DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
STATE OF GEORGIA

INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

February 6, 2015

RFQ #: 484-111414
RFQ Title: Engineering Design Services, Batch 3-2014, Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

FROM: Darlene Parker, Transportation Services Procurement Manager

TO: Treasury Young, Procurement Administrator

SUBJECT: **Ranking Approval**

The Office of Procurement's Transportation Services Procurement Section has reviewed and evaluated Statements of Qualifications, Technical Approach, and Past Performance for the above referenced project.

Attached for your review is one (1) set of the following:

- Advertisement and all Addendums
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase I
- GDOT Guide for Selection Committee Members (Phase I and II)
- Preliminary Ratings and Comments from Evaluators
- Selection Committee Ratings for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection Committee Comments for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection of Finalists Notification and Notice to Selected Finalists
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase II
- Selection Committee Overall Ratings for Phase I and Phase II
- Selection Committee Comments for Finalists – Phase II
- Past Performance Reference Checks and any available additional documentation
- Verification of Non-Debarment from SAM Website for Intended Awardee
- Prequalification Certificate for Intended Awardee

This approval is for Batch 3-2014, Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-. The five (5) highest firms in order of ranking are as follows:

1. **URS Corporation**
2. **Gresham, Smith and Partners**
3. **Wolverton & Associates, Inc.**
4. **RS&H, Inc.**
5. **Michael Baker Jr., Inc.**

The Selection Committee recommends the selection of the top ranked firm, URS Corporation.

Concurrence with Award from Responsible Division Director:

Joe Carpenter, Division Director of P3/Program Delivery

Certification Procurement Requirements Met:

Treasury Young, Procurement Administrator

DJP:kcm

Attachments

Date Posted: 10/15/2014

Georgia Department of Transportation

Request for Qualifications

To Provide

Engineering Design Services – (B3-2014)

RFQ-484-111414
Qualifications Due: November 14, 2014

Georgia Department of Transportation
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
484-111414

Engineering Design Services
Batch #3 (B3-2014)

I. General Project Information

A. Overview

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is soliciting Statements of Qualifications (SOQ) from qualified firm(s) to provide Engineering Design Consultant Services for the projects listed below (note that certain projects may be grouped with other projects and awarded as one (1) contract) each:

Contract	Count(ies)	PI/Project #	Project Description
1	Cobb	0006048	I-285 WEST @ SR 280
2	Floyd	0000401	Intersection Improvements at four locations along SR 101
3	Oconee	122660-	Widening of SR 24/US 441 FM N of Apalachee River to Watkinsville Bypass
4	Washington	245080-	SR 15 Bypass From SR 15 to SR 242 E of Tennille
5	Gwinnett	132986-	Sr 120/Duluth Highway @ Singleton Creek 1.5 Mi E Of Duluth
6	Cobb, Douglas	0010821	Sr 6 From I-20 Wb To Sr 6 Spur - Truck Friendly Lanes
7	Henry	0007855	Sr 42 From Downtown Mcdonough To Sr 138

This Request for Qualifications (RFQ) seeks to identify potential providers for the Scope of Services for each project/contract listed in Exhibit I. Firms that respond to this RFQ, and are determined by GDOT to be sufficiently qualified, may be deemed eligible, and invited to offer written plan proposals and/or possibly present and/or interview for these services. All respondents to this RFQ are subject to instructions communicated in this document, and are cautioned to completely review the entire RFQ and follow instructions carefully. GDOT reserves the right to reject any or all Statements of Qualifications or Technical Proposals, and to waive technicalities and informalities at the discretion of GDOT.

B. IMPORTANT - A RESTRICTION OF COMMUNICATION IS IN EFFECT FOR THIS PROJECT.

From the advertisement date of this solicitation until successful respondents are selected and the award is made official and announced, firms are not allowed to communicate about this solicitation or scope with any staff of GDOT including the Commissioner and GDOT Board Members, except for the submission of questions as instructed in the RFQ, or with the contact designated in **RFQ Section VIII.C.**, or as provided by any existing work agreement(s). For violation of this provision, GDOT reserves the right to reject the submittal of the offending respondent.

C. The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 15% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/ protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
Equal Opportunity Division
One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Scope of Services

Under the terms of the resulting Agreements, the selected Consultants will provide full engineering design services, as well as all associated engineering related services for the GDOT Projects identified. The anticipated scope of work for each project/contract is included in **Exhibit I-7**.

In addition, GDOT desires that the Consultant have the ability to provide, either with its own forces or through a sub-consultant team member, comprehensive services necessary to fulfill all preliminary engineering services which may arise during the project cycle.

E. Contract Term and Type

GDOT anticipates one (1) Multi-Phase, Project Specific Contract to be awarded to one (1) firm, for each project/contract identified. GDOT anticipates that the Contract Type will be paid via Firm Fixed Price and/or Cost Plus Fixed Fee methodology. As Project Specific contracts, it is the Department's intention that the Agreements will remain in effect until successful completion of the preliminary engineering phase of the projects, and may choose to utilize the selected Consultant for use on construction revisions as necessary.

