



Interoffice Memo

DATE: August 28, 2020

FROM: Curtis Scott, Assistant Chief Procurement Officer for Transportation Services

TO: Treasury Young, Chief Procurement Officer

SUBJECT RFQ-484-040220; Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services;
Contract 9; PI#'s 0016577, 0016578, 0016596, and 0016610
Ranking Approval

The Office of Procurement's Transportation Services Procurement Section has reviewed and evaluated Statements of Qualifications, Technical Approach, and Past Performance for the above referenced project.

Attached for your review is one (1) set of the following:

- Advertisement and all Addendums
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase I
- GDOT Guide for Selection Committee Members (Phase I and II)
- Preliminary Ratings and Comments from Evaluators
- Selection Committee Ratings for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection Committee Comments for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Area Class Checklist
- Selection of Finalists Notification and Notice to Selected Finalists
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase II
- Selection Committee Overall Ratings for Phase I and Phase II
- Selection Committee Comments for Finalists – Phase II
- Past Performance Reference Checks and any available additional documentation
- Verification of Non-Debarment from SAM Website for Intended Awardee and Team
- Prequalification Certificate for Intended Awardee

The five (5) highest firms in order of ranking are as follows:

1. **Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.**
2. **TranSystems Corporation**
3. **Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.**
3. **CHA Consulting, Inc.**
5. **Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC**

The Selection Committee recommends the selection of the top ranked firm, **Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.**

Concurrence with Award from Responsible Division Director: Certification Procurement Requirements Met:

Albert Shelby, Director of Program Delivery

Treasury Young, Chief Procurement Officer

CS:cd

Attachments



Request for Qualifications

To Provide

Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

RFQ-484-040220

Qualifications Due: April 2, 2020

**Georgia Department of Transportation
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308**

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

484-040220

Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

Each Statement of Qualification (SOQ) submittal will require one (1) Contract Consideration Checklist sheet similar to the last page of this RFQ, indicating **ALL** of the contract(s) a firm have submitted SOQs for under RFQ-484-040220. This form is to ensure all SOQs submitted are accounted for and included in the correct Contract evaluation package.

Contract #	PI #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER
9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
	0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
	0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
	0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK
10	0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK

11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
	0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
	0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
	0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
	0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
	0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

I. General Project Information

A. Overview

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is soliciting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) from qualified firm(s) to provide Engineering Design Consultant Services for the projects listed above (note that certain projects may be grouped with other projects and awarded as one (1) contract):

This Request for Qualifications (RFQ) seeks to identify potential providers for the Scope of Services for the project/contract listed in Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11. Firms that respond to this RFQ, and are determined by GDOT to be sufficiently qualified, may be deemed eligible, and invited to offer a technical approach and/or possibly present and/or interview for these services. All respondents to this RFQ are subject to instructions communicated in this document, and are cautioned to completely review the entire RFQ and follow instructions carefully. GDOT reserves the right to reject any or all Statements of Qualifications or Technical Approach, and to waive technicalities and informalities at the discretion of GDOT.

B. IMPORTANT- A RESTRICTION OF COMMUNICATION IS IN EFFECT FOR THIS PROJECT.

From the advertisement date of this solicitation until successful respondents are selected and the award is made official and announced, firms are not allowed to communicate about this solicitation or scope with any staff of GDOT including the Commissioner and GDOT Board Members, except for the submission of questions as instructed in the RFQ, or with the contact designated in **RFQ Section VIII.C.**, or as provided by any existing work agreement(s). For violation of this provision, GDOT reserves the right to reject the submittal of the offending respondent.

C. The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
 Equal Opportunity Division
 One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
 600 West Peachtree Street, NW
 Atlanta, Georgia 30308
 Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Scope of Services

Under the terms of the resulting Agreements, the selected consultants will provide full engineering design services, for the GDOT Project(s) identified. The anticipated scope of work for the project/contract is included in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11**.

In addition, GDOT desires that the Consultant have the ability to provide, either with its own forces or through a sub-consultant team member, comprehensive services necessary to fulfill all preliminary engineering services which may arise during the project cycle.

E. Contract Term and Type

GDOT anticipates one (1) Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract to be awarded to one (1) firm, for the project/contract identified. GDOT anticipates that the Payment Type may be Lump Sum, Cost Plus Fixed Fee, Cost Per Unit of Work or Specific Rates of Compensation. As a Project Specific contract, it is the Department's intention that the Agreement will remain in effect until successful completion of the preliminary engineering phase of the projects, and may choose to utilize the selected consultant for use on construction revisions as necessary.

F. Contract Amount

Each Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract amount will be determined via negotiations with the Department. If the Department is unable to reach a satisfactory agreement and at reasonable rates to be paid for the services to be provided, the Department reserves the right to terminate negotiations with the highest scoring finalist and begin negotiations with the next highest scoring finalist.

II. Selection Method

A. Method of Communication

All general communication of relevant information regarding this solicitation will be made via the Georgia Procurement Registry (GPR) under RFQ-484-040220. All firms are responsible for checking the GPR on a regular basis for updates, clarifications, and announcements. GDOT reserves the right to communicate via electronic-mail with the primary contact listed in the Statements of Qualifications. Other specific communications will be made as indicated in the remainder of this RFQ.

B. Phase I - Selection of Finalists

Based on the Statements of Qualifications submitted in response to the projects/contracts listed in this RFQ, the Selection Committee will review the **Experience and Qualifications** and **Resources and Workload Capacity** listed in **Section IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I**. The Selection Committee will discuss the top submittals and the final rankings of the top submittals will be determined. From the final rankings of the top submittals, the Selection Committee will identify three (3) to five (5) firms which will be shortlisted.

All firms must meet the minimum requirements as listed in **Section IV.A.** below.

C. Finalist Notification for Phase II

Firms selected and shortlisted as finalists will receive notification and final instructions from GDOT regarding the **Phase II – Technical Approach** response.

D. Phase II - Finalists Response on Technical Approach and Past Performance

GDOT will request a **Technical Approach** of the three (3) to five (5) finalist firms for the project/contract. GDOT reserves the right to request a presentation/interview on any project/contract as determined in its best interests; however, this additional requirement shall typically be reserved for the most complex projects. Each finalist firm shall be notified in writing and informed of the Technical Approach due date. Any additional detailed Technical Approach instructions and requirements, beyond that provided in **Section V. Selection Criteria for Phase II**, for the finalists will be provided in the Finalist Notification. All members of the Selection Committee will review the Technical Approach (and will attend the presentation/interview if so chosen). **Firms shall not address any questions, prior to the award announcement, to anyone other than the designated contact.**

E. Final Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. The Selection Committee will discuss the Finalist's Phase II Responses and the final rankings will be determined.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm(s) to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract(s), including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm(s), GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

III. Schedule of Events

The following Schedule of Events represents GDOT's best estimate of the Schedule that will be followed. All times indicated are prevailing times in Atlanta, Georgia. GDOT reserves the right to adjust the Schedule as GDOT deems necessary.

PHASE I	DATE	TIME
a. GDOT issues public advertisement of RFQ-484-040220	3/3/2020	-----
b. Deadline for submission of written questions and requests for clarification	3/19/2020	2:00 PM
c. Deadline for submission of Statements of Qualifications	4/2/2020	2:00 PM
d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms	TBD	
PHASE II		
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	TBD	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	TBD	TBA

IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I - Criteria for Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

A. Area Class Requirements and Certification

Presented teams must be prequalified in the indicated Area Class(es) in order to be evaluated. Required proof of prequalification shall be submitted as indicated in **Section VI.B.4.** below. All Submittals will be pre-screened to verify that the Prime consultant has the required Area Class(es) and that the overall team has the required Area Class(es). Any submittal in which the Prime consultant or the overall team area class requirements are not met will be disqualified from further consideration.

Each submittal will require a certification to allow the Department to analyze risks in determining if any Firm should be ineligible for award. The certification shall cover a wide variety of information. Any firm which responds in any potentially concerning manner must provide additional information as directed herein for consideration by GDOT to determine if Firm is eligible for award.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Experience and Qualifications, which shall account for a total of thirty (30%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring Phase I of the evaluation will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager education, registration, relevant engineering experience, relevant project management experience, experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
2. Key Team Leaders' education, registration, relevant technical experience, and relevant experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
3. Prime Consultant's experience in delivering projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.

C. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Resources availability and Workload Capacity which shall account for a total of twenty (20%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring the Resources and Workload Capacity will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager Workload
2. Workload capacity of Key Team Leader(s)
3. Resources dedicated to delivering project
4. Ability to Meet Project Schedule

V. Selection Criteria for Phase II - Criteria for Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

A. Technical Approach – 40%

The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms (Finalists) on their Technical Approach, which shall account for a total of forty (40%) percent. The Selection Committee shall utilize the following additional criteria for scoring Phase II of the evaluation to determine the highest ranked/most qualified (**NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward and combined with the scores from the Phase II to determine the final ranking of Finalists**):

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures.
3. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. Past Performance – 10%

The Selection Committee may consider information provided via references provided for relevant projects, knowledge any selection committee member has of performance on relevant projects, and performance evaluations or knowledge presented on GDOT projects. The Selection Committee will consider all factors in their totality and score from 0 to 10 when arriving at a final score for the Past Performance.

VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response

The Statements of Qualifications submittal must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section VIII, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

Each submittal shall include:

Cover page – Each project/contract submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each submittal for each project/contract and each must list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the correct Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

A. **Contract Consideration Checklist**

Each Statement of Qualification (SOQ) submittal should include one (1) Contract Consideration Checklist sheet similar to the one shown on the last page of the RFQ, indicating all of the contract(s) a firm have submitted SOQs for under RFQ-484-040220. This one (1) checklist will ensure that **ALL** SOQs submitted are accounted for and included in the correct evaluation package(s). In the event that there are inconsistencies between the contract

number(s) and the PI number(s) indicated on a firm's SOQ cover page, the PI number(s) indicated will prevail to determine which contract a firm will be considered for. QA/QC is a must to ensure the correct contract submittal.

B. Administrative Requirements

It is required to submit the information below for each copy of each submittal. This is general information and will not be scored but may be used to determine eligibility for selection. **Under Administrative Requirements section, only submit the information requested; additional information will be subject to disqualification of your firm.**

1. Basic company information:

- a. **Company name.**
 - b. **Company Headquarter Address.**
 - c. **Contact Information** - Name and all contact information (telephone number(s) and e-mail address) of primary proposing contact (this will be the individual with whom the Department will direct all communications).
 - d. **Company website** (if available).
 - e. **Georgia Addresses** - Identify and provide addresses for the offices located in the State of Georgia.
 - f. **Staff** - List the number and disciplines of staff members employed in each office in the State of Georgia.
 - g. **Ownership** - Provide form of ownership, including state of residency or incorporation, and number of years in business. Is the Offeror a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability Corporation, or other structure?
2. **Certification Form** - Complete the Certification Form (*Exhibit "II" enclosed with RFQ*), **Initial each box on the Form indicating certification**, and provide an **active** notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
 3. **Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit** – Complete the form (*Exhibit "III" enclosed with RFQ*), and provide an **active** notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
 4. **Addenda** - Signed cover page **only** of any Addenda issued for the Prime **ONLY**.

C. Experience and Qualifications

1. **Project Manager** - Provide information pertaining to the project manager, including but not limited to:
 - a. **Education.**
 - b. **Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
 - c. **Relevant engineering experience.**
 - d. **Relevant project management experience** for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.
 - e. **Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (Plan Development Process, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.).

This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.

2. **Key Team Leaders** - Provide experience of Key Team Leaders (defined as those individuals who oversee project areas determined as particularly important to each specific project, refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I -1 thru Exhibit I-11, specifically Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Contract). For each Key Team Leader identified provide:
 - a. **Education.**
 - b. **Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
 - c. **Relevant experience** in the applicable resource area of the most relevant projects.
 - d. **Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.) which are specific to the key team leader's area.

This information is limited to one (1) page maximum for each Key Team Leader identified in Section 7 of Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11 per Contract. Respondents submitting more than one (1) page for each Key Team Leader identified or more than one (1) person as Key Team Leader on same page will be

subject to disqualification. Respondents who provide more Key Team Leaders than what is outlined in the requirement will be subject to disqualification as this would provide an advantage over firms who complied with the requirement and had the required number of Key Team Leaders. Respondents who do not provide the required Key Team Leaders will be subject to disqualification as this does not meet the requirements of the project and therefore would deem the respondent and its team unqualified for the award.

3. **Prime Experience** - Provide information on the prime's experience and ability in delivering effective services for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function, which demonstrate the firm's capabilities to provide services for GDOT. For each project, the following information should be provided:
 - a. **Client name, project location and dates** during which services were performed.
 - b. **Description of overall project and services performed** by your firm.
 - c. **Duration of project services provided** by your firm, and overall project budget.
 - d. **Experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.)
 - e. **Client(s) current contact information** including contact names, telephone numbers and **email address**.
 - f. **Involvement of Key Team Leaders** on the projects.

This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.

4. **Area Class Summary Form and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications** - Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their sub-consultants, who are considered team members. Prime Consultants and their sub-consultant team members must meet the Area Class requirements listed in Exhibit I for each project on which they apply. In regards to the required Area Classes, for each project/contract on which they apply, respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in Exhibit IV) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes and firm's meeting the area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. If a team member's prequalification will expire prior to the due date of the SOQs, documentation must be provided which shows that the firm has submitted its application for prequalification prior to the SOQ due date. The team must maintain its prequalification certification in order to be considered eligible for award if selected. **Additionally, respondents should submit the Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications (for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants for each project) issued by GDOT and attach after the Area Class summary form.**

This information is limited to the one page for the Area Class table (unless the project needs require an extensive list of area classes, which may exceed the one page) and the required Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications.

D. Resources/Workload Capacity

1. **Overall Resources** - Provide information regarding the overall resources dedicated to delivering the specific project, including:
 - a. **Organizational chart** which identifies the project manager, prime, Key Team Leaders, support personnel, and reporting structure. This chart may be submitted on a 11" x 17" page. **(Excluded from the page count)**
 - b. **Primary Office** - Identify and discuss the primary office which will be responsible for handling the specific project and the number and types of staff within the office and how this office could benefit the project and promote efficiency. **This information to be included on the one (1) page combined with the Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability.**
 - c. **Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability** – Respondents are to provide information regarding additional resource areas identified as important to the project, to discuss how the key areas will integrate and work together on the project, to discuss any information which is pertinent to these areas, to provide a narrative regarding how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. (GDOT recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project loads.) Respondents may discuss the advantages of your team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed

schedule as identified in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11** (where applicable). If there is no proposed schedule, discuss the advantages of the team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. **Respondents submitting more than the one (1) page allowed (combined for D1.b. and D1.c.), will be subject to disqualification.**

- Project Manager Commitment Table** - Provide a list of ALL projects (GDOT, other governments and private contracts – Information may be validated and any firm determined not to be listing all projects may be subject to disqualification) on which the proposed project manager is currently committed, to enable the Department to ascertain the project manager’s availability. Utilize a table similar to the following format with a minimum of all criteria indicated to provide the requested information:

Project Manager	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of PM on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

- Key Team Leader Project Commitment Table** - Provide a table similar to the below, with a minimum of all criteria indicated, which identifies ALL projects the Key Team Leaders (refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11**, specifically **Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Contract) are committed on to enable the Department to ascertain the available capacity.

Key Team Leader	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of Key Team Leader on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

This information is limited to the organization chart (excluded from page count), one (1) page combined of text (for both the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability), and the tables.

VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response

The following information will only be requested of the shortlisted firms. The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms using the information provided as requested below (NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward to Phase II):

The Phase II response must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section IX, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

Phase II Cover page – Each submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each Phase II submittal and each must indicate the response is for Phase II, list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm’s full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

A. Technical Approach

- Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.

2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures.
3. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.

B. Past Performance

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Past performance may be evaluated through the checking of project references for the proposed project manager as well as the firm. The Department will check these references at random. For this reason, attention should be paid to the references provided to ensure that the contact information provided is accurate and the individual references are reachable. Other past performance information which may be utilized includes GDOT consultant performance ratings as well as knowledge that any member of the Selection Committee has pertaining to the past performance of the firm on any project.

VIII. Instructions for Submittal for Phase I - Statements of Qualifications

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VI**, entitled **Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response**. See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification. Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications - Phase I Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

Statements of Qualifications submittals must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm's full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract number being submitted on. To submit your Statement of Qualification click the following Links:

Contract 1: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%201%20
 Contract 2: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%202%20
 Contract 3: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%203%20
 Contract 4: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%204%20
 Contract 5: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%205%20
 Contract 6: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%206%20
 Contract 7: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%207%20
 Contract 8: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%208%20
 Contract 9: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%209%20
 Contract10: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2010%20
 Contact 11: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2011%20

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folan Battle at Fbattle@dot.ga.gov.**

Statements of Qualifications **must be received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in the Schedule of Events (Section III of RFQ).

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals “proprietary” or “confidential”, or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

C. Questions and Requests for Clarification

Questions about any aspect of the RFQ, or the project, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: Fbattle@dot.ga.gov**. The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the RFQ are the times and dates shown in the (**Schedule of Events- Section III**). From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B**.

IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response

THESE INSTRUCTIONS ARE INTENDED SOLELY FOR THOSE FIRMS IDENTIFIED AND NOTIFIED AS FINALISTS. Final Instructions will be provided to the Finalists in the notification.

Please note that each project/contract will follow an individual schedule which meets the availability of each Selection Committee. For this reason, the Notice to Selected Finalists and resulting Phase II responses may be on different schedules for each project/contract.

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VII**, entitled **Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response - Phase II Response**. See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½” x 11”) paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section **should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification**. Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response-Phase II Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

- C. **Technical Approach submittal must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm’s full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract being submitted on. To submit your Technical Approach click the following Links:**

Contract 1: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%201%20
Contract 2: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%202%20
Contract 3: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%203%20
Contract 4: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%204%20
Contract 5: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%205%20
Contract 6: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%206%20
Contract 7: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%207%20

Contract 8: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%208%20
Contract 9: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%209%20
Contract10: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2010%20
Contact 11: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2011%20

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folayan Battle at Fbattle@dot.ga.gov.**

Technical Approach **must be received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in Notice to Selected Finalists.

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

Responses submitted via facsimile or e-mail will be rejected. All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

D. **Questions and Requests for Clarification**

Questions about any aspect of the Phase II Response for Finalists, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: Fbattle@dot.ga.gov, or as directed in the Notice to Selected Finalists, if different.** The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the Phase II Response will be identified in the Notice to Selected Finalists. From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B.**

X. **GDOT Terms and Conditions**

A. **Statement of Agreement**

With the submission of a SOQ, the respondent agrees that he/she has carefully examined the Request for Qualifications, and agrees that it is the respondent's responsibility to request clarification on any issues in any section of the Request for Qualifications with which the respondent disagrees or needs clarified. The respondent also understands that failure to mention these items during the question period or in the SOQ will be interpreted to mean that the respondent is in full agreement with the terms, conditions, specifications and requirements in the therein. With submission of a SOQ, the respondent hereby certifies: (a) that this SOQ is genuine and is not made in the interest or on behalf of any undisclosed person, firm, or corporation; (b) that respondent has not directly or indirectly included or solicited any other respondent to put in a false or insincere SOQ; (c) that respondent has not solicited or induced any person, firm, or corporation to refrain from sending a SOQ.

The respondent also understands that failure to provide required information may result in disqualification. Failure to provide administrative information may not result in disqualification. At the Department's discretion, the Department may notify the respondent that administrative information is not provided or there was an error in the information provided, **and** the Department will allow a respondent to provide an update to the administrative information. However, the exception to this is the provision of the required **GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT**, which by Georgia Law requires disqualification of the response. The above changes mentioned to administrative information would be considered allowable as these would be limited to changes which **do not** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents. Failure of a respondent to provide the specific administrative information as required in the notice will result in disqualification. Any respondent who provides changes in addition to the information requested in the notice shall be subject to disqualification. Failure of a respondent's SOQ to provide any information pertaining to a respondent and its teams qualifications, of any type, will subject the SOQ to disqualification. The Department will not allow updates to qualifications to be provided to avoid disqualification as this would allow a respondent to modify its SOQ and alter the information which evaluators would score. The above changes related to qualifications would not be allowable as these would allow changes which **do** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents SOQ.

