5160

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

3 - Met expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

3 - Met expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Pond & Company Berckmans Road
Improvements/PI 0011381

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in
program/project management

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your
ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 3:19:35 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 3:25:10 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:35
Email: bmoseley@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.55.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Brent Moseley
Company	Georgia Department of Transportation
Title	TIA Regional Coordinator
Email Address	bmoseley@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	912-530-4391

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project	5 - Exceeded expectations
Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project	5 - Exceeded expectations
Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals	5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. P1 00082/0,
Widening and Intersection Improvement of Gulfstream Road and Robert B. Miller Jr. Road Including Two
Roundabouts

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in
program/project management

**3 - Met
expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Parsons worked closely with GDOT to deliver this small, but complex project per the schedule. Parsons resolved several issues early in the project by being proactive that saved the project time and money.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 3:14:42 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 3:19:16 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:34
Email: bmoseley@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.55.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Brent Moseley
Company	Georgia Department of Transportation
Title	TIA Regional Coordinator
Email Address	bmoseley@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	912-530-4391

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. PI 0008275,
Intersection Improvements of SR 21 @ Gulfstream-Crossgate Road

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in
program/project management

**3 - Met
expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Parsons worked efficiently to assure that the project was delivered ahead of schedule.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 9:19:51 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 9:22:47 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:55
Email: atate@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.55.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Anthony Tate
Company	GDOT
Title	P3 Project Manager
Email Address	atate@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	(404) 631-1769

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

3 - Met expectations

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in
program/project management

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**3- Met
expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Parsons provided **quality design** work on **budget** and generally on **schedule**.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 10:10:02 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 10:12:59 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:57
Email: Frank.Fabian@VDOT.Virginia.gov
IP Address: 166.67.66.247

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Frank Fabian
Company	VDOT
Title	Senior Project Manager
Email Address	frank.fabian@vdot.virginia.gov
Phone Number	757.857.8959

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project	3 - Met expectations
Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project	5 - Exceeded expectations
Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals	3 - Met expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. VDOT -
Military Highway Continuous Flow Intersection

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in
program/project management

**3 - Met
expectations**

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

**5 - Exceeded
expectations**

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

PTG was the design arm on this D-B project. I thought they were very responsive and had the appropriate resources to accomplish the objectives.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 10:20:18 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 10:21:32 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:14
Email: Gregory.cooley@vdot.virginia.gov
IP Address: 166.67.66.240

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Name	Greg Cooley
Company	VDOT
Title	District Construction and Project Development Engineer
Email Address	gregory.cooley@vdot.virginia.gov
Phone Number	4349067979

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

3 - Met expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

3 - Met expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. VDOT - I-64/Route 15 Zion Crossroads Interchange Improvements Project

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Respondent skipped this question

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, September 09, 2019 1:45:21 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 09, 2019 1:47:53 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:32
Email: rburckhalter@co.henry.ga.us
IP Address: 68.118.115.151

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1 Contact Information

Ronald Burckhalter
Henry County
Henry County, GA
rburckhalter@co.henry.ga.us
(770) 288-7339

David Simmons
Henry County
Henry County, GA
dsimmons@co.henry.ga.us
(770) 288-7641

Q2 A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

No

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3 Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q4 Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q5 Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

5 - Exceeded expectations

GDOT RFQ 484-052819 Consultant Reference Check Survey for Vanasse Hangen Brustlin (VHB), Inc.; SR 20 AT EAST LAKE ROAD ROUNDABOUT - HENRY COUNTY, GA

Q6 Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q7 Rate the overall success of the project thus far

5 - Exceeded expectations

Q8 Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

VHB personnel (made up of previous McGee Partners personnel) typically provide a good design product.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your: **SAM email** for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact FSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: **PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP INC***

Total records:2

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)

Result Page: 1

Sort by **Relevance** Order by **Descending**

Your search for PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP INC* returned the following results...

Entity	PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP INC	Status: Active
DUNS: 007979396	CAGE Code: 4DMC8	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 04/07/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Entity	Parsons Transportation Group Of New York, Inc	Status: Active
DUNS: 075237925	CAGE Code: 36UP7	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 05/05/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Result Page: 1

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPIS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/IAE](#)
- [GSA.gcv](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your SAM email for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact FSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: atlanta consulting engineers, inc*

Total records:0

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)

Result Page:

Sort by **Relevance** ▼

Order by **Descending** ▼

Your search for atlanta consulting engineers, inc* returned the following results...

No records found.

Result Page:

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPIS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/IA3](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your **SAM email** for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

⚠️ ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

⚠️ ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact PSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: **Bowlby & Associates, Inc.***

Total records: 1

[Save PDF](#) [Export Results](#) [Print](#)

Result Page: 1

Sort by Order by

Your search for Bowlby & Associates, Inc.* returned the following results...