F. Contract Amount

The Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract amounts will be determined via negotiations with the Department. If the Department is unable to reach agreement on reasonable rates to be paid for the services to be provided, the Department reserves the right to terminate negotiations with the highest scoring finalist and begin negotiations with the next highest scoring finalist.

II. Selection Method

A. Method of Communication

All general communication of relevant information regarding this solicitation will be made via the Georgia Procurement Registry (GPR) under RFQ-484-111414. All firms are responsible for checking the GPR on a regular basis for updates, clarifications, and announcements. GDOT reserves the right to communicate via electronic-mail with the primary contact listed in the Statements of Qualifications. Other specific communications will be made as indicated in the remainder of this RFQ.

B. Phase I - Selection of Finalists

Based on the Statements of Qualifications submitted in response to the projects/contracts listed in this RFQ, the Selection Committee will review the **Experience and Qualifications** and **Resources and Workload Capacity** listed in **Section IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I**. The Selection Committee will discuss the top submittals and the final rankings of the top submittals will be determined. From the final rankings of the top submittals, the Selection Committee will identify three (3) to five (5) firms which will be shortlisted.

All firms must meet the minimum requirements as listed in **Section IV.A.** below.

C. Finalist Notification for Phase II

Firms selected and shortlisted as finalists will receive notification and final instructions from GDOT regarding the **Phase II - Suitability** response.

D. Phase II - Finalists Response on Technical Approach and Past Performance

GDOT will request a written proposal of the three (3) to five (5) finalist firms for each project/contract. GDOT reserves the right to request a presentation/interview on any project/contract as determined in its best interests; however, this additional requirement shall typically be reserved for the most complex projects. Each finalist firm shall be notified in writing and informed of the proposal due date. Any additional detailed proposal instructions and requirements, beyond that provided in **Section V. Selection Criteria for Phase II**, for the finalists will be provided in the Finalist Notification. All members of the Selection Committee will review the written proposal (and will attend the presentation/interview if so chosen). **Firms shall not address any questions, prior to the award announcement, to anyone other than the designated contact.**

E. Final Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. The Selection Committee will discuss the Finalist's Phase II Responses and the final rankings will be determined.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm(s) to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract(s), including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm(s), GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

III. Schedule of Events

The following Schedule of Events represents GDOT's best estimate of the Schedule that will be followed. All times indicated are prevailing times in Atlanta, Georgia. GDOT reserves the right to adjust the Schedule as GDOT deems necessary.

PHASE I	DATE	TIME
a. GDOT issues public advertisement of **RFQ-484-111414**	10/15/2014	----------
b. Deadline for submission of written questions and requests for clarification	11/7/2014	2:00 PM
c. Deadline for submission of Statements of Qualifications	11/14/2014	2:00 PM
d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms	TBD	
PHASE II		
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	TBD	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	TBD	TBA

IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I - Criteria for Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

A. Area Class Requirements and Certification

Presented teams must be prequalified in the indicated Area Class(es) in order to be evaluated. Required proof of prequalification shall be submitted as indicated in **Section VI.B.4.** below. All Submittals will be pre-screened to verify that the Prime consultant has the required Area Class(es) and that the overall team has the required Area Class(es). Any submittal in which the Prime consultant or the overall team area class requirements are not met will be disqualified from further consideration.

Each submittal will require a certification to allow the Department to analyze risks in determining if any Firm should be ineligible for award. The certification shall cover a wide variety of information. Any firm which responds in any potentially concerning manner must provide additional information as directed herein for consideration by GDOT to determine if Firm is eligible for award.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 20%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Experience and Qualifications, which shall account for a total of twenty (20%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring Phase I of the evaluation will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

- Project Manager education, registration, relevant engineering experience, relevant project management experience, experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
- Key Team Leaders' education, registration, relevant technical experience, and relevant experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
- Prime Consultant's experience for the previous five (5) years in delivering projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.

C. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 30%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Resources availability and Workload Capacity which shall account for a total of thirty (30%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring the Resources and Workload Capacity will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

- Project Manager Workload
- Workload capacity of Key Team Leader(s)
- Resources dedicated to delivering project
- Ability to Meet Project Schedule

V. Selection Criteria for Phase II - Criteria for Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

A. Technical Approach – 40%

The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms (Finalists) on their Technical Approach, which shall account for a total of forty (40%) percent. The Selection Committee shall utilize the following additional criteria for scoring Phase II of the evaluation to determine the highest ranked/most qualified **(NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward and combined with the scores from the Phase II to determine the final ranking of Finalists)**:

- Technical approach to delivering the project (including design concepts and use of alternative methods).
- Provide any specific qualifications, skills, or knowledge which your firm has which could benefit the project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. Past Performance – 10%

The Selection Committee may consider information provided via references provided for relevant projects, knowledge any selection committee member has of performance on relevant projects, and performance evaluations or knowledge presented on GDOT projects. The Selection Committee will consider all factors in their totality when arriving at a final score for the Past Performance.

VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response

The Statements of Qualifications for each project/contract submittal must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section VIII, and must be organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.

Cover page – Each project/contract submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each submittal for each project/contract and each must list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, Count(ies), and Description.

A. Administrative Requirements

It is required to submit the information below for each copy of each submittal for each project. This is general information and will not be scored but may be used to determine eligibility for selection.

1. Basic company information:

   a. Company name.
   b. Company Headquarter Address.
   c. Contact Information - Name and all contact information (telephone number(s) and e-mail address) of primary proposing contact (this will be the individual with whom the Department will direct all communications).
   d. Company website (if available).
   e. Georgia Addresses - Identify and provide addresses for the offices located in the State of Georgia.
   f. Staff - List the number and disciplines of staff members employed in each office in the State of Georgia.
   g. Ownership - Provide form of ownership, including state of residency or incorporation, and number of years in business. Is the Offeror a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability Corporation, or other structure?

2. Certification Form - Complete the Certification Form (*Exhibit "II" enclosed with RFQ*), and provide a notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
3. Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit – Complete the form *(Exhibit "III" enclosed with RFQ),* and provide a notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
4. Addenda - Signed cover page of any Addenda issued for the Prime **ONLY**.

B. Experience and Qualifications

1. Project Manager - Provide information pertaining to the project manager, including but not limited to:

   a. Education.
   b. Registration (if necessary and applicable.)

c. Relevant engineering experience.
d. Relevant project management experience for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function (no more than five (5) projects).
e. Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance (Plan Development Process, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.).

This information is limited to two pages maximum.

2. Key Team Leaders - Provide experience of Key Team Leaders (defined as those individuals who oversee project areas determined as particularly important to each specific project) (refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I, specifically Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Project). For each Key Team Leader identified provide:

   a. Education.
   b. Registration (if necessary and applicable.)
   c. Relevant experience in the applicable resource area (on no more than three (3) of the most relevant projects).
   d. Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.) which are specific to the key resource area.

   This information is limited to one page maximum for each Key Team Leader identified in Section 7 of each Exhibit I. Respondents submitting more than one page for each Key Team Leader identified will be subject to disqualification.

3. Prime Experience - Provide information on the prime's experience and ability in delivering effective services for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function for the previous five (5) years. Describe no more than five (5) projects, in order of most relevant to least relevant, which demonstrate the firm's capabilities to provide services for GDOT. For each project, the following information should be provided:

   a. Client name, project location and dates during which services were performed.
   b. Description of overall project and services performed by your firm.
   c. Duration of project services provided by your firm, and overall project budget.
   d. Experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.)
   e. Client(s) current contact information including contact names and telephone numbers.
   f. Involvement of Key Team Leaders on the projects.

   This information is limited to two pages maximum.

4. Area Class Summary Form and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications - Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. Prime Consultants and their subconsultant team members must meet the Area Class requirements listed in Exhibit I for each project on which they apply. In regards to the required Area Classes, for each project/contract on which they apply, respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in Exhibit IV) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes and firm's meeting the area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. If a team member's prequalification will expire prior to the due date of the SOQs, documentation must be provided which shows that the firm has submitted its application for prequalification prior to the SOQ due date. The team must maintain its prequalification certification in order to be considered eligible for award if selected. **Additionally, respondents should submit the Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications (for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants for each project) issued by GDOT and attach after the Area Class summary form.**

   This information is limited to the one page for the Area Class table (unless the project needs require an extensive list of area classes) and the required Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications.

C. Resources/Workload Capacity

1. Overall Resources - Provide information regarding the overall resources dedicated to delivering the specific project, including:

   a. Organizational chart which identifies the project manager, prime, Key Team Leaders, support personnel, and reporting structure.
   b. Primary Office - Identify and discuss the primary office which will be responsible for handling the specific project and the number and types of staff within the office and how this office could benefit the project and promote efficiency.
   c. Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability – Respondents are also allowed one page to provide information regarding additional resource areas identified as important to the project, to discuss how the key areas will integrate and work together on the project, to discuss any information which is pertinent to these areas, to provide a narrative regarding how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. (GDOT recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project loads.) Respondents may discuss the advantages of your team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule as identified in **Exhibit I-7** (where applicable). If there is no proposed schedule, discuss the advantages of the team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. **Respondents submitting more than the one additional page allowed, will be subject to disqualification.**

2. Project Manager Commitment Table - Provide a list of ALL projects (GDOT, other governments and private contracts – Information may be validated and any firm determined not to be listing all projects may be subject to disqualification) on which the proposed project manager is currently committed, to enable the Department to ascertain the project manager's availability. Utilize a table similar to the following format with a minimum of all criteria indicated to provide the requested information:

Project Manager	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of PM on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

3. Key Team Leader Project Commitment Table - Provide a table similar to the below, with a minimum of all criteria indicated, which identifies ALL projects the Key Team Leaders (refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I-7**, specifically **Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Project) are committed on to enable the Department to ascertain the available capacity.