B. Joint-Venture Proposals, Sub-Consultants, and Vendors

GDOT does not generally desire to enter into "joint-venture" agreements with multiple firms. In the event two or more firms desire to "joint-venture", it is strongly recommended that one incorporated firm propose and maintain status as the Program Management firm with the remaining firms participating as major firms. Any joint-venture, proposed and established as a separate business entity, should have its own set of books and supporting documentation sufficient for an audit trail. Transactions should be recorded consistent with the joint-venture agreement, and care must be taken to ensure that the joint-venture bears its equitable share of the costs. Therefore, "unpopulated joint-ventures" would not have an adequate accounting system suitable for cost reimbursement contracts.

However more traditional "populated joint-ventures" are welcomed. A populated joint-venture is where an alliance is brought to life by infusing it with working capital, employees, and control systems. The alliance implements all necessary business systems, including payroll processing, purchasing, property control, etc. The alliance will develop its own indirect rate structure and calculates its own indirect cost rates, based on the direct and indirect costs it incurs.

Sub-Consultants shall generally be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically requires prequalification, which is subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as costs. Sub-Consultant Team Members must be written into the resulting Agreement and are subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement. Vendors shall be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically does not require prequalification, which is not subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as direct expenses. Vendors may not be written into the resulting Agreement and may not be subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement.

C. Non-Discrimination and DBE Requirements

The Georgia Department of Transportation in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 78 Stat. 252, 42 USC 2000d--42 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, part 21, Nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all proposers that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, minority business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
Equal Opportunity Division
One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Audit and Accounting System Requirements

GDOT reserves the right to reject any proposal with firms that do not meet the following requirements:

1. Firm(s) should have an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
2. Any firm that currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000 should have submitted their yearly CPA overhead audit.
3. Firm(s) should have no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
4. The prime is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

E. Submittal Costs and Confidentiality

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the respondent submitting the response. The Department is not obligated to any respondent to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of the Department. Labeling information provided in submittals as “proprietary” or “confidential”, or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until a final award.

F. Award Conditions

This request is not an offer to contract or a solicitation of bids. This request and any proposal submitted in response, regardless of whether the proposal is determined to be the best proposal, is not binding upon the Department and does not obligate the Department to procure or contract for any services. Neither the Department nor any respondent submitting a response will be bound unless and until a written contract mutually accepted by both parties is negotiated as to its terms and conditions and is signed by the Department and a respondent containing such terms and conditions as are negotiated between those parties. The Department reserves the right to waive non-compliance with any requirements of this Request for Qualifications and to reject any or all proposals submitted in responses. Upon review of responses, the Department will determine the respondent(s) proposal that in the sole judgment of the Department is in the best interest of the Department (if any is so determined), with respect to the evaluation criteria stated herein. The Department then intends to conduct negotiations with such respondent(s) to determine if an acceptable contract may be reached.

G. Debriefings

In lieu of Pre-Award and Post-Award debriefings, it shall be the Department’s policy to provide the “Selection Package” at the time of the Selection Announcement (also referred to as the Announcement of Entering into Negotiations). The “Selection Package” will include the scores and comments of phases for all firms who

responded and will typically be provided as a PDF file and e-mailed. Previously, pre-award debriefings only provided the scores and comments of the firm. It shall be the policy of the Department that all debriefings will typically be conducted in writing.

H. Right to Cancel or Change RFQ

GDOT reserves the right to cancel any and all Request for Qualifications where it is determined to be in the best interest of the Department to do so. GDOT reserves the right to increase, reduce, add or delete any item in this solicitation as deemed necessary.

It is the responsibility of all firms interested in submitting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) for this advertisement to routinely check the posting on the Georgia Procurement Registry for any revisions to this RFQ.

I. Substitutions, Alternates, Exceptions, and Extensions

No substitutions or alternates will be accepted for this solicitation. Any respondent submitting substitutions or alternates will be considered non-responsive and will not be considered for award.

J. GDOT Code of Conduct Pertaining to Conflict of Interest in the Award and Administration of Contracts

Pursuant to GDOT Policy 3A-17, any GDOT employee who leaves the employment of the Department and subsequently becomes employed with a consultant firm and whose duties while employed with the Department included the direct involvement with the negotiation, administration, or management of a contract in which the firm is either the primary consultant or a sub-consultant **SHALL NOT** be authorized to work on that contract as an employee of that firm for a period of one (1) year after their employment ends.

Additionally, on July 1st of each year, any consultant firm that is under contract with the Department as a prime or sub consultant shall provide to the Department's Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) a current list of all former Department employees employed by the firm and a document that certifies the responsibilities of those employees as it relates to the current contracts with the Department. This certification document shall attest to the fact that over the last year no former Department employee that is employed by their firm has worked on a contract between the Department and their firm where that employee, when employed by the Department, had direct involvement with the selection, award and/or administration of the consultant contract. Any consultant firm entering into a contract with the Department for the first time as a prime or sub consultant shall provide the initial required list of former Department employees and certification prior to the contract effective date. If the Department's CPO determines at any point during a contract that an actual conflict exists as it relates to the above paragraph, then the CPO shall have the authority to issue a stop work order on that contract.

EXHIBIT I-1

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) 1st Utility Submittal.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submittal.
- 3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, as required.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI # 0015658:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI # 0016595:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-2

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.

- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- 1) Roadway Design
- 2) Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016600 and 0016601:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-3

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

- A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.

- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities; Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016564, 0016604:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. Pi #: 0016565:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-4

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.

- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans .
- 4) CES Final cost estimateCES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016566 and 0016568:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-5

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

- A. PI #: 0016569, 0016584, 0016587, 0016590
 - 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
 - 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
 - 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
 - 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
 - 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22
- B. PI #: 0016589
 - 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
 - 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
 - 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
 - 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
 - 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-6

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF
0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Survey:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans .
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0015632, 0016571, 0016572, and 0016588:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-7

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 4) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed PI numbers 0016570, 0016573, and 331900-:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-8

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering)
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016575:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016576, 0016579:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-9

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in

accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016577, 0016578, 0016609:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016596, 0016610:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-10

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.

- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016611:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016607, 0016608:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-11

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Targeted Stakeholder Group (For PI-0016599 & PI- 0016606 (Tier III Projects) only:

- 1) Establish a Technical Stakeholder Group (TSG) - with GDOT assistance.
- 2) Prepare for, Conduct, and Report on TSG Meetings and coordination.
- 3) Prepare all necessary presentation materials.

D. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

E. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

F. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

G. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

H. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

I. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

J. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

K. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- C. Bridge Design
- D. NEPA Lead

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016580, 0016605:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #: 0016581, 0016582:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

C. PI #s: 0016599, 0016606:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 22 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 24
- 5) Let Contract – Q2 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT II
CERTIFICATION FORM

I, _____, being duly sworn, state that I am _____ (title) of _____

_____ (firm) and hereby duly certify that I have read and understand the information presented in the attached proposal and any enclosure and exhibits thereto.

Initial each box below indicating certification. The person initialing must be the same person who signs the Certification Form. (If unable to initial any box for any reason, place an "X" in the applicable box and attach a statement explaining the non-certification. The Department will review and make a determination as to whether or not the firm shall be considered further or disqualified).

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge the information given in response to the Request for Qualifications is full, complete and truthful.

I further certify that the submitting firm and any principal employee of the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been convicted of any crime of moral turpitude or any felony offense, nor has had their professional license suspended, revoked or been subjected to disciplinary proceedings, nor is any team members/principals currently under indictment for any reason related to actions on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that I understand that Firms included on the current Federal list of firms suspended or debarred are not eligible for selection and that the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been suspended or debarred from contracting with any federal, state or local government agency, and further, that the submitting firm is not now under consideration for suspension or debarment from any such agency.

I further certify that the submitting firm has not in the immediately preceding five (5) years been defaulted in any federal, state or local government agency contract and further, that the submitting firm is not now under any notice of intent to default on any such contract, nor has been removed from a contract or failed to complete a contract as assigned due to cause or default.

I further certify that the firm or any affiliate(s) has not been involved in any arbitration, litigation, mediation, dispute review board or other dispute resolution proceeding with a client, business partner, or government agency in the last five (5) years involving an amount in excess of \$500,000 related to performance on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that there are not any pending regulatory inquiries that could impact our ability to provide services if we are the selected consultant.

I further certify that there are no possible conflicts of interest created by our consideration in the selection process or by our involvement in the project.

I further certify that the submitting firm's annual average revenue for the past five (5) years is sufficient to allow the services to be delivered effectively by our firm and that there are no trends in the revenue which may be concerning other than normal market fluctuations.

I further certify that in regards to Audit and Accounting System Requirements, that the submitting firm:

- I. Has an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
- II. Has submitted its yearly Certified Public Accountant overhead audit if it currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000.
- III. Has no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
- IV. Is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

I acknowledge, agree and authorize, and certify that the proposer acknowledges, agrees and authorizes, that GDOT may, by means that either deems appropriate, determine the accuracy and truth of the information provided by the proposer and that the GDOT may contact any individual or entity named in the Statement of Qualifications for the purpose of verifying the information supplied therein.

I acknowledge and agree that all of the information contained in the Statement of Qualifications is submitted for the express purpose of inducing the GDOT to award a contract.

A material false statement or omission made in conjunction with this proposal is sufficient cause for suspension or debarment from further contracts, or denial or rescission of any contract entered into based upon this proposal thereby precluding the firm from doing business with, or performing work for, the State of Georgia. In addition, such false statement or omission may subject the person and entity making the proposal to criminal prosecution under the laws of the State of Georgia of the United States, including but not limited to O.C.G.A. §16-10-20, 18 U.S.C. §§1001 or 1341.

Sworn and subscribed before me

This ____ day of _____, 20__.

Signature

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: _____

NOTARY SEAL

EXHIBIT III

GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT

Consultant's Name:	
Address:	
Solicitation No./Contract No.:	RFQ-484-040220
Solicitation/Contract Name:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

CONSULTANT AFFIDAVIT

By executing this affidavit, the undersigned Consultant verifies its compliance with O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91, stating affirmatively that the individual, entity or corporation which is engaged in the physical performance of services on behalf of the Georgia Department of Transportation has registered with, is authorized to use and uses the federal work authorization program commonly known as E-Verify, or any subsequent replacement program, in accordance with the applicable provisions and deadlines established in O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91.

Furthermore, the undersigned Consultant will continue to use the federal work authorization program throughout the contract period and the undersigned Consultant will contract for the physical performance of services in satisfaction of such contract only with sub-consultants who present an affidavit to the Consultant with the information required by O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91(b). Consultant hereby attests that its federal work authorization user identification number and date of authorization are as follows:

Federal Work Authorization User Identification Number
(EEV/E-Verify Company Identification Number)

Date of Authorization

Name of Consultant

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Printed Name (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

Title (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

Signature (of Authorized Officer or Agent)

Date Signed

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME ON THIS THE

____ DAY OF _____, 201__

Notary Public

[NOTARY SEAL]

My Commission Expires: _____

**EXHIBIT IV
Area Class Summary Example**

Respondents should complete a table similar to the below and indicate by placing an “X” in the appropriate column indicating the firm which meets each required area class for each specific project with particular emphasis on the area classes which the Prime must hold as well as the sub-consultants. The below table is a full listing of all area classes. Since no single advertisement would require every area class, Respondents should delete all the area classes which are not applicable to the project they are pursuing and only include the ones applicable. Particular attention should be paid to the date that consultants certificate expires.

Area Class #	Area Class Description	Prime Consultant Name	Sub-Consultant #1 Name	Sub-Consultant #2 Name	Sub-Consultant #3 Name	Sub-Consultant #4 Name	Sub-Consultant #5 Name	Sub-Consultant #6 Name
	DBE – Yes/No ->							
	Prequalification Expiration Date							
1.01	Statewide Systems Planning							
1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning							
1.03	Aviation Systems Planning							
1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning							
1.05	Alternate Systems Planning							
1.06(a)	NEPA							
1.06(b)	History							
1.06(c)	Air Quality							
1.06(d)	Noise							
1.06(e)	Ecology							
1.06(f)	Archaeology							
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys							
1.06(h)	Bat Surveys							
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)							
1.08	Airport Master Planning (AMP)							
1.09	Location Studies							
1.10	Traffic Analysis							
1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies							
1.12	Major Investment Studies							
1.13	Non-Motorized transportation Planning							
2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems Management)							
2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies							
2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System							
2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communication and Information Systems							
2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering							
2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures							
2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical System							
2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services							
2.09	Airport Design (AD)							
2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems Marketing)							
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design							
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane urban Roadway Design							
3.03	Multi-Lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction							
3.04	Multi-lane Rural Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.05	Multi-lane Urban Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies							
3.07	Traffic Operations Design							
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design							

3.09	Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation							
3.10	Utility Coordination							
3.11	Architecture							
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)							
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians							
3.14	Historic Rehabilitation							
3.15	Highway and Outdoor Lighting							
3.16	Value Engineering (VE)							
3.17	Toll Facilities Infrastructure Design							
4.01	Minor Bridge Design							
4.02	Major Bridge Design							
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)							
4.05	Bridge Inspection							
5.01	Land Surveying							
5.02	Engineering Surveying							
5.03	Geodetic Surveying							
5.04	Aerial Photography							
5.05	Photogrammetry							
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing							
5.07	Cartography							
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)							
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies							
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies							
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies							
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)							
6.04(a)	Laboratory Testing of Roadway Construction Materials							
6.04(b)	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials							
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies							
8.01	Construction Engineering and Supervision							
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan							
9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting							
9.03	Field Inspection for Erosion Control							

ATTACHMENT 1

Submittal Formats for GDOT Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

	# of Pages Allowed
Cover Page	-> 1
A. Contract Consideration Checklist	-> 1
B. Administrative Requirements	
1. Basic Company Information	
a. Company name	
b. Company Headquarter Address	
c. Contact Information	
d. Company Website	
e. Georgia Addresses	
f. Staff	
g. Ownership	
	Excluded
2. Notarized Certification Form (Exhibit II) for Prime only	-> 1
3. Notarized Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit (Exhibit III)	-> 1
4. Signed Cover Page only of any Addenda Issued	-> 1 (each addenda)
C. Experience and Qualifications	
1. Project Manager	
a. Education	
b. Registration	
c. Relevant engineering experience	
d. Relevant project management experience	
e. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.	
	2
2. Key Team Leader Experience	
a. Education	
b. Registration	
c. Relevant experience in applicable resource area	
d. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.	
	1 (each)
3. Prime's Experience	
a. Client name, project location, and dates	
b. Description of overall project and services performed	
c. Duration of project services provided	
d. Experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.	
e. Clients current contact information	
f. Involvement of Key Team Leaders	
	2
4. Area Class Table and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications for Prime and Sub-Consultants	-> Excluded
D. Resources/Workload Capacity	
1. Overall Resources	
a. Organization chart	-> Excluded
b. Primary office to handle project and staff description of office and benefits of office	
c. Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability	1
2. Project Manager Commitment Table	-> Excluded
3. Key Team Leaders Project commitment table	-> Excluded

**Project Consideration Checklist –
RFQ-484-040220
Bridge Bundle #1 - 2020 Engineering Design Services**

This form must be completed and included in the Statement of Qualifications as the last page with applicable boxes checked.
This form **will NOT** be counted in the maximum number of pages.

ALL The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements for all projects and would like to be considered on all projects.

OR

The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements and would like to be considered on the following checked contracts.

Contract #	PI/Project #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHIEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER
9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
	0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
	0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
	0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK

10	0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK
11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
	0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
	0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
	0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
	0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
	0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

ADDENDUM NO. 1

ISSUE DATE: 3/9/2020

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ-484-040220 – Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

I. The purpose of this addendum is to provide the answers to the written questions received during the question and answer period of the RFQ Phase as follows:

	Questions	Answers
1.	After reviewing the RFQ-484-040220, we have a question regarding Key Team Lead for Contract #11. Contract #11 indicates a KTL is required for NEPA Lead; however, the work classes don't support this environmental requirement. Please clarify if the NEPA KTL is required for Contract #11.	See revised Exhibit I-11 below.
2.	Regarding the Project Consideration Checklist, the form has instructions to include it as the last page; however the instructions say to include it in Section A (the first page). Just to clarify, should the checklist be the first page or the last page of our submittals.	See revised Project Consideration Checklist below.
3.	The top of page 55 says to include the "Project Consideration Checklist" as the last page of the submittal. However, page 6 says to include it in Section A – Contract Consideration Checklist. Where should this checklist be placed in our response?	See revised Project Consideration Checklist below.

II. RFQ Exhibit I-11 is DELETED in its entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Exhibit I -11:

EXHIBIT I-11

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Targeted Stakeholder Group (For PI-0016599 & PI- 0016606 (Tier III Projects) only:

- 1) Establish a Technical Stakeholder Group (TSG) - with GDOT assistance.
- 2) Prepare for, Conduct, and Report on TSG Meetings and coordination.
- 3) Prepare all necessary presentation materials.

D. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

E. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.

- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

F. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

G. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

H. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

I. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

J. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

K. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016580, 0016605:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) FFPR – Q4 FY 21

- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016581, 0016582:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

C. PI #s: 0016599, 0016606:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 22 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 24
- 5) Let Contract – Q2 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

III. RFQ Project Consideration Checklist is DELETED in its entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Project Consideration Checklist.

**Project Consideration Checklist –
 RFQ-484-040220
 Bridge Bundle #1 - 2020 Engineering Design Services**

This form must be completed and included in the Statement of Qualification(s) in Section VI. A with applicable boxes checked.
 This form **will NOT** be counted in the maximum number of pages.

ALL The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements for all projects and would like to be considered on all projects.

OR

The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements and would like to be considered on the following checked contracts.

Contract #	PI #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER
9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB

		0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
		0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
		0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK
	10	0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
		0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
		0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK
	11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
		0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
		0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
		0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
		0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
		0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

ADDENDUM NO. 2

ISSUE DATE: 3/20/2020

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ-484-040220 – Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

I. The purpose of this addendum is to provide the answers to the written questions received during the question and answer period of the RFQ Phase as follows:

	Questions	Answers
1.	For RFQ-484-040220 Bridge Bundle #1, traffic studies are included in the scope of work for all contracts, but no traffic prequalification categories are required for the consultant team. Do the traffic prequalification categories need to be added to the contracts?	No, the scope is intended for the data collection portion of the traffic study.
2.	As all the projects are on County roads, City streets or Temporary SR, would the Department consider allowing the 4.01 area classes to be a team requirement instead of a Prime requirement?	No.
3.	Because these are bridges located on local roads, can the 4.01 prime requirement be omitted to allow for that area class to be a team requirement?	No.
4.	On Contract #9, can you confirm 0016596 should be CS 963/Sugar Valley Road @ Nancy Creek, instead of CS 963/Gilliam Spring Road @ Nancy Creek?	See revised Exhibit I-9 below.

5.	We were hoping to get some clarification on the number of requested SOQs. On page 2 of the RFQ the instructions imply that a separate submittal should be prepared for each contract. Contradictory to this statement, on page 55 the Project Consideration Checklist has an option for "All Projects", which seems to indicate that one SOQ can be submitted for all 11 contracts with this box checked. Assuming that we would like to submit on all 11, please clarify if this would require 1 submittal and the "all projects" box checked, or 11 separate submittals with corresponding forms.	Submit 11 separate submittals (1 for each project/contract) and include the same Project Consideration Checklist with box checked for All Projects.
6.	Environmental work is described in the Scope section of each Project Exhibit, but is not included as a deliverable or listed in the prequalified area classes. Are Environmental special studies and NEPA/GEPA documents part of the scope for these projects?	See revised Exhibits I-1 thru I-11 below.
7.	Contract 11 – NEPA Lead is listed as a Key Team Lead for this contract, but there are no listed environmental deliverables or required area classes. What is the environmental scope for this contract?	See revised Exhibit I-11 below.
8.	The instructions on page 9 and 54 of the RFQ are somewhat conflicting. Would you please confirm, are the Project Manager Commitment Table and Key Team Leader Project Commitment Table excluded from the page count, and not included in the page count with the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability?	The Project Manager and Key Team Leader Commitment Tables are excluded from page count and not included in page count with the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability.
9.	Given the current circumstances of COVID-19, are you planning to extend the subject proposals due?	No, the bid due date will not be extended.
10.	Due to the disruptions caused by COVID-19, will GDOT consider extending the deadline for RFQ 484-040220 Bridge Bundle #1 2020?	No.
11.	Will GDOT push back the RFP submittal date due to the time impacts currently being experienced from COVID-19 pandemic?	No.
12.	Will GDOT make available the most current bridge maintenance reports for all bridges identified in this RFP?	No.
13.	Does each person listed in the organization chart need to be prequalified in the area class their name is placed under? e.g Tom Jones(support personnel) -2.06a	RFQ states in all Exhibits under Section 5.B: "The Team (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) MUST be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed."
14.	Does the designated Project Manager for each of the contracts need to be a registered GA Professional Engineer to qualify as a Project Manager?	No.