Entity	Bowlby & Associates, Inc.	Status: Active <input type="checkbox"/>
DUNS: 849999800	CAGE Code: 43DD8	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 01/10/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Result Page: 1

[Save PDF](#) [Export Results](#) [Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPHS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/LAE](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your SAM email for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact PSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: CONTOUR ENGINEERING, LLC*

Total records: 1

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)

Result Page: 1

Sort by [Relevance](#)

Order by [Descending](#)

Your search for CONTOUR ENGINEERING, LLC* returned the following results...

Entity	CONTOUR ENGINEERING, LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 050433932	CAGE Code: 3EPX6	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 10/01/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Result Page: 1

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPIS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/IAE](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your **SAM email** for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact FSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: **ECOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS INC***

Total records:1

Result Page: 1

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)

Sort by **Relevance** Order by **Descending**

Your search for **ECOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS INC*** returned the following results...

Entity	ECOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS INC	Status: Active
DUNS: 110230385	CAGE Code: 4GL03	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 01/02/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Result Page: 1

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPIS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/IAE](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your SAM email for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

⚠ ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

⚠ ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact FSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: **KENNEDY ENGINEERING & ASSOCIATES GROUP, LLC***

Total records:0

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)

Result Page:

Sort by

Order by

Your search for KENNEDY ENGINEERING & ASSOCIATES GROUP, LLC* returned the following results...

No records found.

Result Page:

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
www3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPHS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/IAE](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your SAM email for login.gov.

Log In

Login.gov FAQs

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact FSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: New South Associates, Inc.*

Total records:1

Save PDF Export Results Print

Result Page: 1 Sort by Relevance Order by Descending

Your search for New South Associates, Inc.* returned the following results...

Entity	New South Associates, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 197533573	CAGE Code: oK629	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 04/03/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Result Page: 1

Save PDF Export Results Print



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- Search Records
- Data Access
- Check Status
- About
- Help
- Disclaimers
- Accessibility
- Privacy Policy
- FAPIS.gov
- GSA.gov/LAE
- GSA.gov
- USA.gov

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your SAM email for login.gov.



[Login.gov FAQs](#)

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact PSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: **settimo consulting services***

Total records:0

[Save PDF](#) [Export Results](#) [Print](#)

Result Page:

Sort by Order by

Your search for **settimo consulting services*** returned the following results...

No records found.

Result Page:

[Save PDF](#) [Export Results](#) [Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPHS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/IAE](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your SAM email for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact PSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: SURVEYING AND MAPPING, LLC*

Total records: 12

[Save PDF](#) [Export Results](#) [Print](#)

Result Page: 1 [2](#) [NEXT](#)

Sort by [Relevance](#) Order by [Descending](#)

Your search for SURVEYING AND MAPPING, LLC* returned the following results...

Entity	Surveying and Mapping, LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 867203556	CAGE Code: 02CY0	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 10/27/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Entity	SURVEYING AND MAPPING, LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 146875484	CAGE Code: 4ZK26	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 04/22/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Entity	SURVEYING AND MAPPING, LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 362779501	CAGE Code: 4ZK84	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 09/16/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Entity	Compass Surveying & Mapping, LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 078687752	CAGE Code: 7A9E3	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 05/25/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Entity	PROFESSIONAL MAPPING & SURVEYING LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 966219003	CAGE Code: 6BY99	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 10/13/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Entity	OSER SURVEYING & MAPPING LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 057355821	CAGE Code: 8F3M8	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Entity		Status: Active
--------	--	-----------------------

Carroll Surveying And Mapping, LLC

DUNS: **956506000** CAGE Code: **4N9E6**
Has Active Exclusion?: **No** DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: **09/15/2020** Debt Subject to Offset?: **No**
Purpose of Registration: **All Awards**

[View Details](#)

Precision Surveying And Mapping, LLC

Status: **Active**

DUNS: **029034272** CAGE Code: **79W60**
Has Active Exclusion?: **No** DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: **10/23/2020** Debt Subject to Offset?: **No**
Purpose of Registration: **All Awards**

[View Details](#)

JMC Professional Surveying & Mapping, LLC

Status: **Active**

DUNS: **067276735** CAGE Code: **75U65**
Has Active Exclusion?: **No** DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: **06/26/2020** Debt Subject to Offset?: **No**
Purpose of Registration: **All Awards**

[View Details](#)

Atlantic Surveying and Mapping, LLC

Status: **Active**

DUNS: **078581409** CAGE Code: **5AZ98**
Has Active Exclusion?: **No** DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: **07/30/2020** Debt Subject to Offset?: **No**
Purpose of Registration: **All Awards**

[View Details](#)

Result Page: 1 [2](#) [NEXT](#)

[Save PDF](#)

[Export Results](#)

[Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPIS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/IAE](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration Federal Government computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individual's found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

[View assistance for SAM.gov](#)



A NEW WAY TO SIGN IN - If you already have a SAM account, use your **SAM email** for login.gov.