Key Team Leader	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of Key Team Leader on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

This information is limited to the organization chart, one page of text (for the Primary Office and Narrative on Ability discussion), and the tables.

VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response

The following information will only be requested of the shortlisted firms. The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms using the information provided as requested below (NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward to Phase II). Please note that each project/contract will follow an individual schedule which meets the availability of each Selection Committee. For this reason, the Notice to Finalists and resulting Phase II responses may be on different schedules for each project/contract. If a firm is a Finalist on multiple projects/contracts, the Phase II responses should be considered as separate responses which shall be prepared and submitted separately.

The Phase II response must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section IX, and must be organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.

Phase II Cover page – Each project/contract submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each Phase II submittal for each project/contract and each must indicate the response is for Phase II, list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, Count(ies), and Description.

A. Technical Approach

Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project.

This information will be limited to a maximum of three pages.

B. Past Performance

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Past performance may be evaluated through the checking of project references for the proposed project manager as well as the firm. The Department will check these references at random. For this reason, attention should be paid to the references provided to ensure that the contact information provided is accurate and the individual references are reachable. Other past performance information which may be utilized includes GDOT Consultant performance ratings as well as knowledge that any member of the Selecton Committee has pertaining to the past performance of the firm on any project.

VIII. Instructions for Submittal for Phase I - Statements of Qualifications

A. For each project/contract which is being sought by the firm, there are two (2) submittals required. Submittal #1 must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VI**, entitled **Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response.** Respondents must submit one original and five identical copies for all projects being sought. Submittal #2 is an electronic version of Submittal #1 which allows for GDOT to maintain the files electronically. The original and each copy of each

Submittal #1 should be stapled separately. For each project/contract response, the original and each copy of Submittal #1 should be bound together using a binder clip or other similar fashion which allows the individual copies to be separated and distributed easily to Selection Committee Members. If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each separately bound project/contract may be submitted in a single package (boxed, enveloped, or other). See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.

B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section and should be double-sided using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. For example, a piece of paper which has print on both sides, shall be considered two pages while a piece of paper with print on only one side would be considered a single page. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Fancy bindings, colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section **should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification**.

Submittals must be sealed in an opaque envelope or box, and reference **RFQ-484-111414 and the words "STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS"** must be clearly indicated on the outside of all of the envelopes or boxes. Statements of Qualifications **must be physically received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in the Schedule of Events (*Section III of RFQ*) at the exact address below:

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Attention: Karen Mims
Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center, 19th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

Statements of Qualifications submitted via facsimile or e-mail will be rejected. All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

C. **Questions and Requests for Clarification**

Questions about any aspect of the RFQ, or the project, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Karen Mims, e-mail: kmims@dot.ga.gov.** The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the RFQ are the times and dates shown in the (**Schedule of Events- Section III**). From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B.**

IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response

THESE INSTRUCTIONS ARE INTENDED SOLELY FOR THOSE FIRMS IDENTIFIED AND NOTIFIED AS FINALISTS. Final Instructions will be provided to the Finalists in the notification.

Please note that each project/contract will follow an individual schedule which meets the availability of each Selection Committee. For this reason, the Notice to Finalists and resulting Phase II responses may be on different schedules for each project/contract.

A. There are two (2) submitals required. Submittal #1 must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VII**, entitled **Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response.** Respondents must submit one original and five identical copies for the project for which they have been identified as a Finalist. Submittal #2 is an electronic version of Submittal #1 which allows for GDOT to maintain the files electronically. The original and each copy of each Submittal #1 should be stapled separately. For each project/contract response, the original and each copy of Submittal #1 should be bound together using a binder clip or other similar fashion which allows the individual copies to be separated and distributed easily to Selection Committee Members. In the event that the firm has been identified as a Finalist on more than one project/contract, and the due date and time for the Phase II response is the same and a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each separately bound project/contract may be submitted in a single package (boxed, enveloped, or other.)

B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section and should be double-sided using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. For example, a piece of paper which has print on both sides, shall be considered two pages while a piece of paper with print on only one side would be considered a single page. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Fancy bindings, colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section **should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification**.