15.	I am reaching out to you regarding RFQ-484-040220 and would like to kindly request permission for a firm to use the GDOT logo in our submittals. Please let me know if we have permission to do so for this RFQ.	No.
-----	--	-----

II. RFQ Exhibits I-1 thru I-11 are DELETED in their entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Exhibits I-1 thru I-11:

EXHIBIT I-1

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans

(including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) 1st Utility Submittal.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submittal.

3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, as required.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI # 0015658:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI # 0016595:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-2

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).

- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016600 and 0016601:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-3

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities; Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016564, 0016604:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. Pi #: 0016565:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-4

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).

- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016566 and 0016568:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-5

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the

scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

- A. PI #s: 0016569, 0016584, 0016587, 0016590
 - 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
 - 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
 - 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
 - 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
 - 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22
- B. PI #: 0016589
 - 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
 - 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
 - 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
 - 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
 - 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-6

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ NORTH BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Survey:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

- a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans .
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0015632, 0016571, 0016572, and 0016588:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-7

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 4) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

- a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed PI numbers 0016570, 0016573, and 331900-:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-8

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering)
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016575:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016576, 0016579:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-9

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
0016596	Bartow	CS 963/SUGAR VALLEY ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-

of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016577, 0016578, 0016609:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016596, 0016610:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-10

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016607	Walker	CR 219/RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016611:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016607, 0016608:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-11

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE ROAD @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the

scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Targeted Stakeholder Group (For PI-0016599 & PI- 0016606 (Tier III Projects) only:

- 1) Establish a Technical Stakeholder Group (TSG) - with GDOT assistance.
- 2) Prepare for, Conduct, and Report on TSG Meetings and coordination.
- 3) Prepare all necessary presentation materials.

D. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

E. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

F. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

G. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

H. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

I. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

J. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

K. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016580, 0016605:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016581, 0016582:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)

- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

C. PI #s: 0016599, 0016606:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 22 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 24
- 5) Let Contract – Q2 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

III. All references to RFQ Project Consideration Checklist are DELETED in their entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Project Consideration Checklist.

**Project Consideration Checklist –
 RFQ-484-040220
 Bridge Bundle #1 - 2020 Engineering Design Services**

This form must be completed and included in the Statement of Qualification(s) in Section VI. A with applicable boxes checked.
 This form **will NOT** be counted in the maximum number of pages.

ALL The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements for all projects and would like to be considered on all projects.

OR

The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements and would like to be considered on the following checked contracts.

Contract #	PI #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ NORTH BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER

9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
	0016596	Bartow	CS 963/SUGAR VALLEY ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
	0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
	0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK
10	0016607	Walker	CR 219/RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK
11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
	0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
	0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
	0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
	0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
	0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE ROAD @ FLINT RIVER

ADDENDUM NO. 3

ISSUE DATE: 7/14/2020

NOTE: This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ-484-040220 – Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services, Contract 9

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control and become a part of the RFQ and contract documents for Contract 9.

This Addendum, including attachment shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package.

The purpose of this addendum is to revise Contract 9 to remove PI #0016609 from the above subject RFQ.

RFQ, Exhibit I-9 is DELETED in its entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Exhibit I-9:

EXHIBIT I-9

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
0016596	Bartow	CS 963/SUGAR VALLEY ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying

5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.

- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016577, 0016578:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) FFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016596, 0016610:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	April 2, 2020
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm



No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Exhibit II - Certification	Exhibit III - GSICAA	Signed Addendum if Applicable	Compliant with Page # Limitations	Compliant with Required Format	Consideration Checklist
1	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	4/2/2020	12:47 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
2	Atlas Technical Consultants LLC	4/2/2020	10:00 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
3	CHA Consulting, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:12 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
4	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)	4/2/2020	1:51 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	4/1/2020	3:57 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
6	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	4/1/2020	12:48 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
7	Gresham Smith	4/1/2020	5:44 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
8	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	4/2/2020	12:51 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
9	HNTB Corporation	4/2/2020	11:18 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
10	Holt Consulting Company, LLC	4/1/2020	10:30 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
11	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	4/2/2020	8:50 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
12	KCI Technologies, Inc.	4/1/2020	4:29 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
13	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	4/2/2020	11:39 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
14	Long Engineering, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:12 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
15	Michael Baker International, Inc.	4/1/2020	7:27 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
16	Mott MacDonald, LLC.	4/2/2020	1:16 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
17	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	4/2/2020	12:54 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
18	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	4/2/2020	9:11 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
19	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:07 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
20	QK4, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:12 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
21	RS&H, Inc.	4/2/2020	7:07 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
22	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	4/2/2020	11:57 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
23	TranSystems Corporation	4/2/2020	10:46 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
24	T.Y.Lin International, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:45 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
25	Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:30 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X

GDOT GUIDE FOR SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS
RFQ 484-040220
Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services
Contract 9
PI# 0016577, 0016578, 0016596, 0016610

This ENTIRE GUIDE must be reviewed carefully by all Selection Committee Members BEFORE the evaluation of submittals.

Coordination and Communication

Folayan Battle will coordinate the overall submittal evaluation process and serve as Facilitator of any Selection Committee Meetings through the completion of the evaluation. All Committee members will be provided copies of submittals and related information, and will be notified of any proposed (if applicable) meetings, conference calls, and deadlines. **IMPORTANT- All written communication** (e-mails, memos, scoresheets, handwritten notes in SOQs, Proposals, etc.) related to the evaluation can be subject to public record. Therefore, all such communication should be limited to objective and verifiable information.

Evaluation Process

The evaluation and scoring will be handled in two phases. Phase I will be the evaluation of the written Statements of Qualifications received from all respondents. Phase II will be the evaluation of the written responses from the Finalists. The scoring for the Finalists will be carried forward from Phase I and added to the scores from Phase II to determine the highest ranked Finalists and hence with whom negotiations will be initiated. The criteria to be utilized in the evaluation and scoring are as follows:

Phase I

- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – (30% or 300 Points)**
- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – (20% or 200 Points)**

Phase II

- **Technical Approach – (40% or 400 Points)**
- **Past Performance – (10% or 100 Points)**

Phase I
Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

Evaluation of Eligible Submittals

Submittals determined eligible must be read thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will determine the rating for each criteria as follows:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

Directions for use of the Evaluation Preliminary Scoring Forms:

Scoring forms will be distributed to all Selection Committee members along with copies of submittals which were received and validated. Evaluators will have the option of using the hard copy forms or an electronic version of the form. However, to ensure that Open Records Request can be filled in compliance with the law, Evaluators who choose to use the electronic version of the form should only maintain one version of the form and must provide the electronic version of the form to

Procurement. Each evaluator will use their numbered scoring form for scoring all submittals. Evaluators must ensure that the name of the Firm being evaluated is written in the appropriate box to identify the Firm to whom the ratings and comments belong. Using the criteria categories in **Evaluation of Eligible Submittals** above, each submittal will be given a **preliminary score** for each of the criteria. The Reviewer should provide comments for each section which support the rating. Reviewers should not seek to write down everything that the submittal contains. Rather, Reviewers should first determine the rating and then answer why they feel the rating is warranted.

The review, preliminary scoring, and comments MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting and must be sent to the Procurement Facilitator by the deadline given in order to make efficient and effective usage of all Selection Committee Members time.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EVALUATING AVAILABILITY

Through working with the consultant industry, they asked that when considering their availability, we consider more than merely the number of projects they have listed. With this in mind we have allowed space in their SOQ for the respondents to provide a narrative in their ability. This narrative will allow them to discuss how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. It also recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project workloads and allows them to discuss the advantages of their team and the abilities of their team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule. If there is no schedule provided, they can discuss the advantages of the team and abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. You **MUST** consider this narrative along with the workload table when rating the SOQs. You **MUST NOT** merely look at the workload table solely for making the rating decision.

Evaluation Meeting:

All completed Scoring Forms with the preliminary scores and comments for each criteria of each firm, must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Tuesday, May 05, 2020. The completed forms must be turned in at the conclusion of the meeting.

Prior to the meeting, the Facilitator will use the scores and subsequent ranks to determine where the majority of the discussion should be focused. Generally, the majority of the discussion will center on the top submittals. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted.

The final rankings will be used to determine the three to five Finalists who will proceed and have their scores carried forward to Phase II of the evaluation.

It is important to note, that all evaluation scoring, notes, and comments will be subject to open records and there is a very high likelihood they will be reviewed by a wide variety of individuals. For this reason, it is extremely important to adhere to all guidelines and suggestions contained in this Guide for Selection Committee Members.

Phase II

Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

- Finalists will be required to submit a written response which must detail the Technical approach (including design concepts and use of alternative methods).
- **Past Performance - Procurement will be checking references and will provide the results of the reference checks to the Selection Committee for review. The Selection Committee will also be allowed to share and review any other documented information made available for consideration regarding the Firm's performance on any project/contract, along with the reference checks to provide a group rating with comments.**

With the increased lack of responses to the reference checks, Procurement is requesting that prior to attending the Phase II meeting that each of the selection committee members perform the following action to add to the past performance discussion.

- The Selection Committee should be prepared to share personal work experience while working with each shortlisted firm, provide project P.I. number and any performance issues, concerns and/or positive feedback about the Prime Consultant and its team that may hinder or improve their overall rating for past performance.
- Selection committee members that do not have any personal prior work experience with any of the shortlisted firms, must seek additional documented material through discussion with their Office Management, CMIS (Vendor evaluation), inter-office documentation (emails, written correspondence, cure letters, etc.) to help aid in the discussion during the Phase II meeting.

Submittals and Past Performance information must be read/considered thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will make notes in the submittals and must be prepared to discuss their position in the Selection Committee Meeting for Phase II. **The review and notes MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting.**

Evaluation Meeting:

All notes must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Thursday, July 30, 2020. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted. The Committee will assign the following ratings:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

FINAL SCORING AND SELECTION

The scores from Phase I and Phase II will be added together, and a final overall ranking will be determined and provided for Selection Committee approval.

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE PRELIMINARY SCORING AND RANKING OF SUBMITTALS						
Solicitation Title:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services		1	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.		
Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9		2	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.		
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Preliminary Scoring based on Published Criteria			3	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.		
(This Page For GDOT Use)			4	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.		
			5	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC		
			(RANKING)		6	TranSystems Corporation
			Sum of		7	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
			Individual	Group	8	Gresham Smith
SUBMITTING FIRMS			9	EXP U.S. Services, Inc.		
		Rankings	Ranking	10	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	29	12	11	CHA Consulting, Inc.		
Atlas Technical Consultants LLC	57	23	12	American Consulting Professionals, LLC		
CHA Consulting, Inc.	28	11	13	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)		
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)	30	13	14	Long Engineering, Inc.		
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	27	9	15	HNTB Corporation		
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	43	19	16	RS&H, Inc.		
Gresham Smith	25	8	17	Michael Baker International, Inc.		
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	16	4	18	QK4, Inc.		
HNTB Corporation	32	15	19	Freese and Nichols, Inc.		
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	43	20	20	Holt Consulting Company, LLC		
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	22	5	21	Mott MacDonald, LLC.		
KCI Technologies, Inc.	61	24	22	T.Y.Lin International, Inc.		
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	13	3	23	Atlas Technical Consultants LLC		
Long Engineering, Inc.	32	14	24	KCI Technologies, Inc.		
Michael Baker International, Inc.	39	17	25	Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.		
Mott MacDonald, LLC.	48	21				
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	24	7				
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	28	10				
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	10	2				
QK4, Inc.	42	18				
RS&H, Inc.	34	16				
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	9	1				
TranSystems Corporation	23	6				
T.Y.Lin International, Inc.	55	22				
Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.	67	25				

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity

Evaluator 1

<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	Phase One Evaluator 1 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
Atlas Technical Consultants LLC	Poor	Marginal	50	21
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyors	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	Marginal	Excellent	275	4
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
Gresham Smith	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
HNTB Corporation	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Marginal	Poor	75	19
KCI Technologies, Inc.	Poor	Poor	0	25
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Good	Poor	225	7
Long Engineering, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	6
Michael Baker International, Inc.	Poor	Marginal	50	21
Mott MacDonald, LLC.	Good	Marginal	275	4
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Poor	225	7
QK4, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	3
RS&H, Inc.	Poor	Marginal	50	21
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	7
TranSystems Corporation	Marginal	Marginal	125	10
T.Y.Lin International, Inc.	Marginal	Poor	75	19
Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.	Poor	Marginal	50	21
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	500	%

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-040220, Contract 9	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #: 1			
Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.			
Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points			
Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points			
Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points			
Good = More then meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points			
Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points			
Firm Name:	American Consulting Professionals, LLC		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>The PI# were not listed for the projects in the PM's design experience or PM experience except 1. PM , Roadway KTL, Bridge KTL, and Prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. PM has not taken the PDP since 20017. The PM and KTLs have worked together before. Only the PM demonstrated experience with railroad coordination.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>The org chart shows two bridge teams and two roadway teams for 5 bridge projects. They do not explain how the two teams will handle the 5 projects. A QC/QA process of reviewing the work prior to submittal to GDOT. Of the projects the PM listed, one had the concept report submitted on time and the other is 8 months behind schedule. One project is a TIA project waiting to be let and the other two are scheduled to being in September 2020. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.</i>			
Firm Name:	Atlas Technical Consultants LLC		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Poor
<i>Of the 5 projects listed for PM experience, she was PM on only 1 of them. Stated she was pm and lead designer for a sound barrier project, but did not list the pi number nor is it listed in the PM experience section. PM did not state when she last took PDP training. The Prime and KTLs demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The bridge KTL and prime demonstrated experience with railroad coordination.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>The org chart shows many roadway and bridge designers, but doesn't discuss how they will manage designing 5 bridges. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.</i>			
Firm Name:	CHA Consulting, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>The PM, Bridge KTL, and Prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The roadway KTL did not. On one bridge bundle the PM and KTLs worked together but did not specify in which roles. PM did not state when he last took the PDP training. PM demonstrated experience with railroad coordination.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>The org chart showed three bridge and roadway teams and two hydraulic teams, but didn't state how that would work for 5 bridges. CHA illustrated their QC/QA process. Roadway KTL did not list the PI#(s) for the I-285 express lanes project(s). The PM and bridge KTL appear to have sufficient availability. Org chart listed members for railroad coordination.</i>			
Firm Name:	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>The PM demonstrated design experience with bridges, but not PM experience with bridges. Most of the PI#s were listed in PM experience. Did not state when the last time she took PDP training. The Prime and KTLs demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM has worked with both KTLs in the past, just not all 3 together, and when they did work together, it was not in the proposed roles. PM demonstrated experience with railroad coordination, but not KTLs and prime.</i>			

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The org chart shows two bridge teams and two roadway teams for 5 bridge projects. They do not explain how the two teams will handle the 5 projects. The PI#s were not listed in the commitments table for the PM or roadway KTL. The bridge KTL does not appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. PM did not state when he last took PDP training. The PM and roadway KTL have worked together before. Only the prime demonstrated experience with railroad coordination.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Excellent
--	---	------------------

The org chart showed 5 roadway and bridge design teams. They have an extensive QC/QA team. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability. They have Eugene Hopkins to help with drainage.

Firm Name: Freese and Nichols, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. PM did not state when he last took PDP training. The PM and KTLs have not worked together before. The KTLs listed in the Prime's experience were not the ones in this SOQ. Only the prime demonstrated experience with railroad coordination.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The org chart lacks depth and show two road and bridge teams, but does explain how 2 teams will handle 5 bridges. The PM and KTLs appear to have adequate availability.

Firm Name: Gresham Smith


A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water and railroad coordination. PM did not state when he last took PDP training and only listed PI # for one project. The KTLs have not worked together before and the KTLs listed in the Prime Experience weren't the KTLs in this SOQ.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The org chart had depth, but it showed 2 design teams and did not show how they will handle 5 bridges. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM didn't list when/if he has taken PDP training. PM didn't demonstrate he knows PDP. Most projects were for local governments and one was a design build. PI#s were not listed for local government work, so confirmation of PDP experience could not be verified. PM and KTLs have worked together before, but not in the roles in this SOQ.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

The org chart shows two design teams, but doesn't show how they will manage 5 bridges. They did acknowledge there was a bridge near a railroad that will require railroad coordination. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: HNTB Corporation

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM didn't list when/if he has taken PDP training. Some KTLs have worked together before, but did not in the roles in prime experience.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

The PM did not list all the projects he is a PM on. The commitments table did not list 0008018 and 0008019. The KTLs appear to have sufficient availability. They have someone to do railroad coordination.

Firm Name: Holt Consulting Company, LLC
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM didn't list when/if he has taken PDP training. Some KTLs have worked together before, but did not in the roles in prime experience.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

The org chart shows two design teams, but doesn't show how they will manage 5 bridges. They did acknowledge there was a bridge near a railroad that will require railroad coordination. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water and they have worked together before on bridge bundles from 2016 and 2017. Did not demonstrate experience with railroad coordination.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Poor
--	-----------------	-------------

The org chart shows 4 design teams but didn't state how they will meet the schedules for the 5 bridges. The commitments table is confusing. It appears that the KTLs are not leads on all the bridges that are in the bundle or they did not list all their projects.

Firm Name: KCI Technologies, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Poor
--	-----------------	-------------

The PM stated he was the PM on 0015553, but Eric Fry is the PM. Most of the projects listed in the project management experience is design experience. PM didn't list when he last took PDP training. The Roadway KTL did not demonstrate experience with bridges over water. Bridge KTL demonstrated experience with bridges over water and railroad coordination. Prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water, but not railroad coordination. The PM and KTLs have not worked together before.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Poor
--	---	------

The org chart showed 2 bridge design and hydraulic teams. They did not discuss how two teams will deliver 5 bridge projects. All the projects listed under PM are being managed by Eric Fry. The KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	------

PM, KTLs and Prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water and railroad coordination. PM didn't state when he last took PDP training. The KTLs and PM have worked together before, but in what roles, it was not shown.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Poor
--	---	------

The org chart shows 3 design teams, but doesn't discuss how they will deliver 5 projects. The PM and KTLs do not appear to have sufficient availability. The PM has 17 active projects in preconstruction.

Firm Name: Long Engineering, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	--	----------

The PM, KTLs, and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water, but not railroad coordination. The PM and KTLs have worked together before in the roles in the SOQ.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

The org chart shows 3 teams, but doesn't discuss how they will deliver 5 bridges. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: Michael Baker International, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Poor
--	---	------

The PM listed 3 projects as he was the PM, but he was not the PM on any of them. 0010211 - Brad Gowen PM, 122012- Ben Clopper PM, and 122017- Ben Clopper PM. The KTLs and Prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM and KTLs have not worked together in the same roles as the SOQ, but a couple have worked together before. Only the bridge KTL demonstrated experience with railroad coordination. PM had design experience with bridges over water.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	----------

The org chart lacks depth and show two road and bridge teams, but does explain how 2 teams will handle 5 bridges. The PM and KTLs appear to have adequate availability.

Firm Name: Mott MacDonald, LLC.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	------

The PM, KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM and Prime have experience with railroad coordination. The PM and KTLs have worked together before, but in what roles is unclear. PM didn't state when he last took PDP training.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

The org chart showed the 2 teams that will design the 5 bridges. The PM is involved with the design for 3 of them. The PM has 7 projects, of which 5 are a 2019 bridge bundle that is currently scoping the first TOs. The KTLs are all working on the same bridge bundle that is scoping. Their availability will decrease significantly soon.

Firm Name: Neel-Schaffer, Inc.		
---------------------------------------	--	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

PM, KTLs and Prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The KTLs and Prime has railroad coordination experience. PM didn't state when he last took PDP training. The KTLs and PM have not worked together before.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

The org chart shows 9 roadway designer and 10 bridge designers to design 5 bridges. The PM and KTLs appear to have good availability.