[Log In](#)

[Login.gov FAQs](#)

ALERT: SAM.gov will be down for scheduled maintenance Saturday, 12/14/2019, from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM

ALERT: A December 6th change to TLS Cipher Suites could impact browsers and web clients. System-to-System users should contact PSD for details.

Search Results

Current Search Terms: VAUGHN & MELTON CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.*

Total records: 1

[Save PDF](#) [Export Results](#) [Print](#)

Result Page: 1

Sort by Order by

Your search for VAUGHN & MELTON CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.* returned the following results...

Entity	VAUGHN & MELTON CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 048237846	CAGE Code: 00UA7	View Details
Has Active Exclusion?: No	DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 03/27/2020	Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Purpose of Registration: All Awards		

Result Page: 1

[Save PDF](#) [Export Results](#) [Print](#)



IBM-P-20191107-1527
WWW3

- [Search Records](#)
- [Data Access](#)
- [Check Status](#)
- [About](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Disclaimers](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [FAPHS.gov](#)
- [GSA.gov/LAE](#)
- [GSA.gov](#)
- [USA.gov](#)

This is a U.S. General Services Administration (Federal Government) computer system that is "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY." This system is subject to monitoring. Individuals found performing unauthorized activities are subject to disciplinary action including criminal prosecution.

**STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
NOTICE OF PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT QUALIFICATION**

You are qualified to provide Consulting Services to the Department of Transportation for the area-classes of work checked below. Notice of qualification is not a notice of selection.

NAME AND ADDRESS		DISPOSITION DATE	EXPIRATION DATE
PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP 3577 Parkway Lane, Building 5, Suite 100, Norcross, GA 30092		June 14, 2018	December 14, 2020
SIGNATURE <i>Nick Reed</i>			
1. Transportation Planning		3. Highway Design Roadway (continued)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.01	State Wide Systems Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.09	Traffic Control System Analysis, Design and Implementation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning	- 3.10	Utility Coordination
- 1.03	Aviation Systems Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.11	Architecture
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.05	Alternate System and Corridor Location Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
- 1.06	Unknown	- 3.14	Historic Rehabilitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06a	NEPA Documentation	- 3.15	Highway Lighting
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06b	History	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.16	Value Engineering
- 1.06c	Air Studies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.17	Design of Toll Facilities Infrastructure
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06d	Noise Studies		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06e	Ecology	4. Highway Structures	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06f	Archaeology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.01a	Minor Bridges Design
- 1.06g	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys	- 4.01b	Minor Bridges Design CONDITIONAL
- 1.06h	Bat Surveys	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.02	Major Bridges Design
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.07	Attitude, Opinion and Community Value Studies	- 4.03	Movable Span Bridges Design
- 1.08	Airport Master Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.09	Location Studies	- 4.05	Bridge Inspection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.10	Traffic Studies	5. Topography	
- 1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies	- 5.01	Land Surveying
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.12	Major Investment Studies	- 5.02	Engineering Surveying
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.13	Non-Motorized Transportation Planning	- 5.03	Geodetic Surveying
		- 5.04	Aerial Photography
		- 5.05	Aerial Photogrammetry
		- 5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing
		- 5.07	Cartography
		- 5.08	Subsurface Utility Engineering
2. Mass Transit Operations		6. Soils, Foundation & Materials Testing	
- 2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems) Management	- 8.01a	Soil Surveys
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies	- 8.01b	Geological and Geophysical Studies
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System	- 6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communications and Information Systems	- 6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Soils and Foundation)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering	- 6.04a	Laboratory Materials Testing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures	- 6.04b	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical Systems	- 6.05	Hazard Waste Site Assessment Studies
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services		
- 2.09	Aviation	8. Construction	
- 2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems) Marketing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8.01	Construction Supervision
3. Highway Design Roadway		9. Erosion and Sedimentation Control	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Rural Generally Free Access Highway Design	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control and Comprehensive Monitoring Program
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane with Curb and Gutter Generally Free Access Highways Design Including Storm Sewers	- 9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.03	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Widening and Reconstruction, with Curb and Gutter and Storm Sewers in Heavily Developed Commercial Industrial and Residential Urban Areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9.03	Field Inspections for Compliance of Erosion and Sedimentation Control Devices Installations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.04	Multi-Lane, Limited Access Expressway Type Highway Design		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.05	Design of Urban Expressway and Interstate		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.06	Traffic Operations Studies		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.07	Traffic Operations Design		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.08	Landscape Architecture		