C. Submittals must be sealed in an opaque envelope or box, and reference **RFQ-484-111414 and the words "PHASE II RESPONSE"** must be clearly indicated on the outside of all of the envelopes or boxes. Statements of Qualifications **must be physically received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in the Notice to Finalists at the exact address below:

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Attention: Karen Mims
Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center, 19th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

Responses submitted via facsimile or e-mail will be rejected. All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

D. **Questions and Requests for Clarification**

Questions about any aspect of the Phase II Response for Finalists, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Karen Mims, e-mail: kmims@dot.ga.gov. or as directed in the Notice to Finalists, if different.** The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the Phase II Response will be identified in the Notice to Finalists.

From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B.**

X. GDOT Terms and Conditions

A. Statement of Agreement

With the submission of a SOQ, the respondent agrees that he/she has carefully examined the Request for Qualifications, and agrees that it is the respondent's responsibility to request clarification on any issues in any section of the Request for Qualifications with which the respondent disagrees or needs clarified. The respondent also understands that failure to mention these items during the question period or in the SOQ will be interpreted to mean that the respondent is in full agreement with the terms, conditions, specifications and requirements in the therein. With submission of a SOQ, the respondent hereby certifies: (a) that this SOQ is genuine and is not made in the interest or on behalf of any undisclosed person, firm, or corporation; (b) that respondent has not directly or indirectly included or solicited any other respondent to put in a false or insincere SOQ; (c) that respondent has not solicited or induced any person, firm, or corporation to refrain from sending a SOQ.

B. Joint-Venture Proposals, Sub-Consultants, and Vendors

GDOT does not generally desire to enter into "joint-venture" agreements with multiple firms. In the event two or more firms desire to "joint-venture", it is strongly recommended that one incorporated firm propose and maintain status as the Program Management firm with the remaining firms participating as major firms. Any joint-venture, proposed and established as a separate business entity, should have its own set of books and supporting documentation sufficient for an audit trail. Transactions should be recorded consistent with the joint-venture agreement, and care must be taken to ensure that the joint-venture bears its equitable share of the costs. Therefore, "unpopulated joint-ventures" would not have an adequate accounting system suitable for cost reimbursement contracts.

However more traditional "populated joint-ventures" are welcomed. A populated joint-venture is where an alliance is brought to life by infusing it with working capital, employees, and control systems. The alliance implements all necessary business systems, including payroll processing, purchasing, property control, etc. The alliance will develop its own indirect rate structure and calculates its own indirect cost rates, based on the direct and indirect costs it incurs.

Sub-Consultants shall generally be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically requires prequalification, which is subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as costs. Sub-Consultant Team Members must be written into the resulting Agreement and are subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement. Vendors shall be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically does not require prequalification, which is not subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as direct expenses. Vendors may not be written into the resulting Agreement and may not be subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement.

C. Non-Discrimination and DBE Requirements

The Georgia Department of Transportation in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 78 Stat. 252, 42 USC 2000d--42 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, part 21, Nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all proposers that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, minority business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 15% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/ protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
Equal Opportunity Division
One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Audit and Accounting System Requirements

GDOT reserves the right to reject any proposal with firms that do not meet the following requirements:

1. Firm(s) should have an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
2. Any firm that currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding $250,000 should have submitted their yearly CPA overhead audit **no later than 180 days after the close of the firm's fiscal year**.
3. Firm(s) should have no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
4. The prime is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

E. Submittal Costs and Confidentiality

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the respondent submitting the response. The Department is not obligated to any respondent to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of the Department. Labeling information provided in submittals as "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until a final award.

F. Award Conditions

This request is not an offer to contract or a solicitation of bids. This request and any proposal submitted in response, regardless of whether the proposal is determined to be the best proposal, is not binding upon the Department and does not obligate the Department to procure or contract for any services. Neither the Department nor any respondent submitting a response will be bound unless and until a written contract mutually accepted by both parties is negotiated as to its terms and conditions and is signed by the Department and a respondent containing such terms and conditions as are negotiated between those parties. The Department reserves the right to waive non-compliance with any requirements of this Request for Qualifications and to reject any or all proposals submitted in responses. Upon review of responses, the Department will determine the respondent(s) proposal that in the sole judgment of the Department is in the best interest of the Department (if any is so determined), with respect to the evaluation criteria stated herein. The Department then intends to conduct negotiations with such respondent(s) to determine if an acceptable contract may be reached.

G. Debriefings

In lieu of Pre-Award and Post-Award debriefings, it shall be the Department's policy to provide the "Selection Package" at the time of the Selection Announcement (also referred to as the Announcement of Entering into Negotiations). The "Selection Package" will include the scores and comments of phases for all firms who responded and will typically be provided as a PDF file and e-mailed. Previously, pre-award debriefings only provided the scores and comments of the firm. It shall be the policy of the Department that all debriefings will typically be conducted in writing.

H. Right to Cancel or Change RFQ

GDOT reserves the right to cancel any and all Request for Qualifications where it is determined to be in the best interest of the Department to do so. GDOT reserves the right to increase, reduce, add or delete any item in this solicitation as deemed necessary.