Firm Name: NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.		
---	--	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

The PM, Roadway KTL and Prime demonstrated bridges over water and railroad coordination. The Bridge KTL demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM and roadway KTL have worked together before. PM didn't state when he last took PDP training.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

The org chart shows two design teams and showed how they will manage 5 bridges. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.		
--	--	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

The PM, Bridge KTL and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water and railroad coordination. The roadway KTL only had experience with bridges over water. The PM and KTLs have worked together before.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Poor
--	---	-------------

The org chart shows 4 design teams but didn't state how they will meet the schedules for the 5 bridges. The PM has 16 active projects. The KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: QK4, Inc.		
-----------------------------	--	--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	-----------------

The PM and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water and railroad coordination. The KTLs only showed bridges over water. Only the PM and roadway KTL have worked together before. The PM didn't state when they last took PDP training.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
--	-----------------	------

The org chart showed two design teams and specified which bridges they would design. In the primary office write up, it discusses Jon Miles being the bridge lead, but Carlos Azcorra-Valdez is the bridge KTL in this SOQ. They discussed how they were going to deliver the projects on schedule. They discussed their QC/QA process. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: RS&H, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Poor
--	-----------------	------

Of the one GDOT project that listed the PI#, (0007310) Bryan was not the PM. Mitchell Greenway was. The PM listed more GDOT projects as PM experience, but did not give the PI #s and the descriptions were so vague, the reviewer could not determine the PI#s to verify Bryan was the PM. PM did not state when they last took PDP training. The KTLs and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water, but not railroad coordination. The firm listed KTLs in the prime's experience that were not on this SOQ. The KTLs have worked together before.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	----------

The org chart showed two design teams, but did not state how two teams would deliver 5 bridges. It also showed environmental resources, but environmental is being done by GDOT. The narrative on additional resources listed additional projects of the prime that discussed railroad coordination, but the most relevant projects are to be listed in the prime's experience, not here. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	----------

The PM, KTLs and Prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water, but not railroad coordination. The PM has worked with both KTLs before, but they have not all worked together on one team. The PM didn't state when he last took PDP training.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
--	-----------------	------

The org chart showed the design teams for each project. The narrative described the anticipated challenges for each project and discussed how their organization of the teams would help deliver the projects. The PM didn't list 0007952 in his commitments table. The KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: TranSystems Corporation

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	----------

The PM, KTLs, and prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water, but not railroad coordination. The KTLs have worked together before, but the PM has not worked with the KTLs. PM didn't state when the last time he took PDP training.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	----------

The org charts shows the design team for each project. When discussing how to deliver the projects, they didn't acknowledge that one will need railroad coordination, which can lead to schedule delays. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Firm Name: T.Y.Lin International, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	----------

The PM, Roadway KTL, and the prime demonstrated experience with bridges over water and railroad coordination. The Bridge KTL demonstrated experience with bridges over water. The PM didn't state when he last took PDP training. The PM and KTLs have worked together before.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Poor
--	---	------

The org chart shows 3 design teams, but doesn't discuss how they will deliver 5 projects. There is no depth to the org chart. The narrative describes the challenges for each of the projects. The commitments table does not list the PI# for the PM as required.

Firm Name:	Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.
-------------------	---

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Poor
--	---	------

The PM, Bridge KTL and Prime demonstrate experience with bridges over water and railroad coordination. The Roadway KTL's relevant experience was a list of PI#s and short descriptions. He did not indicate his role on each and he didn't demonstrate their relevance to these projects. The PM didn't state when he last took PDP training.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	----------

The org chart shows 3 design teams, but doesn't discuss how they will deliver the 5 bridge projects with 3 teams. The PM and KTLs appear to have sufficient availability.

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity

Evaluator 2

<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	Phase One Evaluator 2 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Good	Marginal	275	12
Atlas Technical Consultants LLC	Marginal	Adequate	175	22
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	9
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	9
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Adequate	Marginal	200	19
Gresham Smith	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	4
HNTB Corporation	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Adequate	Marginal	200	19
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Good	Good	375	1
KCI Technologies, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	4
Long Engineering, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
Michael Baker International, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	4
Mott MacDonald, LLC.	Adequate	Marginal	200	19
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	9
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	13
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
QK4, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	25
RS&H, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	4
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
TranSystems Corporation	Good	Adequate	325	4
T.Y.Lin International, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	22
Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	22
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	500	%

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-040220, Contract 9	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #: 2			
Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.			
Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points			
Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points			
Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points			
Good = More then meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points			
Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points			
Firm Name:	American Consulting Professionals, LLC		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>PM - 26 Years exp., has been involved with 17 BR projects either as roadway lead or PM. Roadway - 24 years exp., has been roadway lead on several county BR projects. Bridge - has been lead bridge engineer and PM on CR BR projects. Prime - listed relevant project experince where KTL's worked together.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>Org chart is min. staffed for a bundle of 5 BR projects. All KTL's appear to have avaiilabilty.</i>			
Firm Name:	Atlas Technical Consultants LLC		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>PM - 15 years exp., although PM list some design experience she lacks sufficient PM exp. Roadway - 20 years exp., does not list relevant project exp. And unsure of her role in the projects listed (only gave project descriptions). Bridge - 34 years exp., listed like relevant project exp. Prime - lists some larger scale projects as well as DB BR project exp.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Org chart has sufficient depth of personnel Roadway and Bridge KTL have numerous project commitments.</i>			
Firm Name:	CHA Consulting, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM - 25 years exp., has 2016 BR bundle exp, as well as stand alone BR exp as PM and Roadway Lead. Bridge - 10+ years exp., has listed relevant project exp acting as lead bridge engineer. Roadway - 35 years exp, listed mostly widening exp. Would of liked to see BR exp. as well. Prime - has listed relevant BR exp. although not many KTL were involved.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Org chart shows a 3 team approach for both bridge and roadway. And has additional resources listed. KTLs have plenty of capacity.</i>			
Firm Name:	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM - 25 years exp., has been roadway lead on BR projects, has a variety of PM exp. with other varying scopes of projects. Bridge - has extensive exp. With bridge bundles. Roadway - 20 years exp., has listed some BR work. Prime - list mostly widening project exp.</i>			

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20% Assigned Rating  Adequate

Org Chart is adequately staffed. PM has a lot of project commitments eventhough hours show availability.

Firm Name: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30% Assigned Rating  Adequate

PM - 25 years of exp., wide variety of project work exp. Listed. Light on true BR projects. Roadway - 30 + years, listed only PM exp. And no engineering. Bridge - 20 years exp., has been structural lead on several similar projects. Prime - lists several relative projects.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20% Assigned Rating  Good

Org Chart has 5 team approach which is preferred with 5 different bridge sites. All KTL have capacity.

Firm Name: Freese and Nichols, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30% Assigned Rating  Adequate

PM - 38 years of exp. New to this firm, most exp is with another firm. Does have relevant project exp. Roadway - 30 years exp., has relevant project exp. On BR projects acting as lead designer. Bridge - 20 years exp., is lead structural design on relevant projects. Prime - not many projects listed where KTLs were listed . Mostly out of state work.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20% Assigned Rating  Marginal

Org chart is min. staffed for a bundle of 5 BR projects. All KTL's appear to have avaiilabilty.

Firm Name: Gresham Smith

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30% Assigned Rating  Adequate

PM - 28 years of exp., has some BR standalone exp. Listed. Most projects are not relevant exp. Roadway - 12 years exp., has been lead on several BR projects. not a lot of overall exp. Bridge - 27 years exp., has a few rural BR projects listed. Prime - has good overall BR exp. listed.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20% Assigned Rating  Adequate

Org Chart lists 2 teams for Roadway and Bridge. Staff numbers are sufficient. Roadway and Bridge KTL has several projects in Concept and Preliminary design.

Firm Name: Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30% Assigned Rating  Good

PM - 18 years exp., has numerous county BR projects listed as well as 2016 Bridge Bundle. Roadway - 15 years exp., has been lead roadway on several BR projects. Bridge - 15 years exp., has BR exp on widening projects as well as stand alone BR projects. PRime - has extensive BR exp.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

Org chart has two small teams for roadway and bridge...prob just the min. to complete 5 projects. Bridge KTL has a lot of project commitments.

Firm Name: HNTB Corporation

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

PM - 24 years of exp., has varying eng. Exp. However, has been PM on a couple of BR projects. Roadway - 26 years exp., mainly list projects where he acted as PM. Would like to see Roadway Lead exp., Bridge - 20 years exp., lists 2 SR projects as similar exp. Prime - although they list similar projects, they were all completed over 5 years ago. not too recent.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

Org chart is staffed appropriately. KTL have capacity.

Firm Name: Holt Consulting Company, LLC
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	--	----------

PM - 21 years exp., shows excellent exp. With similar projects as EOR and PM. Roadway - 23 years exp., has exp. As lead engineer on rural SR BR projects. Bridge - 30 years exp., has exp. With BR in SC. Prime - has BR exp; however, most of the projects are still on going. (since 2017).

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	----------

Org chart is min. staffed for a bundle of 5 BR projects. All KTL's appear to have avaiilabilty.

Firm Name: Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	------

PM - 15 years exp., has extensive BR exp. as PM and roadway lead on conventional and DB. Roadway - 14 years exp., has exp. on conventional and DB BR projects acting as lead roadway design engineer. Bridge - 15 years of exp., list DB as well as traditional BR where he acted as lead. Prime - list relevant projects where KTL all participated.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	------

Org Chart shows a team approach. All KTL have capacity.

Firm Name: KCI Technologies, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

PM - 13 years exp., although he lists some relevant projects, most are still on going. Not a lot of PM exp. Roadway - 20 years exp., lists only widening projects, would like to see BR exp., Bridge - 34 years exp., has relevant project exp. Prime - does list similar projects; however, they are dated.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

Org chart is min. staffed for a bundle of 5 BR projects. All KTL's appear to have availability with exception of Bridge as he has a lot of project commitments.

Firm Name: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	------

PM - 24 years of exp., has been PM on 14 BR projects since 2016 so has ext. exp. On relevant projects. Roadway - 18 years exp., has bridge bundle and stand alone BR exp. Bridge - 23 years exp., has been lead on 2 bridge bundles and stand alone. Prime - list similar projects where KTL's involvement.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

Org chart is very well staffed with a team approach; however, all KTL have a ton of projects currently.

Firm Name: Long Engineering, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	--	----------

PM - 32 years of exp., has some bridge bundle project exp. County BR exp. and out of state exp. Roadway - 28 years of exp. as county and bridge bundle exp. Bridge - 37 years exp., has completed statewide TO contract for BR and other stand alone BR projects. Prime - only lists 1 state and 1 county BR project where acting as prime.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

Org chart is min. staffed to support 5 BR projects. All KTL appear to have capacity.

Firm Name: Michael Baker International, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	------

PM - 15 years of exp., has several SR and interstate BR project exp. as lead/roadway and PM. Roadway - 14 years of exp., has been roadway lead on LIBP/BR projects. Bridge - 28 years of exp., has been senior structures engineer on several SR BR projects. Prime - does list LIBP/SR BR exp. where at least one KTL has worked on.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

Org chart is min. staffed to support 5 BR projects. All KTL appear to have capacity.

Firm Name: Mott MacDonald, LLC.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

PM - years of exp., has bridge bundle exp, as well has conv. BR project exp as PM and he only listed PM exp which is a plus. Roadway - 14 years of exp., has been roadway lead on bridge bundle(6 bridges), 2 additional BR projects. Bridge - 30 years of exp., although he lists only widening projects (with bridges)in Georgia would like to see stand alone BR exp. Prime - Bridge bundle all KTL were involved.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

I like the way they split the org chart up per project; however, the same folks are on multiple projects. Not sure this is a good model to use. KTL have capacity.

Firm Name: Neel-Schaffer, Inc.


A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	-----------------

PM - 30 years exp., although extremely qualified, unsure of his recent, relevant PM exp. His exp. listed is that of a QC/QA individual. Roadway - 30 years of exp., Exp listed is that of a PM and not a roadway lead. Bridge - 15 years of exp., has DB bridge exp and larger scale bridge design exp. with 285/400 as bridge lead. Prime - DB and bridge batch exp; however, only bridge lead was involved.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

Org chart shows plenty of staff to deliver these 5 projects. All KTL have availability.

Firm Name: NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	--	-----------------

PM - 30 years exp., has been PM on 8 bridge bundle projects, as well as widening projects. Roadway - 18 years of exp., has some out of state BR exp listed. Bridge - 26 years of exp., has listed similar type projects where he was lead bridge design. Prime - has several 2016 brige bundles still ongoing.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	-----------------

Org chart shows two teams for bridge and roadway. It appears staffed appropriately. All KTL have capacity; however, bridge has 8 projects currently.

Firm Name: Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
--

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

PM - 15 years exp., Bridge bundle exp. on 4 projects acting as PM, has been deputy PM one as well. Roadway - 21 years exp. has SR and CR BR exp as roadway lead. Bridge - 33 years exp., has been bridge lead on several BR projects of similar scale and scope. Prime - has a listed several projects of similar scale and scope.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
--	---	-------------

Org Chart is well staffed with team approach. KTLS appear to have capacity.

Firm Name: QK4, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	-----------------

PM - 30 years exp., exp listed is dated and not recent or relevant with exp. of 2016 bridge bundle projects. Roadway - 15 years exp., currently lead on 2016 bridge bundle other exp is not recent or relevant. Bridge - 25 (19 years of exp. in bridge work), was lead bridge on FY17 bundle for 3 bridges as well as some different scope projects. Prime - all exp. is not recent and most is not relevant.,

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

no where near the staff numbers needed to deliver 5 projects simultansouly. PM has multiple project commiments.

Firm Name: RS&H, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
--	-----------------	-------------

PM -24 years of exp., has been engineer on BR projects for county and state, not much PM exp with similar projects. Roadway - 17 years exp., has lead engineer on bridge bundle project and stand alone BR. Bridge - 35 years exp., has been lead engineer on several SR BR projects listed and has great deal of exp. Prime - Bridge Bundle and stand alone with KTL involment.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
--	-----------------	-----------------

Org Chart has a team approach, staffed at numbers are appropriate. KTL's have capacity.

Firm Name: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
--	-----------------	-------------

PM - 21 years exp., has been PM and Lead roadway on several SR BR projects and lists several additional projects where he acted as PM. Roadway - 21 years exp., has been PM and Lead Roadway on several SR BR projects. Bridge - 30 years exp., has been bridge lead on several SR BR and CR BR's. Prime - provided a list of project where KTL had some involment...not all of them thou.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
--	-----------------	-------------

Org Chart has each project seperated with teams delivering the 5 projects. The staffing numbers is sufficient. KTL have capacity.

Firm Name: TranSystems Corporation

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
--	-----------------	-------------

PM - 25 years of exp, has both PM and lead roadway exp. on all projects. Roadway - 26 years of exp., plenty of SR BR exp. listed ans lead roadway design. Bridge - 26 years exp., has vast exp. in d6 BR projects. Prime - lists several relevant projects with KTL involment.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
--	-----------------	-----------------

Org Chart has a team approach, staffed at numbers are min due to one team is doing 2 projects. KTL's have capacity, except for Bridge which has 8 project commitments.

Firm Name: T.Y.Lin International, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
--	-----------------	-----------------

PM - 38 years of exp., doesn't list relevant, recent project exp. The first project listed is just getting started. Roadway - 20 years exp., only lists one relevant project. Bridge - 18 years exp., list 1 similar project with 3 bridges., Prime - really only lists the same bridge bundle that KTL's list as relevant project exp.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------

Org chart is min. staffed to deliver 5 projects simutanously. KTL's have capacity.

Firm Name:	Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.
-------------------	---

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
--	---	----------

PM - 33 years of exp., knowledgable PM that gained most all exp. listed as Precon Engineer and a lot of projects are not recent. Roadway - 17 years exp., does not list relevant projects, only widenings and intersections. Bridge - 22 years exp., doesn't really list relevant project exp. looking for BR over water. Prime - lists some out of state BR over water.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
--	---	----------



Org chart has a team approach and is staffed at appropriate levels. KTL have capacity.

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity

Evaluator 3

<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	Phase One Evaluator 3 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	7
Atlas Technical Consultants LLC	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	9
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyors	Adequate	Adequate	250	7
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
Gresham Smith	Adequate	Good	300	2
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	2
HNTB Corporation	Marginal	Good	225	9
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	300	2
KCI Technologies, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	23
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	2
Long Engineering, Inc.	Adequate	Marginal	200	13
Michael Baker International, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
Mott MacDonald, LLC.	Poor	Marginal	50	25
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	2
QK4, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
RS&H, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	9
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
TranSystems Corporation	Marginal	Good	225	9
T.Y.Lin International, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	14
Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.	Poor	Adequate	100	24
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	500	%

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-040220 Contract 9	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #: 3			
Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.			
Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points			
Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points			
Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points			
Good = More then meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points			
Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points			
Firm Name: American Consulting Professionals, LLC			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<i>PM demonstrates many relevant bridge replacement projects in a similar role, including a recent project that utilized ABC methods and projects that included staging and off-site detours. Roadway KTL shows satisfactory experience with local bridge replacement projects but minimal direct application of the PDP. Bridge KTL and Prime provide an outstanding relevant project in PI 0011690 that utilized ABC methods but other projects provided lack details about traffic control and limited PDP experience. PM and Bridge KTL have worked in similar roles on prior projects together as has the Bridge and Roadway KTL.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<i>Org Chart shows two design teams for Roadway and Bridge with multiple firms able to support hydraulics and Geotechnical activities. QC team is multi-disiplined (Roadway, Bridge, BR Hydraulics). Narrative section discusses previous working relationship between Prime and primary design sub. Discusses internal constructability review but doesn't name person responsible for this review. Narrative section acknowledges coordination with GDOT environmental for projects. PM and KTLs show acceptable availability for the subject projects; however, some concern about PM's available hours when projects currently under negotiation begin design phase.</i>			
Firm Name: Atlas Technical Consultants LLC			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating 	Marginal
<i>PM provides limited experience with bridge replacement projects over streams and also doesn't demonstrate any experience of handling multiple projects on concurrent schedules. Roadway KTL shows no experience with bridge replacement projects that don't involve widening of an existing road. Bridge KTL shows ample experience with similar replacement projects. Prime demonstrates decent relevant work experience to the subject projects with FY 16 DB project and PI 0008346</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<i>Org chart shows deep roster for design services (roadway/bridge). Narrative speaks to multi-team approach but teams are not defined in Org chart. Discusses ABC option but no details on type of experience. Provides multiple firms for Geotechnical services. Provides named Utility Coordiantion personnel and SUE Engineer. INcludes named resource for Constructability reviews. Depth of team allows for independent QC of work. Use of Inter-Disciplinary Review to enhance QC. PM and KTLs appear to have workload capacity for projects but will be almost full.</i>			
Firm Name: CHA Consulting, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating 	Marginal
<i>PM provides a wide variety of bridge replacement projects, including over waterways, but didn't demonstrate completed work handling multiple bridge projects simultaneously. Bridge KTL shows suitable experience with bridge replacements over waterways, including seismic design and staging. Roadway KTL provides no experience with bridge replacement projects over water. Prime shows acceptable experience with hydraulic bridge replacements, including ABC experience (PI 001691).</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating 	Good
<i>Org chart shows multi-team approach with design sections (3 teams) and survey/geotechnical (2 teams each). Provides multi-disciplined QC/QA and VE/Constructability SMEs (although one person is present in both sections). Org chart shows RR coordination resources. Narative discusses outstanding experience and resources for ABC methods if needed. PM and KTL have sufficient capacity to work on projects in bundle.</i>			