It is the responsibility of all firms interested in submitting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) for this advertisement to routinely check the posting on the Georgia Procurement Registry for any revisions to this RFQ.

I. Substitutions, Alternates, Exceptions, and Extensions

No substitutions or alternates will be accepted for this solicitation. Any respondent submitting substitutions or alternates will be considered non-responsive and will not be considered for award.

J. GDOT Code of Conduct Pertaining to Conflict of Interest in the Award and Administration of Contracts

Pursuant to GDOT Policy 3A-17, any GDOT employee who leaves the employment of the Department and subsequently becomes employed with a consultant firm and whose duties while employed with the Department included the direct involvement with the negotiation, administration, or management of a contract in which the firm is either the primary consultant or a subconsultant **SHALL NOT** be authorized to work on that contract as an employee of that firm for a period of one (1) year after their employment ends. Additionally, on July 1st of each year, any consultant firm that is under contract with the Department as a prime or sub consultant shall provide to the Department's Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) a current list of all former Department employees employed by the firm and a document that certifies the responsibilities of those employees as it relates to the current contracts with the Department. This certification document shall attest to the fact that over the last year no former Department employee that is employed by their firm has worked on a contract between the Department and their firm where that employee, when employed by the Department, had direct involvement with the selection, award and/or administration of the consultant contract. Any consultant firm entering into a contract with the Department for the first time as a prime or sub consultant shall provide the initial required list of former Department employees and certification prior to the contract effective date. If the Department's CPO determines at any point during a contract that an actual conflict exists as it relates to the above paragraph, then the CPO shall have the authority to issue a stop work order on that contract.

REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

EXHIBIT I-1

Project/Contract 1

1. **Project Numbers:** **NA**
2. **PI Numbers:** **0006048**
3. **County(ies):** **Cobb**
4. **Description:** **I-285 West @ SR 280**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit the "Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications" for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The Notice must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design
3.03	Multi-Lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction
3.05	Multi-Lane Urban Interstate Limited Access Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, & Community Value Studies
1.09	Location Studies
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Design
3.15	Highway Lighting
4.01	Minor Bridge Design
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing

5.07	Cartography
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The proposed project would improve the I-285 at SR 280 (South Cobb Drive) Interchange.

The scope of work for this project will include concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All phases of this project should proceed using the guidance established in the GDOT Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services.

Task Order No. 1 is expected to be for Concept Report Approval including all activities required for approval. These activities include Survey, Traffic Analysis, History, Ecology Survey Reports, Initial Concept Team Meeting, and Concept Team Meeting (pending negotiation discussions):

A. Concept Report:

1. Field Surveys (using the guidance provided in the GDOT Survey Manual).
2. Traffic Studies.
3. Cost estimates.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report current format.
6. Concept Design Data Book.

B. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects including I-Bat (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).
2. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document.
3. Preparation of a NW23 Section 404 permit application (if necessary).
4. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
5. Public Involvement.
6. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
7. Attendance and minutes writing of up to six additional meetings to discuss progress or issues.
8. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR).
9. Certification for Let.

C. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.

c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
d. Preliminary Staging Plans.
e. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
f. Corrected/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.

2. Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
3. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
4. Value Engineering (VE) Study (if total cost exceeds 10 Million).
5. Constructability Meeting participation.
6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
7. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
8. Location and Design Report.
9. PFPR participation, report, and responses(all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
10. Interchange Modification Report (IMR) (if necessary).

D. Subsurface Utiltly Engineering

1. Consultant shall have a SUE Kickoff Meeting
2. Consultant shall perform Quality Level D (QL-D) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will be used for alignment determination:

   Submit the Quality Level D for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

3. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B (QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will replace 1st submission to the Utility owners.
4. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
2. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.

F. Final Design:

1. Final Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) Package.

2. FFPR participation , report, and responses(all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services):

   a. Final Utilities Plans.
   b. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plans.

3. Erosion Control Plans.
4. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Amendments & Revisions.
7. Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Bridge Design Lead.
B. Environmental Lead.
C. Roadway Design Lead

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – To Be Determined.
B. Concept Report Approval – January 30, 2018.
C. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – August 8, 2019.
D. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans Approved – October 6th, 2020.
E. Environmental Documental Approval – April 30, 2020.
F. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Inspection – February 8, 2021.
G. Final Plans for Letting – August 18, 2021.
H. Let Contract – November 4th, 2021.

REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

EXHIBIT I-2

Project/Contract 2

1. **Project Numbers:** **STP00-0000-00(401)**
2. **PI Numbers:** **0000401**
3. **County:** **Floyd County**
4. **Description:** **Intersection Improvements at four locations along SR 101**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.09	Location Studies
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The proposed project would consist of intersection improvements between SR 101/CR 57/Pleasant Hope Rd and SR 101/CR 54/Donahoo Rd in Floyd County. The scope of work includes 4 intersection improvements at Pleasant Hope Road, Center Road, Old Rockmart Road and Donahoo Road for preliminary construction plans, right of way plans and final construction plans in accordance with the GDOT plan presentation guide.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guidance established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services.