Firm Name: CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM shows experience with bridge replacement projects as a designer but not as a PM. Bridge KTL shows vast experience with bridge replacement projects, including multiple contracts at one time, but several experiences are still under plan development. Roadway KTL has some experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects though most applicable experience is still under plan development. Prime provides sufficient experience with hydraulic bridge replacements though most projects are widenings.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Org chart shows two design teams for Roadway and Bridge with multiple firms able to support bridge hydraulics, geotechnical and survey activities. Org chart shows multi-disciplined QC/QA team. Narrative generically discusses ABC capabilities. PM and KTLs show sufficient capacity for project but concern with Bridge KTL already devoted to 3 other batch jobs (14 total projects) that are just starting final design or still in preliminary design.</i>			
Firm Name: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>PM shows experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects but limited experience implementing GDOT PDP for such projects. All Roadway KTL experience is as PM but do include bridge replacements over waterways. Bridge KTL has ample experience with stream crossing bridge replacements but should include Maintenance of Traffic. Prime demonstrates limited experience applying GDOT PDP processes for bridge replacement projects.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Org chart shows 5 design teams for 5 projects in batch. Org chart provides very deep QC/QA personnel, including Roadway, Bridge, Constructability, Drainage and Utility. Appears to be only one support company for Geotechnical Studies. Narrative discusses advancing schedule to provide addressed FFPR comments prior to "lock-down" date to avoid schedule delays or conditional certifications. PM and KTLs appear to have ample time to devote to projects.</i>			
Firm Name: Freese and Nichols, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>PM demonstrates abundant experience with bridge replacement projects and managing multiple projects at one time; however, most of this experience is through the Design-Build delivery and not tradition GDOT project management. Roadway KTL shows some experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects as part of the TIA program. Bridge KTL provides good bridge replacement experiences but all are still in the design phase. Prime provides no completed work relevant to the subject projects.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Org chart shows two design teams but include KTL as part of teams. Design teams will review each other's work for QC. Current team set-up only has one 6.02 (Bridge Foundation Studies) prequalified firm on the roster. Named QC personnel that will utilize BlueBeam for comment tracking and assign additional staff of QC of plans. Anticipates providing 25% DBE participation for project. PM and KTL appear to have plenty of capacity to devote to subject projects.</i>			
Firm Name: Gresham Smith			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM provides one experience with hydraulic bridge replacements, including staged construction, but most bridge related experience is for grade separated structures or projects still in design phase. Roadway KTL demonstrates experience with bridge replacement projects over streams with various methods of maintaining traffic. Bridge KTL shows some experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects but should highlight maintenance of traffic methods for different situations. Prime demonstrates experience with bridge replacement projects over waterways, including ABC methods. PM and Roadway KTL have worked together on previous projects.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Org Chart shows two-team approach for most major areas (Roadway, Bridge, Hydraulics, Survey, Geotechnical). Org Chart shows multi-disciplined approach for QC/QA (Roadway, Bridge). Narrative discusses variety of ABC experience that could be applied, as necessary, at each bridge site. Project team shows sufficient time to devote to batch projects in contract.</i>			

Firm Name: Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>PM shows vast local experience with similar scoped projects but limited experience with implementing PDP on bridge replacement projects as the Project Manager. Roadway, Bridge KTL and Prime demonstrate ample experience with bridge replacement projects over waterways. Roadway and Bridge KTL have worked together in similar capacities on previous projects.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Org Chart shows two team approach for Roadway and Bridge design areas. Org chart shows deep resources for Geotechnical and Survey. Concern that one of the Hydraulic Studies resources is also listed as Quality Management. Multi-person QC presented in Org Chart. Narrative discuss possible need for 2-D modeling at Little Tallapoosa River crossing. Narrative discusses RR coordination at PI 0016596 and provides specific resource on team for that coordination. Narrative also provides in-depth discussion on maintenance of traffic options and ABC potential at a site. PM and KTL appear to have sufficient time to devote to projects.</p>			

Firm Name: HNTB Corporation			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<p>PM demonstrates experience with several hydraulic bridge replacement projects. Roadway KTL provides experience more suited for PM responsibilities - no roadway design experience presented. Experience provided by Bridge KTL is still in design phase. Prime shows sufficient experience with bridge replacement projects over waterways.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Org chart shows sufficient depth in design teams for subject projects with multiple companies available for Survey and Geotechnical services. Org chart shows deep list of separate SME for a multitude of areas including Roadway, Bridge, Environmental, Traffic, Constructability and Cost Estimating with the Narrative specifying that these "Senior Technical Reviewers" to bring accountability to subconsultant work. Org chart also shows named RR Liaison resource. Narrative discusses use of Quality Management System to ensure QC is included in schedule times. Narrative provides in-depth discussion of traffic issues at each bridge site.</p>			

Firm Name: Holt Consulting Company, LLC			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<p>PM shows experience with bridge replacement projects as a designer but only experience with projects still under design as a PM. Roadway KTL shows sufficient experience with hydraulic bridge replacements, including staged construction. Bridge KTL shows some experience with bridge replacements of waterways but incorrectly references Load Resistance and Factored Rating (LRFR) for design specifications. All of Prime's experience represents projects that are still under design. PM and Roadway KTL have worked together on previous projects.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>Org Chart shows two team approach for Roadway and Bridge design. Org Chart shows multi-discipline approach (Roadway, Bridge) for QC/QA. Narrative states Prime's experience with Limited Scope Concept Reports typically used for similar structures to subject projects. PM and KTLs show sufficient time to take on projects but misrepresent available time by providing zero hours for projects awaiting NTP.</p>			

Firm Name: Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>PM shows acceptable experience with hydraulic bridge replacements as PM and Deputy PM on a variety of projects. Roadway KTL shows suitable experience with bridge replacement projects over streams. Bridge KTL displays ample of experience with similar scoped projects. Prime provides plenty of experience with hydraulic bridge replacements but completed works were delivered via Design-Build process. PM and KTLs have worked together on previous projects.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Org chart shows multi-team approach for design (4 teams), survey and geotechnical services (3 each). Org chart shows multi-discipline approach (Bridge, Roadway) for QC/QA. Narrative acknowledges environmental services to be provided by GDOT. PM and KTLs show sufficient time for adding subject projects to work load.</p>			









Firm Name: KCI Technologies, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>PM shows experience with bridge replacement projects as a design engineer but no completed experience as a PM. Roadway KTL provides a relevant experience with bridge replacement project over waterway. Bridge KTL shows ample experience with similar replacement projects. Prime provided several examples of projects relative to the subject projects but should include different types of Maintenance of Traffic options.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>Org chart shows two team approach for bridge design and hydraulics but not for roadway. Org chart shows 8 survey crews available for work at the 5 sites. Org chart shows multiple firms available for Geotechnical Studies. Concern that one hydraulics group has only one person listed that isn't 4.04 prequalified. Narrative discusses named resource for Section 20 plan development. Experience with 3D modeling for project development. Project team appears to have sufficient time to add project workload.</i>			
Firm Name: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM provides significant experience to the subject projects, including various methods of traffic maintenance. Roadway KTL relevant experience provided is for projects still in the design phase. Bridge KTL and Prime demonstrates ample experience with similar projects. PM and KTLs have worked together on multiple past projects.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<i>Org chart shows three team approach for design areas (bridge, roadway) and survey. Org chart shows two firms for Geotechnical Services. Org chart identifies RR Coordination but lists the two KTLs in the section. Narrative discusses Environmental liaison for coordination with GDOT OES. Narrative provides some generic discussion on MOT approaches. Named QC resource has background in roadway and structural design. PM and KTLs show capacity to take on subject project but concern about low hours represented for preliminary phase on some projects and what the dedicated time will be during final design phase.</i>			
Firm Name: Long Engineering, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>PM provides sufficient relevant experience for subject projects. Roadway and Bridge KTL provides satisfactory experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects. Prime displays acceptable experience for subject projects but limited completed projects following full PDP.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>Org chart provides 3 teams for bridge and roadway design but relies on KTL as part of teams. Multiple firms are provided for Geotechnical services as well as 15 listed field crews for survey. Senior designers have constructability experience to enhance plan development. Named ABC resource. Narrative lists a firm for QC/QA but firm is not listed among Org chart or Area Class section. PM and KTL have sufficient workload capacity for subject projects.</i>			
Firm Name: Michael Baker International, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<i>PM demonstrates sufficient experience for hydraulic bridge replacement projects. Roadway and Bridge KTL shows limited experience with similar scoped projects in a lead design role. Prime provides no experience that represents a fully developed, complete bridge replacement project.</i>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<i>Two design squad approach shown in Org Chart. Two geotechnical and survey firms also shown in Org chart. Multi-discipline QC/QA shown (Roadway/Bridge) - some concern about use of two QC/QA groups in providing consistency between all 5 projects. Part of QC process will be having separate firms QC each others work. Project team appears to have time to add subject projects to workload.</i>			





Firm Name: Mott MacDonald, LLC.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Poor
<p>PM provides little completed experience with bridge replacement projects over waterways. Roadway KTL provides no completed experience with similar scoped projects. Bridge KTL provides limited completed experience in similar type projects and appears to misrepresent role on PI 0013311, where the two involved bridges will utilize in-house resources for design. Prime provides some experience with bridge replacement projects.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<p>Org chart does outstanding job of listing resources for each project in batch. Concern that none of engineers listed for hydraulic studies are prequalified in area class. QC/QA shown as multi-disciplined but all bridge related QC/QA personnel are involved in design process according to Org Chart. Project team members represent capacity to take on subject projects but concern about actual hours needed on on-going projects once these projects entire preliminary or final design phase.</p>			

Firm Name: Neel-Schaffer, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<p>PM provides an extensive experience with GDOT policies and projects but concern about familiarity with implementation of current PDP procedures. Roadway KTL presents experience with hydraulic bridge crossings but as PM, not roadway engineer. Bridge KTL demonstrates ample experience with similar scoped projects. Prime demonstrates solid experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>Org chart shows deep roster for design services (roadway/bridge). Org chart shows 3 firms for Geotechnical services and 2 firms for survey services. Org chart shows multi-discipline approach to QC/QA. Narrative discusses QC/QA to be performed by independent, external sub-consultants - however, doesn't specify is sub is part of total team.</p>			

Firm Name: NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<p>PM shows limited completed experience with bridge replacement projects over waterways. Roadway KTL provides sufficient experience with bridges replacements crossing waterways. Bridge KTL provides significant experience similar to the subject projects. Prime provides limited experience with similar projects that are complete with the design phase. PM and Roadway KTL have experience working together on current projects.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>Org chart uses two team approach for design activities. Org chart shows multi-disciplined approach for QC/QA (Bridge/Roadway). Narrative shows breakdown of how each firm will be assigned to major task of each of the 5 projects. Workload capacity charts show sufficient time for PM and KTL.</p>			

Firm Name: Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>PM shows experience with similar projects as roadway designer but limited experience with completed projects as PM. Roadway KTL shows ample experience with bridge replacement projects over streams. Bridge KTL provides appropriate experience for the subject projects. Prime demonstrates significant experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects. PM and Bridge KTL have worked on previous project together.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Org chart shows 4 design teams but only 2 hydraulic teams. Org chart shows multiple firms for geotechnical and survey services. QC/QA shown on org chart displays multi-discipline approach (bridge, roadway) and includes Quality Manager. Narrative discusses named ABC resource. Familiarity with Limited Scope Concept Reports, Environmental Survey Boundaries and A3M meetings associated with current bridge projects. PM and KTL show sufficient time to add projects to workload.</p>			

Firm Name:	QK4, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
<p><i>PM shows similar projects as work experience as the subject projects. Roadway KTL provides no complete experience with bridge replacement projects over waterways. Bridge KTL provides limited experience with completed hydraulic bridge replacements. Prime demonstrates sufficient experience with simarily scoped projects.</i></p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<p><i>Org chart specifies team members assigned to each site with two team approach. Org chart shows multiple firms for geotechnical and survey services. Named QC reviewer for bridges provided in org chart. Narrative discusses that QC reviewer will include constructability reviews during QC process. PM and KTL show suitable time available to take on subject projects.</i></p>		
Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
<p><i>PM shows some experience as Project Engineer with hydraulic bridge replacements, but not as PM. Roadway KTL shows limited completed work with similar scoped projects. Bridge KTL shows sufficient experience with bridge replacement projects over waterways. Prime demonstrates appropriate examples of similar scoped projects. PM and KTLs are currently working on project together.</i></p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
<p><i>Org chart shows 3 team approach for design activities and multiple firms for geotechnical and survey services. Org chart shows multi-disciplined QC/QA for Roadway and Bridge and also includes Constructability Resource. Narrative discusses site specific approaches for each bridge location, including railroad proximity, FEMA, MOT and MS4 but misses that one of the sites is already closed and doesn't require a detour. Project personnel appear to have workload capacity available for subject projects.</i></p>		
Firm Name:	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Good
<p><i>PM provides wide variety of bridge replacement projects over waterways, including various MOT approaches. Roadway KTL demonstrates experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects utilizing several different MOT methods. Bridge KTL shows ample experience with similarly scoped projects. Prime demonstrates appropriate experience for the subject projects. PM and Bridge KTL have worked together on prior projects.</i></p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
<p><i>Org chart shows unique combination of specific teams assigned to each project and a Team Manager assigned to the groups by company designation. Concern that PM is shown as roadway hydraulic resource on org chart. Org chart shows multi-discipline QC/QA approach (bridge, roadway) and named Constructability resource. Org chart also shows environmental liaison. Narratives specific address challenges at each site and potential solutions. In general, team presents with sufficient capacity but concern that PM has 80 hours devoted to similar batch projects currently in concept and anticipation would be the same time devotion to this project if awarded which would leave a deficiet of 16 hours with the other project (PI 0013676) on the Commitment Table.</i></p>		
Firm Name:	TranSystems Corporation	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating 	Marginal
<p><i>PM presents no experiences that have been completed through design. Prime, Roadway and Bridge KTLs provides ample experiences with projects that replace bridges over waterways. Bridge and Roadway KTL have worked together in similar roles on previous projects.</i></p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating 	Good
<p><i>Org Chart shows specific engineers assigned to design (bridge, roadway) for each project site. Each team is unique to site area (except for location where bridge designs need to be coordinated). Concern that Bridge KTL is part of design team and hydraulics resources. Org chart shows multi-discipline QC/QA (Roadway, Bridge). Org chart shows multiple firms for survey and geotechnical services as well as 9 survey crews available. Narrative discusses need for 2D modeling at nearby bridges and FEMA floodways at other sites. PM and KTL appear to have appropriate time available to devote to subject projects.</i></p>		

Firm Name:		T.Y.Lin International, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating 	Marginal
<p><i>PM, Roadway and Bridge KTL provide no experiences with completed hydraulic bridge replacement projects. Prime provides limited experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects.</i></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<p><i>Org chart shows 3 team approach for design, geotechnical and survey. Concern that KTLs are shown as part of design teams. Narrative provides a generic discussion on MOT options and ABC to be considered for projects. Narrative provides discussion about each site and acknowledges some issues at each location but no identification of additional resources the team brings to project. PM and KTL show sufficient time to devote to subject projects.</i></p>			
Firm Name:		Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating 	Poor
<p><i>PM demonstrates ample experience with local bridge replacement projects over waterways with off-site detours. Roadway KTL provides experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects but unclear what role was for each project listed. Bridge KTL provides no examples of work on projects with bridge replacements over waterways. Prime lists no completed design works and list two projects where only construction services are being provided.</i></p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating 	Adequate
<p><i>Org chart shows 3 team approach for design services (roadway, bridge); however, only 1 hydraulics group. Org chart lists ABC resources. Org chart shows multiple firms available for BFI/WFI services. Narrative discusses familiarity/resources with Limited Scope Concept Reports, A3M meetings, ABC techniques, RR and utility coordination but doesn't relate this knowledge to the subject projects. Narrative discusses two named resources for Constructability implementation to help determine staging and MOT methods. Construction resources would also be used to determine better cost estimates for projects.</i></p>			

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF TOP SUBMITTALS FOR PHASE I

Solicitation Title:	<i>Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services</i>	1	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
Solicitation #:	<i>RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9</i>	2	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria FOR TOP FIFTEEN SUBMITTALS		3	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC

(This Page For GDOT Use)

SUBMITTING FIRMS	(RANKING)		Score	Group Ranking	Ranking	Firm Name
	Score	Group Ranking				
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	375	1	11		1	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	250	6	14		14	Long Engineering, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	200	11	14		14	HNTB Corporation
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	325	2				
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	300	3				
TranSystems Corporation	300	3				
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	225	9				
Gresham Smith	250	6				
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	225	9				
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	250	6				
CHA Consulting, Inc.	300	3				
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	200	11				
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C. (P.C.)	200	11				
Long Engineering, Inc.	125	14				
HNTB Corporation	125	14				

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications

Resources and Workload Capacity

SUBMITTING FIRMS	Maximum Points allowed =		Phase One Scores and Group Ranking	
	300	200	Total Score	Ranking
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	6
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Marginal	200	11
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	2
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	300	3
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	300	3
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	9
Gresham Smith	Adequate	Adequate	250	6
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	9
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	6
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	3
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Adequate	Marginal	200	11
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.(P.C.)	Adequate	Marginal	200	11
Long Engineering, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	14
HNTB Corporation	Marginal	Marginal	125	14
Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	500 %	

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Stantec's project manager (PM) has 21 years of experience, and included a narrative of a large variety of bridge replacement projects over waterways. The roadway lead has 21 years of experience and has been lead designer on bridge replacement projects inclusive of environmental work, such as GDOT project: SR 3/SR 51. The bridge lead has 30 years of structural engineering experience and replacement of bridges on county roads with different staging alternatives. The key team leads (KTL's) have previously collaborated on past projects. The Prime has completed projects similar in scope to this project.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Stantec's team was well broken out, fully staffed, organized, and addressed how to coordinate 5 projects among team members. QC/QA included. Also, there were multiple disciplines under QC/QA approach for roadway, bridge, and constructability. The prime included an environmental liaison in their design team. The team members appear to be very knowledgeable of the project, since their narrative mentioned each site and solutions for each, which further discussed the organization of team and how each project will be delivered.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Parson's PM has 15 yrs. of experience, including work on GDOT bridge bundles, and served as deputy PM on GDOT bundle replacement projects in Richmond County, GA. The roadway lead has 21 years of experience, and listed state route and county road replacement projects as lead. The bridge lead has provided a few bridge over waterway projects in their narrative. The PM, bridge and roadway KTL's have worked on previous projects together, i.e. Jeff Davis/Laurens/McDuffie County, GA projects which is in similar size and scope as this project. The Prime demonstrates a high level of working experience with bridge replacement projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Parson's is familiar with working with limited scope concept reports, which the evaluation team expects will be low learning curve if obtaining this project. Their 3-team approach seems sufficient, however, there were 4 design teams with 2 hydraulic teams. The PM shows 16 active preconstruction projects on GDOT records, but only 10 were shown on the SOQ commitment table. Lastly, their organizational chart shows multiple firms for Geotech and survey services.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Kimley-Horn's PM has 24 years of experience, has relevant experience with bridge replacement projects over water (for example: PI#0011678, 0011679, and 0011680), and listed GDOT bridge bundles at various level of design. The roadway lead has 18 years of experience, and has worked on a GDOT 2018 bridge bundle, but roadway lead relevant experience on projects are still in the design phase. The bridge lead has 23 years of experience, and demonstrates ample experience with past projects (for example: PI#'s 0011678, 0011679, and 0011680). The Prime mentioned past collaboration with KTL's but did not specify their title and role at the time. The Prime's experience is similar to the KTL's with bridge replacement projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>Kimley-Horn listed 3 design teams, 2 geotechnical teams, and 3 survey teams. However, the design team did not explain how to manage 5 projects consecutively. The Prime has designated a team for railroad coordination. Also, provided single QC/QA role with roadway, structures, and bridges. And, their narrative discussed an environmental liaison role with GDOT's Office of Environmental Services. However, the evaluation team is concerned that the bridge lead and PM have a very high workload commitment, and it does not appear in their workload commitment table to have the capacity to undertake more projects.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>Heath and Lineback's PM has 18 years of experience, inclusive of a vast amount of experience with similar projects, which were primarily county projects. However, the evaluation team was unsure if these projects followed PDP process and federal guidelines since none were identified by GDOT PI numbers. The roadway lead has 15 years of experience, which projects include GDOT SR 4 widening with bridge, and GDOT design build projects. The bridge lead has 18 years experience and mentioned relevant bridge replacement projects over waterways. The KTLs have worked together but not in current roles. The Prime listed several relevant projects, which KTL's previously collaborated on.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>Heath and Lineback included 2 small teams for roadway and bridge to complete 5 projects, and it appears that their current workload is high to complete additional work. Their organizational chart shows deep resources for Geotech and survey. Their narrative discussed 2D modeling and railroad coordination. It appears the Prime has completed research for projects and how the team will be composed for each bridge project. However, they did not list disciplines for QC/QA, and did not explain how team will work together to complete 5 projects timely.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>ICE's PM has 15 years of experience, which includes past similar projects such as GDOT SR 369 at Chattahoochee River (Lake Lanier) - PI# 122012-; and experience with local bridge replacement projects with design build. The Roadway lead has 14 years of experience, and relevant projects include 3 GDOT bridge bundles from 2016 and 2017 (both in progress), rapid bridge replacement project from PennDOT, and design builds (but as roadway engineer not lead). The bridge lead has 15 years of experience, and displays ample experience with similar bridge replacement projects. The KTL's worked together on past projects, but evaluation team is unsure if in current role. The Prime has completed similar work as this project.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>ICE's organizational chart included 4 design teams, and has 3 firms for Geotech and survey. The roadway structure QC/QA is also on the organizational chart. The Prime's narrative discussed that environmental services would be completed by GDOT. The team appears to have ample availability to complete this project timely.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	TranSystems Corporation	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>TranSystem's PM has 25 years experience, but presents no projects that are completed through design as PM. The roadway lead has 26 years of experience, and listed GDOT state route bridge replacement projects over water, i.e. SR 44 Widening (PI#0006253) Putnam County project. The bridge lead has 26 years experience and shows ample experience with bridge replacement projects over water. The KTL's worked together previously, but not with the PM.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>TranSystem's organizational chart has specific engineers for each project site, except for bridge area where bridge design will need to be coordinated. The bridge KTL is listed under hydraulic resources. They have included QC/QA for bridge and roadway. The bridge lead has high workload commitment, but projects are close to completion. The organizational chart displays roles for geotech and survey. Lastly, their narrative discussed 2D and FEMA modeling for bridge sites.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	

Firm	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>Neel-Schaffer's PM has 30 years of experience, but project experience was primarily from QC/QA role. The PM lists relevant projects with GDOT, which did go through PDP and is knowledgeable of the process. The roadway lead has 30 years of experience, which lead was listed as PM not roadway lead, but is capable of handling work. The bridge lead has 15 years of experience, relevant project experience with GDOT 2016 design build projects as lead, and has few projects in construction phase near completion. The Prime did list relevant project experience in relation to this project.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Neel-Schaffer has a 4 person QA/QC make up, and built a hefty roadway and bridge team to complete design work. All team members appear to have availability. The Prime listed 3 firms for geotech and 2 firms for survey. The bridge KTL listed on-call services for Norfolk Southern, which will assist in railroad coordination.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Gresham Smith	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Gresham Smith's PM has 28 years of experience, has past project experience with bridge replacement over water for North Oconee River, but most bridge related experience for bridge related structures are still in design phase. The roadway lead has 20 years of experience, relevant projects are on-going, and 3 projects listed over water are still in design phase, and did provide a list of similar grade separation projects. The bridge lead has 27 years of experience and shows examples of hydraulic bridge replacement projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Gresham Smith listed 2 design teams, and a roadway and bridge Q/A role. The Prime provided 2 firms for geotech and survey. Their workload availability appears sufficient.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>EXP's PM has 25 years of experience, mostly past experience with local projects, some bridge replacement projects were included, but the evaluation team is unsure if experience with the PDP process was used on these projects. The roadway lead has 30 years of experience, and their past project experience listed them as a PM not roadway lead, but is capable of handling work. The bridge lead has 20 years of experience, and has past experience with stream crossing bridge replacement projects. The Prime listed several relevant projects, which KTL's previously collaborated on.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>EXP has 5 design teams, and was well in-depth on QC/QA. They provided one firm for geotech and survey studies. Their narrative discussed advancing schedule to address FFPR comments prior to lockdown plans, and included team members to assist with drainage. Lastly, KTLs have sufficient availability.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>NV5's PM has 30 years of experience, and served as PM on GDOT's 2016 bridge bundle projects (which are still on-going) and bridge replacement projects over waterways. The roadway lead has 18 years of experience and projects include out of state waterway projects, but the evaluation team is unsure about completeness of previous work. Their past design build project is complete. The bridge lead has 26 years of experience, including completion of bridge replacement projects over water. The Prime shows previous completion of projects over water. The PM and roadway KTL previously worked together, but not with the bridge lead.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>NV5 shows 2 design teams, one geotech firm, and included a roadway and bridge QC/QA. The team has sufficient workload availability.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CHA Consulting, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>CHA's PM has 25 years of experience, and past projects include a GDOT 2016 Bridge bundle, bridge replacement projects, and hydraulic bridge replacement. The roadway lead has 35 years of experience, and identified relevant projects. The bridge lead has 10+ years of experience and included examples of replacement projects over water. The PM and KTL's have worked together. The Prime shows acceptable experience with bridge replacements.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>CHA has 3 teams for roadway, mentioned railroad coordination, has VE and constructability and review team, and provided 2 firms for geotech and survey services. Their QC/QA flowchart visualizes work plan effectively. However, the roadway KTL did not include PI#'s under commitment table.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>ACP's PM has 26 years of experience and has been involved with 17 bridge replacement projects as PM and lead, but as roadway lead with bridges over water. Additionally, has completed both State and county projects, the PM demonstrates experience with bridge replacements by utilizing ABC methods. The roadway lead has 24 years experience, and roadway lead has experience with bridge replacement projects over water. The bridge lead has 18 years of experience, has sufficient experience with similar projects of this type, i.e. Underwood Street over Mill Creek Bridge Replacement, Whitfield County, GA, and out of state projects. The KTL's previously collaborated on projects. The Prime has sufficient experience with projects of similar scope.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>ACP's organizational chart was minimally staffed to deliver 5 projects. However, they did include multiple firms for geotechnical and survey activities and provided roadway and bridge hydraulic QC/QA team. Their narrative does acknowledge environmental coordination with GDOT. Lastly, the team appears to have sufficient workload capacity.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>CPL's PM has 25 years of experience, coordinated past projects with bridge replacements over water, and served as design engineer for GDOT on-call bridge replacement project. The roadway lead has 20 years of experience, inclusive of past project experience with bridge replacements, intersection and interchange improvements. The bridge lead has 20+ years of experience, has experience with hydraulic bridge replacement projects including working multiple projects of this type at once. The Prime shows sufficient experience with bridge replacements and widenings. The KTLs have past collaboration on project.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>CPL had a minimally staff org chart. They did include QC/QA for roadway and bridge, multiple firms are available for geotechnical and survey services. However, roadway lead and PM did not list PI's under commitment table, and bridge lead appears to be full under workload capacity (currently working on 3 GDOT bridge bundles - all are in preliminary design phase).</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Long Engineering, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>Long's PM's has 32 years of experience, relevant projects include hydraulic bridge replacement projects over waterways for Alabama DOT and Cobb Co DOT (served a lead roadway design engineer not PM), did not provide demonstrated experience with GDOT PDP. The roadway lead has 28 years of experience with county and bridge bundle replacement projects, i.e. GDOT project SR 11 Walton/Barrow county which is complete. The bridge lead has 37 years of experience, which includes sufficient experience with hydraulic bridge replacements. The Prime has limited project experience following full GDOT PDP, but has demonstrated bridge replacement projects over water. The KTL's have previously worked together on projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>Long had a minimally staffed organizational chart to deliver 5 projects. It appears the team heavily rely on KTL's as part of the team. The Prime did provide multiple firms for geotechnical and survey. However, the evaluation team is concerned with firm listed in narrative providing QC/QA, but is not part of team.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	HNTB Corporation	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>HNTB's PM has 24 years of experience, but has only served as PM on a couple of bridge replacement projects. The roadway lead has 26 years of experience, but mainly listed projects as PM but not as roadway lead. The bridge lead has 20 years of experience, however, projects listed are in design phase, i.e. PI#0013801 and 0013802 both are not in final design. The Prime lists projects similar in scope, but were not recent and did not include collaboration of KTL's.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>HNTB was minimally staffed on their roadway in organizational chart. They listed one quality manager and several SME's/senior technical reviewers. The Prime provided a railroad and environmental liaison. There were multiple firms listed for Geotech and survey services. The PM listed one project in workload commitment table, but in actuality, PM is on 3 projects (which 2 were left out - PI's 0008018, 0008019).</p>			

SOQ AREA CLASS CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #: RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9

SOLICITATION TITLE: Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020
Engineering Design Services

		3.01	3.02	3.12	3.13	4.01(a) Or	4.01(b)	4.04	5.01	5.02	5.08	6.01(a)	6.01(b)	6.02	6.05	9.01	Certificate Expires
3	Primes and Subconsultants																
	CHA Consulting, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	2/9/2023
	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	X	X	X	X	X		X				X	X	X		X	1/31/2022
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X			X				X	X	X					X	3/12/2023
	MC Squared, Inc.											X	X	X	X		11/9/2020
	Contour Engineering, LLC											X	X	X	X		3/12/2023
	Moffat & Nichol	X	X	X	X	X		X								X	1/31/2022
8	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X		X								X	5/29/2023
	Dyer, Riddle, Mills & Precourt, Inc. dba DRMP, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X			X	X						X	10/31/2022
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X			X				X	X	X					X	3/12/2023
	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X	X	X	X				X	X						X	12/31/2021
	Contour Engineering, LLC											X	X	X	X		3/12/2023
	MC Squared, Inc.											X	X	X	X		11/9/2020
	United Consulting, LLC											X	X	X	X		7/13/2020
	Long Engineering, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	12/14/2020
	THC, Inc.																
11	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	X	X	X	X	X		X				X	X	X		X	1/31/2022
	CHA Consulting, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	2/9/2023
	United Consulting, LLC								X	X	X	X	X	X	X		7/13/2020
	Low Engineers, LLC	X	X	X	X				X	X						X	8/9/2021
	Surveying and Mapping, LLC								X	X	X						12/14/2020
	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X	X	X	X				X	X						X	12/31/2021
	Contour Engineering, LLC											X	X	X	X		3/12/2023
	Accura Engineering and Consulting Services, Inc.								X	X	X	X	X	X	X		1/31/2022
22	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X						X		X	X	X	12/14/2020
	Accura Engineering and Consulting Services, Inc.								X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1/31/2022
	Aulick Engineering, LLC			X				X								X	11/9/2020
	Alfred Benesch & Company	X	X	X	X	X										X	4/11/2023
	Heath and Lineback Engineers, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X		X								X	5/29/2023
	McKim & Creed, Inc.								X	X	X						10/11/2021
	MC Squared, Inc.											X	X	X	X		11/9/2020
	Maser Consulting, P.A.								X	X	X						2/14/2022
	United Consulting, LLC										X	X	X	X	X		7/13/2023
23	TranSystems Corporation	X	X		X	X			X	X						X	6/11/2023
	Aulick Engineering, LLC			X				X								X	11/9/2020
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X			X				X	X	X					X	3/12/2023
	Gresham Smith	X	X	X	X	X		X								X	6/7/2023
	NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC											X	X	X	X		3/14/2022
	Pont Engineering, Inc.	X		X	X	X											12/31/2021
	United Consulting, LLC										X	X	X	X	X		7/13/2023



SELECTION OF FINALISTS

RFQ-484-040220 Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services, Contracts 1 - 11

The Georgia Department of Transportation is pleased to announce the selection of the following firms as finalists regarding the above RFQ:

Contract 1 - PI #0015658, PI #0016595

Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
EXP US Services, Inc.
Holt Consulting Company, LLC
Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.

Contract 2 - PI #0016600, PI #0016601

American Engineers, Inc.
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Contract 3 – PI #0016564, PI #0016565, PI #0016604

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
DRMP, Inc.
Gresham Smith
WSP USA, Inc.

Contract 4 – PI #0016566, PI #0016568

American Engineers, Inc.
Long Engineering, Inc.
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
WSP USA, Inc.

Contract 5 – PI #0016569, PI #0016584, PI #0016587, PI #0016589, PI #0016590

CHA Consulting, Inc.
Michael Baker International, Inc.
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
T.Y. Lin International, Inc.
WSP USA, Inc.

Contract 6 – PI #0015632, PI #0016571, PI #0016572, PI #0016588

American Consulting Professionals, LLC
American Engineers, inc.
EXP US Services, Inc.
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.

Contract 7 – PI #0016570, PI #0016573, PI #331900-

American Consulting Professionals, LLC
Freese and Nichols, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
RS&H, Inc.
TranSystems Corporation

Contract 8 – PI #0016575, PI #0016576, PI #0016579

American Engineers, Inc.
Gresham Smith
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Volkert, Inc.

Contract 9 – PI #0016577, PI #0016578, PI #0016596, PI #0016609, PI #0016610

CHA Consulting, Inc.
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
Infrastructure Consulting Engineering, PLLC
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
TranSystems Corporation

Contract 10 – PI #0016607, PI #0016608 and PI #0016611

American Consulting Professionals, LLC
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
DRMP, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.

Contract 11 – PI #0016580, PI #0016581, PI #0016582, PI #0016599, PI #0016605, PI #0016606

CHA Consulting, Inc.
KCI Technologies, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
RS&H, Inc.
Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.



Russell R. McMurry, P.E., Commissioner
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30308
(404) 631-1000 Main Office

Revised July 14, 2020

Original date: June 23, 2020

NOTICE TO SELECTED FINALISTS - REVISED

To: CHA Consulting, Inc; Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.; Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC; Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.; and TranSystems Corporation

Please send an e-mail confirming receipt of this notice to Charnele Dobbins (cdobbins@dot.ga.gov).

Revised: RFQ-484-040220 – Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services, Contract 9 - PI #0016577, PI #0016578, PI #0016596 and PI #0016610

Contract 9 - PI #0016609, CR 173/School House Road @ Swinney Branch Trib, in Polk County is deleted in its entirety; project is no longer required. All remaining information provided in the revised Notice to Selected Finalists remains the same.

On behalf of the Selection Committee for the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) referenced above, we congratulate you and your firm on being selected as a finalist for further consideration. This notice shall serve as an official request for additional required information and action from finalists. **Please refer to the original solicitation (RFQ-484-040220), pages 9&10, VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response, A&B and pages 11&12, IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response, A-D for instructions to submit your package.** As a finalist, your firm is required to comply with the written instructions and remaining schedule below:

A. Technical Approach - 40%

This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.

Furnish information that may serve to differentiate your firm from other firms and evidence of the firm's fit to the project and/or needs of GDOT, including:

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures.
3. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. Past Performance - 10%

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Remaining Schedule

d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms.	6/23/2020	-----
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	6/29/2020	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	7/7/2020	2:00 PM

C. **Finalist Selection**

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. For each evaluator, the points assigned to each criterion will be totaled and a rank will be determined. The rankings of all evaluators will be totaled for each finalist in order to determine the sum of the individual rankings. The finalists will be ranked in descending order of recommendation using the sum of individual rankings from the Selection Committee members. Should a tie exist for the highest ranking firm on the contract/project, and qualifications appear to be equal, the Selection Committee shall defer to the sum of the individual points and the award shall be made to the finalist with the highest sum.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract, including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm, GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations in writing and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

Please address any questions you may have to Charnele Dobbins, and congratulations again to each of you!

Charnele Dobbins
cdobbins@dot.ga.gov
404-631-1425

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9				
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services				
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	July 7, 2020				
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm				
No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Meets Required Area Classes	Compliant with Page # Limitations
1	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
2	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
3	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
4	TranSystems Corporation	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
5	CHA Consulting, Inc.	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF SUBMITTALS

Solicitation Title:	<i>Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services</i>	1	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
Solicitation #:	<i>RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9</i>	2	TranSystems Corporation

PHASE I AND PHASE II - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria 3 Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.

(This Page For GDOT Use)

			(RANKING)	
			Sum of	
			Total	Group
SUBMITTING FIRMS			Score	Ranking

Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.			725	1
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.			575	3
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC			550	5
TranSystems Corporation			675	2
CHA Consulting, Inc.			575	3

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity
Technical Approach
Past Performance

	PHASE I		PHASE II		Group Scores and Ranking	
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	400	100		
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	Good	Good	Good	Adequate	725	1
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Good	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	575	3
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	Adequate	Adequate	550	5
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	Good	Good	675	2
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Adequate	Good	Adequate	Good	575	3
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	400	100	1000	%

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Stantec provided a risk assessment chart for each project, which was color-coded by risk level, and identified areas within roadway, environmental, hydraulics, geotechnical, detour, constructability, structural, utilities, and right-of-way (r/w). The Prime modeled together the bridges. PI#0016596, the Prime did identify solutions that may impact access to locations near the project site, such as the floodplain, railroad, and sports complex. On PI#0016610, the team plans to leave existing piers in water, which the evaluation team preferred. The Prime acknowledged that GDOT Office of Environmental Services (OES) will be conducting environmental work and have designated an environmental liaison who will be assisting with project needs. Overall, the solutions to the design concepts and project management techniques were vague and generic.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Stantec received a score of 5.00/5.00 on one past performance survey check received. GDOT CMIS system was used to reference past evaluations on GDOT project PI#0005530, which the evaluator comment stated "assisted with plan development and technical expertise (with minor irregularities), and was willing to work with team. Firm is responsive, and completed project within scope, schedule, and budget".</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Heath and Lineback provided a step-by-step work progression plan, but did not explain how each project will be delivered and did not identify how challenges will be addressed. The Prime did specify one project to be competed by the local government to save the department time and money. The delivery and project management plan could be more specific. The Prime plans to use 2-D hydraulic analysis on the Tallapoosa Bridge under PI#0016577. Lastly, the Prime did consider geo-tech impacts to the project, has an understanding of various bridge types for each bridge in the county, and is knowledgeable of the project sites.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Heath and Lineback received an overall score of 3.00/5.00 on their past performance survey based on two responses received. In CMIS, GDOT projects PI#721290- and PI#0013742 had mixed reviews regarding use of additional scope and R/W that resulted in more funding. Although they were minor planning issues, overall the work was completed.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering stated they will include GDOT OES in environmental team meetings, will use 2-D modeling for bridges at project sites for PI#0016577 and PI#0016578. Moreover, the Prime recognized the use of offset alignment to avoid lengthy detours. On project PI#0016596, the Prime will use design variance to eliminate freeboard, Texas Rail as an alternative side barrier to reduce flow blockage, and stated there will be no need for a sidewalk (however, the evaluation team could not explain the Prime's logic without its use). The Prime provided a detailed synopsis on each project regarding potential issues, challenges, and mitigation strategies. However, the evaluation team is concerned with the Prime's potential use of a MS4 design, which is not a required GDOT practice on county projects.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering received a score of 4.80/5.00 on their past performance survey from 2 responses. Majority of GDOT CMIS reviews were generally positive, however, on GDOT project PI#0013999, a PFPR deadline was missed.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	TranSystems Corporation		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>TranSystems provided an in-depth analysis on handling flood issues on PI#'s 0016577 and 0016578, both included use of 2-D hydraulic modeling. The Prime provided detailed site information for all bridge types under each project and probable solutions. Moreover, the Prime mentioned GDOT OES coordination, included 20-series plan sets, identified challenges and mitigation strategies, discussed their QC/QA plan and approach, and described how they will work around the nearby Cartersville sports complex on PI#0016596. Furthermore, the Prime was the only firm that discussed how they will manage the procurement process and value engineering (VE) process to minimize delays.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>TranSystems received a 5.00/5.00 on their past performance survey based on one response. On GDOT project PI#0000304, the project was delivered ahead of time. In CMIS, the Prime received mostly positive reviews.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 9	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	CHA Consulting, Inc.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>CHA summarized their bridge sites with a table addressing key challenges, potential impacts, and strategies to mitigate their approach. The Prime recommended on coupling PI's 0016577 and 0016578, identified the potential environmental impact on the nearby baseball sports complex at project site for PI#0016596, and impact at nearby railroad, but no detail on mitigation strategy was provided. Lastly, the Prime discussed using the Bluebeam platform for quality review process, and plans to use their construction team to develop cost estimates.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>CHA received a 5.00/5.00 on their past performance survey from one survey response. In CMIS, completion of GDOT project PI#0011691 received highly favorable reviews.</p>			

Reference Check Summary for
RFQ 484-040220 Contract #9
Bridge Bundle #1-2020 Engineering Design Services

Questions answered on a 1, 3, 5 scale.