Task Order #1 is expected to be CE (Categorical Exclusion) special studies (no CE approval since ROW in LR1), design of Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) plans and completion of ROW plans.

A. Public Involvement:

1. Prepare for and participate in a Public Information Open House (PIOH).
2. Prepare for and participate in stakeholder meetings.

B. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects [i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology (including I-Bat), and Archaeology].

2. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document. Using Special Studies previously approved:

   a. Categorical Exclusion (CE).
   b. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.

C. Preliminary Design (from 20% to Completion):

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Roadway Plans (Plan, Profile, Cross Sections).
   b. Preliminary Drainage Plans.
   c. Preliminary Staging Plans.
   d. Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plans (ESPCP's).

2. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
3. Constructability Meeting participation.
4. Cost Estimation System (CES) with annual updates.
5. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
6. Location and Design Report.
7. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
8. Corrected/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.
9. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

D. Subsurface Utiltly Engineering

1. Consultant shall have a SUE Kickoff Meeting

2. Consultant shall perform Quality Level D (QL-D) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will be used for alignment determination:

   Submit the Quality Level D for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

3. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B (QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will replace 1st submission to the Utility owners.
4. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

1. Prepare complete ROW Plans.
2. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Complete Quality Assurance/Quality Control review.
4. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
5. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.

F. Final Design:

1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Final Roadway Plans (Plan, Profile, Cross Sections).
   b. Final Drainage Plans.
   c. Final Staging Plans.
   d. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
   e. Final Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plans (ESPCP's).

2. Prepare Final PS&E Package.
3. Attend Final Field Plan Review (FFPR), prepare report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
4. Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Report as needed.
5. Complete Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews (FFPR & Final Submittal).
6. Prepare CES Final cost estimate.
7. Final Utilities Plans.
8. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plans.
9. Prepare Amendments & Revisions.
10. Final Earthwork Calculations.
11. Prepare and submit Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. NEPA Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – To Be Determined.
B. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – 2/26/2016.
C. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans Preparation – 5/6/2016.
D. Expected for ROW SHELF pending funding.

EXHIBIT I-3

Project/Contract 3

1. **Project Numbers:** **EDS00-0441-00(043)**
2. **PI Numbers:** **122660-**
3. **County:** **Oconee**
4. **Description:** **Widening of SR 24/ US 441 FM N Of Apalachee Rliver to Watkinsville Bypass**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane urban Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.09	Location Studies
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.01	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The Proposed project will consist of the widening/new construction of SR 24/US 441 North of the Apalachee River to the Watkinsville bypass in Oconee County (PI 122660-). Also included in this widening will be the construction of a new bridge over Greenbrier Creek. The scope of work includes concept validation and revising, coordination for obtaining the environmental document, preliminary construction plans, bridge plans, right of way plans, and final construction plans in accordance with the GDOT plan presentation guide. The Environmental Document will be completed by the consultant for PI 222560- and coordination with plans and needed information will be required. This coordination is key to the success of these projects and strategies should be discussed in submittals. Also for this project a citizen advisory committee will be anticipated for this project and meetings will be required.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guidance established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services.

Task Order #1 is is expected to be Field Survey and Traffic Analysis. (Pending negotiation discussions).

A. Concept Development:

1. Validate current Concept Report.
2. Revise Concept Report if necessary.

B. Environmental Document:

Close Coordination with the Project Team on PI 222560- on all aspects.

C. Database Preparation:

1. Field Surveys (using the guidance provided in the GDOT Survey Manual).
2. DTM/Topo for all obscure areas within the projects survey limits.
3. Drainage structure locations and invert elevations.
4. Property Resolution should be performed for each parcel within the survey limits.
5. All information should be submitted in the Inroads/Microstation V 8i format.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Value Engineering (VE) Study (if required).
2. Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
3. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring Wells/Soil Survey.
4. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
5. Preliminary Bridge Layouts.
6. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
7. Correct/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.

8. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
   d. Preliminary Communication Plans.
   e. Preliminary Staging Plans.

9. Pavement Type Selection.
10. Constructability Meeting participation.
11. Cost Estimation System (CES) with annual updates.
12. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
13. Location and Design Report.
14. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
15. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B(QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area.
16. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
2. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.

F. Final Design:

1. Final Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
2. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
3. Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Report.
4. Final Bridge Plans.
5. Final Utilities Plans.
6. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plans.
7. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
8. CES Final cost estimate.
9. Amendments & Revisions.
10. Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Review Shop Drawings.
2. Use on Construction Revisions.
3. Earthwork Coordination.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables:

I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Package, address/respond to comments, and make plan changes.