1 = Below Expectations, 3 = Met Expectations, 5 = Exceeded Expectations

	CHA Consulting Inc.	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Infrastructure Consulting Engineering, PLLC	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	TranSystems Corporation
1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project.					
Reference 1	5	3	3	5	5
Reference 2		3	5		
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	5.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	5.00
2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.					
Reference 1	5	3	5	5	5
Reference 2		3	5		
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.					
Reference 1	5	3		5	5
Reference 2		3	5		
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management.					
Reference 1	5	3	5	5	5
Reference 2		3	5		
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.					
Reference 1	5	3	5	5	5
Reference 2		3	5		
Reference 3					
Reference 4					
Reference 5					
Reference 6					
Reference 7					
Section Average	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Overall Average	5.00	3.00	4.80	5.00	5.00

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 27, 2020 7:42:05 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 27, 2020 7:44:01 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:56
Email: mfowler@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 174.218.15.186

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Matthew Fowler
Company	GDOT-Innovative Delivery
Address	600 W Peachtree St, NW, Atlanta, GA 30308
Email Address	mfowler@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404-895-4975

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

CHA was responsible for the pre-let activities on the Macon Bridge Projects (College St & Pio Nono Ave). They were very responsive and performed great on the project.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, July 07, 2020 9:52:17 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 07, 2020 10:08:40 PM
Time Spent: 00:16:22
Email: ronelson@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 174.227.17.5

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Ron Nelson
GDOT
PM
ronelson@dot.ga.gov
(912) 424-9112

Ron Nelson
GDOT Innovative Delivery
P3/Project Manager
ronelson@dot.ga.gov
9124249112

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Heath & Lineback met the overall requirements and expectations for the FY16 DB Bridges Batch 2 & Batch 3; however, Batch 2 resulted in a \$450k SA that included mid-span construction/demolition/ redesign/reconstruction in Greene County due to insufficient clearance design over Lake Oconee and Batch 3 resulted in a \$18k SA due to insufficient geotechnical investigation leading to end bent foundation redesign from spread footing to pile footing.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Thursday, July 09, 2020 10:45:49 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, July 09, 2020 10:49:04 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:15
Email: bduvall@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 24.99.29.2

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Bill Duvall

GDOT

PM

bduvall@dot.ga.gov

(404) 631-1883

Bill DuVall

GDOT

N/A

bduvall@dot.ga.gov

(404) 631-1883

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

I am aware that H&L completed this project, however I was not the PM nor Bridge Office Liaison for the work and therefore my knowledge of the work is limited.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 10:35:56 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 10:40:11 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:14
Email: ro'hara@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.53.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Rick O'Hara
Company	GDOT

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

Respondent skipped this question

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Communication between ICE, acting as the EOR and the contractor on this Design-Build project met expectations, Communication on project related issues from ICE to GDOT as the EOR exceeded expectation

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 27, 2020 2:15:07 PM
Last Modified: Monday, July 27, 2020 2:16:54 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:47
Email: mclowers@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.53.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Marlo Clowers
Company	GDOT
Address	OGC
Email Address	mclowers@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404.293.7406

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 27, 2020 10:29:06 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 27, 2020 10:44:26 AM
Time Spent: 00:15:20
Email: iabousaud@atlantaga.gov
IP Address: 162.205.203.238

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	ibrahim abousaud
Company	City of Atlanta (ATLDOT)
Address	55 Trinity Avenue SW, Suite 4350, Atlanta, GA 30303
Email Address	iabousaud@atlantaga.gov
Phone Number	404) 323-1251

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Stantec PM provided excellent services on this project

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 27, 2020 1:01:33 PM
Last Modified: Monday, July 27, 2020 1:04:07 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:33
Email: kathy.stallard@paulding.gov
IP Address: 50.73.82.133

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Kathy Stallard
Company	PCDOT
Address	240 Constitution Blvd
Email Address	kstallard@paulding.gov
Phone Number	770-445-4759

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Transystems did a superior job on this project to help Paulding County navigate some difficult project aspects. They put together a terrific set of plans and assisted during construction to help with any questions and concerns.

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 804311921 +4: CAGE Code: 6VR73 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 800 Fairway Dr Ste 195 City: Deerfield Beach State/Province: FLORIDA ZIP Code: 33441-1828 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078731449 +4: CAGE Code: 3KPZ0 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 20440 Century Blvd Ste 240 City: Germantown State/Province: MARYLAND ZIP Code: 20874-7115 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080484333 +4: CAGE Code: 7WLF4 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 11/11/2020 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 609 E Market St Ste 202 City: Charlottesville State/Province: VIRGINIA ZIP Code: 22902-5300 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 611740056 +4: CAGE Code: 4G6G2 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 135 Engineers Rd Ste 200 City: HAUPPAUGE State/Province: NEW YORK ZIP Code: 11788-4018 Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 826932480	+4:	CAGE Code: 55FB8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1500 Spring Garden Ste 1100		
City: PHILADELPHIA	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA	
ZIP Code: 19130-4067	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 809863694	+4:	CAGE Code: 0CGN8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/12/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3052 Beaumont Centre Cir		
City: Lexington	State/Province: KENTUCKY	
ZIP Code: 40513-1703	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 784133949	+4:	CAGE Code: 7SWJ9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5725 Mark Dabling Blvd Ste 190		
City: Colorado Springs	State/Province: COLORADO	
ZIP Code: 80919-2221	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 858665128	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VSB7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3437 Empressa Dr Ste A		
City: San Luis Obispo	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 93401-7355	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 824943794	+4:	CAGE Code: 1Y103 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1500 Lake Shore Dr Ste 100		
City: COLUMBUS	State/Province: OHIO	
ZIP Code: 43204-3800	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079129589	+4:	CAGE Code: 71KE6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3255 Greensboro Dr Ste 203		
City: Bismarck	State/Province: NORTH DAKOTA	
ZIP Code: 58503-5413	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 884874124	+4:	CAGE Code: 79MX4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1165 E Jennings Way Ste 101		
City: Elko	State/Province: NEVADA	
ZIP Code: 89801-7977	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078731377	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VK70 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 810 Gleneagles Ct Ste 300		
City: Baltimore	State/Province: MARYLAND	
ZIP Code: 21286-2237	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 157726555	+4:	CAGE Code: 0FRJ1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 365 W Passaic St Ste 175		
City: ROCHELLE PARK	State/Province: NEW JERSEY	
ZIP Code: 07662-3014	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080171011	+4:	CAGE Code: 7KWU8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 400 Crown Colony Dr Ste 200		
City: Quincy	State/Province: MASSACHUSETTS	
ZIP Code: 02169-0982	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078721737	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VSB6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 777 S Harbour Island Blvd Ste 600		
City: Tampa	State/Province: FLORIDA	
ZIP Code: 33602-5729	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 607812000	+4:	CAGE Code: 4FX70 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5500 Ming Ave Ste 410		
City: Bakersfield	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 93309-4631	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 618007538	+4:	CAGE Code: 1C0H1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 10509 Timberwood Cir Ste 100		
City: LOUISVILLE	State/Province: KENTUCKY	
ZIP Code: 40223-5308	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 826972213	+4:	CAGE Code: 54L58 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/09/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1599 SR 34 Ste 3		
City: Wall Township	State/Province: NEW JERSEY	
ZIP Code: 07727-3932	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 624184268	+4:	CAGE Code: 4G6R2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 55 Green Mountain Dr		
City: Burlington	State/Province: VERMONT	
ZIP Code: 05407-7824	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 928819515	+4:	CAGE Code: 6W7T8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2321 CLUB MERIDIAN DR STE E		
City: OKEMOS	State/Province: MICHIGAN	
ZIP Code: 48864-4588	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080292495	+4:	CAGE Code: 1NYJ2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 224 S Michigan Ave Ste 1400		
City: Chicago	State/Province: ILLINOIS	
ZIP Code: 60604-2590	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 151492944	+4:	CAGE Code: 1WKX3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2000 S Colorado Blvd Ste 2-300		
City: Denver	State/Province: COLORADO	
ZIP Code: 80222-7933	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 879397453	+4:	CAGE Code: 1K9C9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1001 Lakeside Ave E Ste 1600		
City: Cleveland	State/Province: OHIO	
ZIP Code: 44114-1193	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 791207574	+4:	CAGE Code: 1LPH5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 601 SW Second Ave Ste 1400		
City: Portland	State/Province: OREGON	
ZIP Code: 97204-3128	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 963697573	+4:	CAGE Code: 1NVD8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 209 Commerce Pkwy City: Cottage Grove State/Province: WISCONSIN ZIP Code: 53527-8955 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078721840	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VRW1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/16/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2316 Killearn Center Blvd Ste 102 City: Tallahassee State/Province: FLORIDA ZIP Code: 32309-3676 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078740534	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VK69 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 300 Primera Blvd Ste 300 City: Lake Mary State/Province: FLORIDA ZIP Code: 32746-2145 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078808936	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VYZ1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/04/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3001 Washington Blvd Ste 500 City: Arlington State/Province: VIRGINIA ZIP Code: 22201-2247 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 007058101	+4:	CAGE Code: 7CJ63 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 9191 Towne Centre Dr Ste 220 City: San Diego State/Province: CALIFORNIA ZIP Code: 92122-6299 Country: UNITED STATES		

ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 009220091 +4: CAGE Code: 650G3 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 07/08/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 351 W Parks Hwy Ste 200 City: Wasilla State/Province: ALASKA ZIP Code: 99654-6920 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079225833 +4: CAGE Code: 7QSD8 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 02/12/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 30 Oak St Ste 400 City: Stamford State/Province: CONNECTICUT ZIP Code: 06905-5313 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 607437225 +4: CAGE Code: 05DM3 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 01/13/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 30 Park Dr City: Topsham State/Province: MAINE ZIP Code: 04086-1737 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 603581265 +4: CAGE Code: 1K8Z3 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 06/10/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 11153 Aurora Ave City: Des Moines State/Province: IOWA ZIP Code: 50322-7904 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078808947 +4: CAGE Code: 6VWP9 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 901 Jackson St City: Vicksburg State/Province: MISSISSIPPI ZIP Code: 39183-2519 Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 156522757	+4:	CAGE Code: 55HD9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 55 Church St Ste 601		
City: New Haven	State/Province: CONNECTICUT	
ZIP Code: 06510-3014	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078502167	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VY45 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 6188 Rome Cir NW		
City: Rochester	State/Province: MINNESOTA	
ZIP Code: 55901-4846	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078502278	+4:	CAGE Code: 6W6N4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2300 SWAN LAKE BLVD STE 202		
City: INDEPENDENCE	State/Province: IOWA	
ZIP Code: 50644-9708	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080159279	+4:	CAGE Code: 3KQA1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 4651 Salisbury Rd Ste 350		
City: Jacksonville	State/Province: FLORIDA	
ZIP Code: 32256-6107	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 006117567	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VR74 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/09/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3875 Atherton Rd		
City: ROCKLIN	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 95765-3716	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079976449 +4:	CAGE Code: 7GW26 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/13/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 12222 Merit Dr Ste 400	
City: Dallas	State/Province: TEXAS
ZIP Code: 75251-2268	Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078719511 +4:	CAGE Code: 0LWU6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 901 Ponce de Leon Blvd Ste 900	
City: Coral Gables	State/Province: FLORIDA
ZIP Code: 33134-3070	Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079427145 +4:	CAGE Code: 77JE1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 7502 N Colonial Ave Ste 101	
City: Fresno	State/Province: CALIFORNIA
ZIP Code: 93711-5862	Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 116945918 +4:	CAGE Code: 88DZ7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/14/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1551 Sawgrass Corporate Pkwy Ste 440	
City: Sunrise	State/Province: FLORIDA
ZIP Code: 33323-2892	Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 009180154 +4:	CAGE Code: 30PK2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1 W 4th St Ste 820	
City: Winston Salem	State/Province: NORTH CAROLINA
ZIP Code: 27101-3818	Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 803545540	+4:	CAGE Code: 1K9B3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3157 Royal Dr Ste 250		
City: Alpharetta	State/Province: GEORGIA	
ZIP Code: 30022-2487	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080171019	+4:	CAGE Code: 7KSW0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 146 Main St Unit 3		
City: Hyannis	State/Province: MASSACHUSETTS	
ZIP Code: 02601-3128	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 624437591	+4:	CAGE Code: 4G7B7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 226 Causeway St 6th FL		
City: Boston	State/Province: MASSACHUSETTS	
ZIP Code: 02114-2155	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 151470080	+4:	CAGE Code: 1WKW3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5151 E BROADWAY BLVD STE 400		
City: TUCSON	State/Province: ARIZONA	
ZIP Code: 85711-3712	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078719661	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VJW7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 11315 Corporate Blvd Ste 105		
City: Orlando	State/Province: FLORIDA	
ZIP Code: 32817-8340	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 606090608	+4:	CAGE Code: 4CQ93 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1000 Young St Ste 470		
City: Tonawanda	State/Province: NEW YORK	
ZIP Code: 14150-4100	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 826970498	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VR69 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 100 Pearl St Fl 10		
City: HARTFORD	State/Province: CONNECTICUT	
ZIP Code: 06103-4500	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 783289424	+4:	CAGE Code: 1F8X9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 735 Carnegie Dr Ste 280		
City: San Bernardino	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 92408-3592	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078741606	+4:	CAGE Code: 368V9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5000 Ritter Rd Ste 102		
City: Mechanicsburg	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA	
ZIP Code: 17055-6922	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 090271490	+4:	CAGE Code: 6W7T4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 701 E 22ND ST # 115		
City: LOMBARD	State/Province: ILLINOIS	
ZIP Code: 60148-5144	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 781310974	+4:	CAGE Code: 093M4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3010 W Charleston Blvd Ste 100		
City: LAS VEGAS	State/Province: NEVADA	
ZIP Code: 89102-1969	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078808930	+4:	CAGE Code: 6XEM8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 101 Providence Mine Rd Ste 202		
City: Nevada City	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 95959-2938	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 021959692	+4:	CAGE Code: 62JF3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3777 Worsham Ave Ste 200		
City: Long Beach	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 90808-1774	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079299323	+4:	CAGE Code: 74A81 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1011 Boulder Springs Dr Ste 225		
City: Richmond	State/Province: VIRGINIA	
ZIP Code: 23225-4951	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 796643778	+4:	CAGE Code: 0ZGJ7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/09/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1515 Bass Rd Ste G		
City: Macon	State/Province: GEORGIA	
ZIP Code: 31210-7579	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 963394283 +4:	CAGE Code: 55BE9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/21/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1687 114th Ave SE Ste 100 City: Bellevue ZIP Code: 98004-6965	State/Province: WASHINGTON Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 073458523 +4:	CAGE Code: 1S8N8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 475 Fifth Ave 12th Fl City: New York ZIP Code: 10017-7239	State/Province: NEW YORK Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078505945 +4:	CAGE Code: 6UZB1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3800 Colonial Blvd Ste 100 City: Fort Myers ZIP Code: 33966-1075	State/Province: FLORIDA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080159282 +4:	CAGE Code: 7KTS0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1003 Bishop St Ste 1985 City: Honolulu ZIP Code: 96813-6461	State/Province: HAWAII Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078831520 +4:	CAGE Code: 6Y9S5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: Warehouse Row N 1110 Market St Ste 214A City: Chattanooga ZIP Code: 37402-2863	State/Province: TENNESSEE Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079645769 +4:	CAGE Code: 7KTC4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3001 Bee Caves Rd Ste 300 City: Austin ZIP Code: 78746-5590	State/Province: TEXAS Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 081176994 +4:	CAGE Code: 83YM5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/16/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3400 Hwy 180 E Ste A City: Silver City ZIP Code: 88061-7784	State/Province: NEW MEXICO Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 024462025 +4:	CAGE Code: 7CJ64 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 4100 194th St Sw Ste 400 City: Lynnwood ZIP Code: 98036-4613	State/Province: WASHINGTON Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 799963785 +4:	CAGE Code: 5TZQ1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/09/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 650 Smithfield St Ste 2500 City: Pittsburgh ZIP Code: 15222-3900	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 146200824 +4:	CAGE Code: 33BF9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 136 WEST ST STE 203 City: NORTHAMPTON ZIP Code: 01060-3711	State/Province: MASSACHUSETTS Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 111443656	+4:	CAGE Code: 8AHG2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2646 Santa Maria Way Ste 107		
City: Santa Maria	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 93455-1776	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 808614858	+4:	CAGE Code: 82DX1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2595 CEANOTHUS AVE STE 182		
City: CHICO	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 95973-7716	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078609218	+4:	CAGE Code: 6TPD6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1200 Brickyard Ln Ste 400		
City: Baton Rouge	State/Province: LOUISIANA	
ZIP Code: 70802-8084	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 964593250	+4:	CAGE Code: 6P8S3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1112 Pearl St		
City: Boulder	State/Province: COLORADO	
ZIP Code: 80302-5112	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078791735	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VNQ7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 400 Allen Dr Ste 400		
City: Charleston	State/Province: WEST VIRGINIA	
ZIP Code: 25302-3947	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 845413780	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VSB5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 200 N CONGRESS ST STE 600		
City: JACKSON	State/Province: MISSISSIPPI	
ZIP Code: 39201-1917	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 009181830	+4:	CAGE Code: 30LJ5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 4969 Centre Pointe Dr Ste 200		
City: CHARLESTON	State/Province: SOUTH CAROLINA	
ZIP Code: 29418-6952	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 963243972	+4:	CAGE Code: 6FDC5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 14801 Califa St		
City: Van Nuys	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 91411-3108	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078801587	+4:	CAGE Code: 8DK84 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/15/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3754 Ranchero Dr		
City: Ann Arbor	State/Province: MICHIGAN	
ZIP Code: 48108-2771	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078721808	+4:	CAGE Code: 1UDT1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5801 Pelican Bay Blvd Ste 300		
City: Naples	State/Province: FLORIDA	
ZIP Code: 34105-2709	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 038684895 +4:	CAGE Code: 4JUG8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/04/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 350 N Orleans St Ste 1301 City: Chicago ZIP Code: 60654-1983	State/Province: ILLINOIS Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080672168 +4:	CAGE Code: 7VJT2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/04/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 750 Holiday Dr Ste 220 City: Pittsburgh ZIP Code: 15220-2769	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 081176987 +4:	CAGE Code: 83YK5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/15/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 6100 Seagull St NE Ste 102B City: Albuquerque ZIP Code: 87109-2500	State/Province: NEW MEXICO Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 003938828 +4:	CAGE Code: 78UG0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 6080 Tennyson Pkwy Ste 200 City: Plano ZIP Code: 75024-6003	State/Province: TEXAS Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY [REDACTED] Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078801246 +4:	CAGE Code: 6VY88 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 101 E Diamond St Ste 400 City: Butler ZIP Code: 16001-5975	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078808944 +4:	CAGE Code: 6VWQ6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1022 W 23rd St Ste 590 City: Panama City ZIP Code: 32405-3688	State/Province: FLORIDA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 139869064 +4:	CAGE Code: 52SS0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1859 Bowles Ave Ste 250 City: Fenton ZIP Code: 63026-1944	State/Province: MISSOURI Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078806169 +4:	CAGE Code: 6VY89 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/21/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 910 Louisiana St Ste 2600 City: Houston ZIP Code: 77002-4916	State/Province: TEXAS Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 109145154 +4:	CAGE Code: 83YR1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/15/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 506 S Main St Ste 700 City: Las Cruces ZIP Code: 88001-1237	State/Province: NEW MEXICO Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 165642849 +4:	CAGE Code: 3Z7Z9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 61 Commercial St Ste 100 City: Rochester ZIP Code: 14614-1009	State/Province: NEW YORK Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 796320740	+4:	CAGE Code: 84C76 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 200 E 4th St Ste 100		
City: Roswell	State/Province: NEW MEXICO	
ZIP Code: 88201-6237	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079771211	+4:	CAGE Code: 7CTL9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1411 Gervais St Ste 325		
City: Columbia	State/Province: SOUTH CAROLINA	
ZIP Code: 29201-3337	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 030986145	+4:	CAGE Code: 1K8L1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1560 Broadway Ste 1800		
City: Denver	State/Province: COLORADO	
ZIP Code: 80202-6000	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078740700	+4:	CAGE Code: 3KQF5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2056 Vista Pkwy Ste 100		
City: West Palm Beach	State/Province: FLORIDA	
ZIP Code: 33411-6734	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 157103495	+4:	CAGE Code: 52P73 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/09/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 4540 Heatherdowns Blvd Ste A		
City: Toledo	State/Province: OHIO	
ZIP Code: 43614-3100	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 050769522	+4:	CAGE Code: 7QSL7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2 S Biscayne Blvd Ste 1670		
City: Miami	State/Province: FLORIDA	
ZIP Code: 33131-1804	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 051715575	+4:	CAGE Code: 7JT12 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5238 Valleypointe Pkwy Ste 3		
City: ROANOKE	State/Province: VIRGINIA	
ZIP Code: 24019-3066	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 063825488	+4:	CAGE Code: 7SYK2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 229 Peachtree St Ne Ste 1900		
City: Atlanta	State/Province: GEORGIA	
ZIP Code: 30303-1629	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078812049	+4:	CAGE Code: 88CF9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/13/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1 Meridian Blvd Ste 2A02		
City: Wyomissing	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA	
ZIP Code: 19610-3225	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 612399803	+4:	CAGE Code: 47Z12 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/28/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1101 14th St NW Ste 1200		
City: Washington	State/Province: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
ZIP Code: 20005-5637	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 618243054	+4:	CAGE Code: 4A2P1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 70 NE Loop 410 Ste 1100		
City: San Antonio	State/Province: TEXAS	
ZIP Code: 78216-5893	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 036412328	+4:	CAGE Code: 1K9S1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2130 Resort Dr Ste 350		
City: Steamboat Springs	State/Province: COLORADO	
ZIP Code: 80487-8855	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 611739918	+4:	CAGE Code: 4G6L3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3 Columbia Cir Ste 6		
City: Albany	State/Province: NEW YORK	
ZIP Code: 12203-5158	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 064683378	+4:	CAGE Code: 1K8S0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1340 Poydras St Ste 1420		
City: New Orleans	State/Province: LOUISIANA	
ZIP Code: 70112-1274	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 827648937	+4:	CAGE Code: 55AM0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/09/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1383 N MCDOWELL BLVD Ste 250		
City: Petaluma	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 94954-7118	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 004983859	+4:	CAGE Code: 4ZHJ3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 555 Capitol Mall Ste 650	City: Sacramento	State/Province: CALIFORNIA
ZIP Code: 95814-4583		Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 007172185	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VY22 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/13/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3717 23RD ST S	City: SAINT CLOUD	State/Province: MINNESOTA
ZIP Code: 56301-5094		Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 016266868	+4:	CAGE Code: 8W506 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/04/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 727 E Riverpark Ln Ste 150	City: Boise	State/Province: IDAHO
ZIP Code: 83706-4089		Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 040840072	+4:	CAGE Code: 6W7U2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1165 Scheuring Rd	City: De Pere	State/Province: WISCONSIN
ZIP Code: 54115-1001		Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 626139810	+4:	CAGE Code: 089P2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3301 C St Ste 1900	City: Sacramento	State/Province: CALIFORNIA
ZIP Code: 95816-3394		Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 555418594	+4:	CAGE Code: 4NWH2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 300 N Lake Ave Ste 400		
City: Pasadena	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 91101-4169	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 167878474	+4:	CAGE Code: 78RJ0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 321 N Mall Dr Ste I 202		
City: St George	State/Province: UTAH	
ZIP Code: 84790-7323	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 081299741	+4:	CAGE Code: 88CZ1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/13/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5049 Edwards Ranch Rd Fl 4		
City: Fort Worth	State/Province: TEXAS	
ZIP Code: 76109-4133	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 929460061	+4:	CAGE Code: 62MG9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2225 E RANDOL MILL RD STE 530		
City: ARLINGTON	State/Province: TEXAS	
ZIP Code: 76011-6308	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 002727332	+4:	CAGE Code: 30HC9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 111 Grant Ave Ste 201		
City: Endicott	State/Province: NEW YORK	
ZIP Code: 13760-5444	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080128186	+4:	CAGE Code: 7JTL5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1110 Montlimar Dr Ste 160		
City: Mobile	State/Province: ALABAMA	
ZIP Code: 36609-1747	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 111443250	+4:	CAGE Code: 7B0P4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/01/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 111 E Victoria St		
City: Santa Barbara	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 93101-2018	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080410685	+4:	CAGE Code: 7QSG2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 400 Fairview Ave N Ste 620		
City: Seattle	State/Province: WASHINGTON	
ZIP Code: 98109-5371	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 808043173	+4:	CAGE Code: 4ZJ19 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 100 California St Ste 1000		
City: San Francisco	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 94111-4575	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 092708734	+4:	CAGE Code: 0DUT4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/15/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 4798 NEW BROAD ST STE 100		
City: ORLANDO	State/Province: FLORIDA	
ZIP Code: 32814-6436	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 788423408 +4:	CAGE Code: 1LTW3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/03/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd Ste 300 Floor 3 City: CHARLOTTE State/Province: NORTH CAROLINA ZIP Code: 28273-3555 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 143623978 +4:	CAGE Code: 0VLP3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3133 W Frye Rd Ste 300 City: Chandler State/Province: ARIZONA ZIP Code: 85226-5155 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 036136476 +4:	CAGE Code: 3ZJL9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/09/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3325 S Timberline Rd Ste 150 City: FORT COLLINS State/Province: COLORADO ZIP Code: 80525-3681 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 108866229 +4:	CAGE Code: 33DT1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5 Dartmouth Dr Ste 200 City: Auburn State/Province: NEW HAMPSHIRE ZIP Code: 03032-3984 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 120184408 +4:	CAGE Code: 4TWG7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/24/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 10000 Midlantic Dr Ste 300W City: Mount Laurel State/Province: NEW JERSEY ZIP Code: 08054-1520 Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078731413	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VK66 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 11320 Random Hills Rd Ste 600		
City: Fairfax	State/Province: VIRGINIA	
ZIP Code: 22030-6001	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 603672408	+4:	CAGE Code: 3AVR6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 621 W MALLON AVE STE 309		
City: SPOKANE	State/Province: WASHINGTON	
ZIP Code: 99201-2181	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079503735	+4:	CAGE Code: 0GSB3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 725 E Fireweed Ln Ste 200		
City: Anchorage	State/Province: ALASKA	
ZIP Code: 99503-2245	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 096103143	+4:	CAGE Code: 1WS84 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 6995 Sierra Center Pkwy		
City: Reno	State/Province: NEVADA	
ZIP Code: 89511-2213	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 623945839	+4:	CAGE Code: 0S7H8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 11687 Lebanon Rd		
City: Cincinnati	State/Province: OHIO	
ZIP Code: 45241-2012	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 627872641	+4:	CAGE Code: 1ESH6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2890 East Cottonwood Pkwy, Ste 300		
City: Salt Lake City	State/Province: UTAH	
ZIP Code: 84121-7283	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 071692750	+4:	CAGE Code: 010E7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1340 Treat Blvd Ste 525		
City: Walnut Creek	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 94597-7984	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 884013939	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VRW4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/21/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5778 W 74th St		
City: Indianapolis	State/Province: INDIANA	
ZIP Code: 46278-1754	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 081176999	+4:	CAGE Code: 83YL4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/16/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1601 Camino del Coronado		
City: Tucumcari	State/Province: NEW MEXICO	
ZIP Code: 88401-5001	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078731367	+4:	CAGE Code: 5R193 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 6110 Frost Pl		
City: Laurel	State/Province: MARYLAND	
ZIP Code: 20707-2927	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079299338	+4:	CAGE Code: 74VQ3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 150 Riverside Pkwy Ste 301		
City: Fredericksburg	State/Province: VIRGINIA	
ZIP Code: 22406-1094	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 062791066	+4:	CAGE Code: 021S9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/15/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 475 Riverstone Way Unit 3		
City: Fairbanks	State/Province: ALASKA	
ZIP Code: 99709-2945	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 869237024	+4:	CAGE Code: 1LWL7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 370 Interlocken Blvd Ste 300		
City: Broomfield	State/Province: COLORADO	
ZIP Code: 80021-8012	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080888652	+4:	CAGE Code: 7YVG3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/11/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 56 College St Ste 201		
City: Asheville	State/Province: NORTH CAROLINA	
ZIP Code: 28801-2848	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 196080865	+4:	CAGE Code: 1E9K0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 27280 Haggerty Rd Ste C-11		
City: Farmington Hills	State/Province: MICHIGAN	
ZIP Code: 48331-3433	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 791446953	+4:	CAGE Code: 4NWT6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 8200 E 34th Cir N Ste 1201		
City: Wichita	State/Province: KANSAS	
ZIP Code: 67226-1363	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078740234	+4:	CAGE Code: 0TA62 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 801 Jones Franklin Rd Ste 300		
City: Raleigh	State/Province: NORTH CAROLINA	
ZIP Code: 27606-3394	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 790430383	+4:	CAGE Code: 62K17 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 75 E SANTA CLARA ST STE 1225		
City: SAN JOSE	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 95113-1836	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079299351	+4:	CAGE Code: 5WNP8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/18/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 6800 College Blvd Ste 750		
City: Overland Park	State/Province: KANSAS	
ZIP Code: 66211-1855	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 836784876	+4:	CAGE Code: 1LAG8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 9665 Granite Ridge Dr Ste 220		
City: San Diego	State/Province: CALIFORNIA	
ZIP Code: 92123-2636	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078319178 +4:	CAGE Code: 6Q459 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/04/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2632 47th St S Ste 103 City: Fargo ZIP Code: 58104-8571	State/Province: NORTH DAKOTA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 079299331 +4:	CAGE Code: 0SGT3 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 5209 Center St City: Williamsburg ZIP Code: 23188-2680	State/Province: VIRGINIA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 883505091 +4:	CAGE Code: 6RHR6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1060 Andrew Dr Ste 140 City: West Chester ZIP Code: 19380-5602	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078731442 +4:	CAGE Code: 3KPX0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 111 Elkins St City: Fairmont ZIP Code: 26554-4021	State/Province: WEST VIRGINIA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 611650743 +4:	CAGE Code: 4FX22 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/28/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 38 Technology Dr Ste 100 City: Irvine ZIP Code: 92618-5312	State/Province: CALIFORNIA Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078721820	+4:	CAGE Code: 6VNP0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 6900 Professional Pkwy E City: Sarasota State/Province: FLORIDA ZIP Code: 34240-8414 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 059794260	+4:	CAGE Code: 7VKF0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 60 Columbia Rd Bldg B Ste 130 City: Morristown State/Province: NEW JERSEY ZIP Code: 07960-4535 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 081033023	+4:	CAGE Code: 82DH8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/11/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 67 Cedar St Ste 101 City: Providence State/Province: RHODE ISLAND ZIP Code: 02903-1042 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 116923739	+4:	CAGE Code: 88E23 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 11/14/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2963 Yorkton Blvd Ste C City: Little Canada State/Province: MINNESOTA ZIP Code: 55117-2089 Country: UNITED STATES		
ENTITY	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 157103461	+4:	CAGE Code: 52NZ7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/06/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 30661 Red Rock Ct City: Logan State/Province: OHIO ZIP Code: 43138-9672 Country: UNITED STATES		

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 007186310 +4:	CAGE Code: 4FXY6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/03/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 290 Conejo Ridge Ave Ste 100 City: Thousand Oaks State/Province: CALIFORNIA ZIP Code: 91361-4972 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 830488784 +4:	CAGE Code: 6VDF1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 05/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 733 Marquette Ave Ste 1000 City: Minneapolis State/Province: MINNESOTA ZIP Code: 55402-2314 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080955072 +4:	CAGE Code: 7ZYF7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/12/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 376 Hartnell Ave Ste B City: Redding State/Province: CALIFORNIA ZIP Code: 96002-1881 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080522067 +4:	CAGE Code: 1E7K6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/12/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1687 114th Ave Se Ste 100 City: Bellevue State/Province: WASHINGTON ZIP Code: 98004-6965 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 080672115 +4:	CAGE Code: 7VEU0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 801 S Figueroa St Ste 300 City: Los Angeles State/Province: CALIFORNIA ZIP Code: 90017-3007 Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 809170058 +4:	CAGE Code: 1JA62 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/12/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2211 Congress St Ste 380 City: Portland ZIP Code: 04102-1955	State/Province: MAINE Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 831657379 +4:	CAGE Code: 5PQS0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 10/02/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 400 Davis Dr Ste 400 City: Plymouth Meeting ZIP Code: 19462-1718	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 611784323 +4:	CAGE Code: 30WM6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 601 Grassmere Park Rd Ste 22 City: Nashville ZIP Code: 37211-3681	State/Province: TENNESSEE Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 076601632 +4:	CAGE Code: 35NG2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 12/09/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 65 Network Dr Fl 2 City: Burlington ZIP Code: 01803-2767	State/Province: MASSACHUSETTS Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 078280654 +4:	CAGE Code: 6VRV6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/11/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 12075 Corporate Pkwy Ste 200 City: Mequon ZIP Code: 53092-2649	State/Province: WISCONSIN Country: UNITED STATES

ENTITY Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Status: Active

DUNS: 080168569

+4:

CAGE Code: 7KH40

DoDAAC:

Expiration Date: 08/14/2021

Has Active Exclusion?: No

Debt Subject to Offset?: No

Address: 1905 Aldrich St Ste 300

City: Austin

ZIP Code: 78723-3544

State/Province: TEXAS

Country: UNITED STATES

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : Accura Engineering And Consulting Services, Inc.*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY	Accura Engineering And Consulting Services, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 168562267	+4:	CAGE Code: 534H9 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 3200 Presidential Dr		
City: ATLANTA	State/Province: GEORGIA	
ZIP Code: 30340-3910	Country: UNITED STATES	

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : AULICK ENGINEERING LLC*
Record Status: Active

No Search Results

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 928819788 +4: CAGE Code: 6JF81 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 06/18/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 4660 S HAGADORN RD STE 315 City: EAST LANSING State/Province: MICHIGAN ZIP Code: 48823-5353 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 152131926 +4: CAGE Code: 6GZA1 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 400 ONE NORWEGIAN PLZ City: POTTSVILLE State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA ZIP Code: 17901-3060 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 154103543 +4: CAGE Code: 6JDF6 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 3226 KIMBALL AVE City: MANHATTAN State/Province: KANSAS ZIP Code: 66503-2157 Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 617566245 +4: CAGE Code: 6JJP8 DoDAAC:	
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021 Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No	
Address: 1300 W CANAL ST STE 150 City: MILWAUKEE State/Province: WISCONSIN ZIP Code: 53233-2669 Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY	Alfred Benesch & Company	Status: Active
DUNS: 049812563	+4:	CAGE Code: 3NXR0 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 35 West Wacker Dr Ste 3300		
City: Chicago	State/Province: ILLINOIS	
ZIP Code: 60601-1739	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 083274303	+4:	CAGE Code: 6JDE2 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 250 CETRONIA RD STE 100		
City: ALLENTOWN	State/Province: PENNSYLVANIA	
ZIP Code: 18104-9147	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 079951766	+4:	CAGE Code: 4UC13 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 615 Griswold Ste 600		
City: Detroit	State/Province: MICHIGAN	
ZIP Code: 48226-3981	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 078654607	+4:	CAGE Code: 3L9E6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 7979 E TUFTS AVE STE 800		
City: DENVER	State/Province: COLORADO	
ZIP Code: 80237-2899	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY	Status: Active
DUNS: 612583500	+4:	CAGE Code: 6JDH1 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 06/19/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 14748 W CENTER RD STE 200		
City: OMAHA	State/Province: NEBRASKA	
ZIP Code: 68144-2029	Country: UNITED STATES	

ENTITY ALFRED BENESCH & COMPANY

Status: Active

DUNS: 963381053

+4:

CAGE Code: 0SLR7

DoDAAC:

Expiration Date: 06/18/2021

Has Active Exclusion?: No

Debt Subject to Offset?: No

Address: 825 M ST STE 100

City: LINCOLN

ZIP Code: 68508-2246

State/Province: NEBRASKA

Country: UNITED STATES

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : HEATH & LINEBACK ENGINEERS INC*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY	HEATH & LINEBACK ENGINEERS INC	Status: Active
DUNS: 933303059	+4:	CAGE Code: 050Y5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 08/10/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2390 CANTON RD BLDG 200		
City: MARIETTA	State/Province: GEORGIA	
ZIP Code: 30066-5393	Country: UNITED STATES	

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : McKim & Creed, Inc.*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY McKim & Creed, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 046939948 +4:	CAGE Code: 0G1S5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 02/27/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1730 Varsity Dr Ste 500	
City: Raleigh	State/Province: NORTH CAROLINA
ZIP Code: 27606-2689	Country: UNITED STATES

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : MC SQUARED INC*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY MC SQUARED, INC.	Status: Active
DUNS: 779947535 +4:	CAGE Code: 8CJA5 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 03/05/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1275 Shiloh Rd NW Ste 2620	
City: Kennesaw	State/Province: GEORGIA
ZIP Code: 30144-7180	Country: UNITED STATES

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : MASER CONSULTING PA*
Record Status: Active

No Search Results


SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : United Consulting LLC*
Record Status: Active

No Search Results

**STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
NOTICE OF PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT QUALIFICATION**

You are qualified to provide Consulting Services to the Department of Transportation for the area-classes of work checked below. Notice of qualification is not a notice of selection.

NAME AND ADDRESS	DISPOSITION DATE	EXPIRATION DATE
STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. 2310 ParkLake Drive, NE, STE. 400, Atlanta, GA 30345-2915	May 14, 2020	December 14, 2020
SIGNATURE		
		
1. Transportation Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.01 State Wide Systems Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.02 Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning <input type="checkbox"/> 1.03 Aviation Systems Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.04 Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.05 Alternate System and Corridor Location Planning <input type="checkbox"/> 1.06 Unknown <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06a NEPA Documentation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06b History <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06c Air Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06d Noise Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06e Ecology <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06f Archaeology <input type="checkbox"/> 1.06g Freshwater Aquatic Surveys <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06h Bat Surveys <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.07 Attitude, Opinion and Community Value Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 1.08 Airport Master Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.09 Location Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.10 Traffic Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.11 Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 1.12 Major Investment Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.13 Non-Motorized Transportation Planning	3. Highway Design Roadway (continued) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.09 Traffic Control System Analysis, Design and Implementation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.10 Utility Coordination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.11 Architecture <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.12 Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.13 Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians <input type="checkbox"/> 3.14 Historic Rehabilitation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.15 Highway Lighting <input type="checkbox"/> 3.16 Value Engineering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.17 Design of Toll Facilities Infrastructure	4. Highway Structures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.01a Minor Bridges Design <input type="checkbox"/> 4.01b Minor Bridges Design CONDITIONAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.02 Major Bridges Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.03 Movable Span Bridges Design <input type="checkbox"/> 4.04 Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.05 Bridge Inspection
2. Mass Transit Operations <input type="checkbox"/> 2.01 Mass Transit Program (Systems) Management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.02 Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 2.03 Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.04 Mass Transit Controls, Communications and Information Systems <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.05 Mass Transit Architectural Engineering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.06 Mass Transit Unique Structures <input type="checkbox"/> 2.07 Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical Systems <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.08 Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services <input type="checkbox"/> 2.09 Aviation <input type="checkbox"/> 2.10 Mass Transit Program (Systems) Marketing	5. Topography <input type="checkbox"/> 5.01 Land Surveying <input type="checkbox"/> 5.02 Engineering Surveying <input type="checkbox"/> 5.03 Geodetic Surveying <input type="checkbox"/> 5.04 Aerial Photography <input type="checkbox"/> 5.05 Aerial Photogrammetry <input type="checkbox"/> 5.06 Topographic Remote Sensing <input type="checkbox"/> 5.07 Cartography <input type="checkbox"/> 5.08 Subsurface Utility Engineering	6. Soils, Foundation & Materials Testing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6.01a Soil Surveys <input type="checkbox"/> 6.01b Geological and Geophysical Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6.02 Bridge Foundation Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 6.03 Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Soils and Foundation) <input type="checkbox"/> 6.04a Laboratory Materials Testing <input type="checkbox"/> 6.04b Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6.05 Hazard Waste Site Assessment Studies
3. Highway Design Roadway <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.01 Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Rural Generally Free Access Highway Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.02 Two-Lane or multi-Lane with Curb and Gutter Generally Free Access Highways Design Including Storm Sewers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.03 Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Widening and Reconstruction, with Curb and Gutter and Storm Sewers in Heavily Developed Commercial Industrial and Residential Urban Areas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.04 Multi-Lane, Limited Access Expressway Type Highway Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.05 Design of Urban Expressway and Interstate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.06 Traffic Operations Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.07 Traffic Operations Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.08 Landscape Architecture	8. Construction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8.01 Construction Supervision	9. Erosion and Sedimentation Control <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9.01 Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control and Comprehensive Monitoring Program <input type="checkbox"/> 9.02 Rainfall and Runoff Reporting <input type="checkbox"/> 9.03 Field Inspections for Compliance of Erosion and Sedimentation Control Devices Installations