K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final bridge and roadway plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, Utilities, etc.) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. Bridge Design Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – To Be Determined.
B. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – 08/18/2016.
C. Right-of- Way (ROW) Plans approved – 05/27/2017.
D. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Inspection – 04/15/2018.
E. Final Plans for Letting – 08/15/2019.
F. Let Contract – 11/15/2019.

REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

EXHIBIT I-4

Project/Contract 4

1. **Project Numbers:** **STP00-2992-00(002)**
2. **PI Numbers:** **245080-**
3. **County(ies):** **Washington**
4. **Description:** **SR 15 Bypass from SR 15 to SR 242 E of Tennille**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane urban Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.01	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The Proposed project will consist of the new construction of SR 15 at MP 10.00 and goes north on new location for approximately 3.7 miles to SR 242. Also included in this widening will be the construction of three bridges: Sandersville Railroad, Norfolk Southern Railway, and the wetlands in the vicinity of Anderson Pond. The scope of work includes concept validation and revising, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, bridge plans, right of way plans, and final construction plans in accordance with the GDOT plan presentation guide. The current alignment in the approved concept for this project will impact a historic district and 4F coordination will be required. Also this project will tie in to PI 245090- and coordination with the consultant on this project will be required.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guidance established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services.

Task Order #1 is is expected to be Field Survey and Traffic Analysis. (Pending negotiation discussions).

A. Concept Development:

1. Validate current Concept Report.
2. Revise Concept Report if necessary.

B. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects [i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology (including I-bat), and Archaeology].

2. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document. Using Special Studies previously approved.

   a. Environmental Assessment (EA).
   b. One NEPA document reevaluation for Right of Way (ROW) and One reevaluation for Construction.

3. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review/Final Field Plan Review (PFPR/FFPR).

C. Database Preparation:

Field Survey (using the guidance provided in the GDOT Survey Manual).

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
2. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring Wells/Soil Survey.
3. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
4. Preliminary Bridge Layouts.
5. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
6. Correct/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.

7. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
   d. Preliminary Communication Plans.
   e. Preliminary Staging Plans.

8. Pavement Type Selection:
9. Constructability Meeting participation.
10. Cost Estimation (CES) with annual updates.
11. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
12. Location and Design Report.
13. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
14. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B(QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area.
15. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
2. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.

F. Final Design:

1. Final PS&E Package.
2. FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
3. Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Report.
4. Final Bridge Plans.
5. Final Utilities Plans.
6. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plan.
7. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
8. CES Final cost estimate.
9. Amendments & Revisions.
10. Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. Bridge Design Lead.
C. NEPA Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – To Be Determined.
B. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – 10/01/2018.
C. Right-of- Way (ROW) Plans approved – 07/09/2019.
D. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Inspection – 03/17/2020.
E. Final Plans for Letting – 10/05/2020.
F. Let Contract – 12/28/2020.

EXHIBIT I-5

Project/Contract 5

1. **Project Numbers:** **BRST0-0189-01(030)**
2. **PI Numbers:** **132986-**
3. **County(ies):** **Gwinnett**
4. **Description:** **Bridge Replacement on SR 120/Duluth Highway at Singleton Creek 1.5 miles east of Duluth**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
4.01	Minor Bridge Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. **Scope:**

The Consultant shall provide concept validation, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

Task Order #1 is expected to be for Concept Report Validation. Also included in this task order will be activities for Traffic Analysis, Survey/Database and validation of History & Ecology Survey Reports from approved Categorical Exlusion (CE) & subsequent reevaluation.

A. Approved Concept Report Validation.

B. Survey/Database.

C. Traffic Analysis.

D. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, and Archaeology).

2. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents:

   a. Categorical Exclusion.
   b. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.

3. Preparation of a NW23 Section 404 permit application.
4. Aquatic Survey.
5. Stream Buffer Variance.
6. Wetland Mitigation.
7. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
8. Public Involvement (PIOH) [1 possible detour/PIOH].
9. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Reivew (FFPR).
10. Certification for Right-of-Way.
11. Certification for Let.

E. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
   d. Preliminary Staging Plans.
   e. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
   f. Corrected/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.

2. Bridge Hydraulic Stud.
3. Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
4. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
5. Constructability Meeting participation.
6. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
7. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews.
8. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

F. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
2. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.

G. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation, report, and responses(all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. Cost Estimation System (CES) Final cost estimate.
6. Final Plans, Specifications & Estimates (PS&E) Package.
7. Amendments & Revisions.
8. Errors and Omissions.
9. Final Design Data Book.
10. Final Utilities Plans.
11. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plans.

H. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.

I. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Package, address/respond to comments, and make plan changes.

L. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final bridge and roadway plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, ROW, Utilities, etc.) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. Bridge Design Lead.
C. NEPA Lead Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed (NTP) – To Be Determined.
B. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – 6/16/2016.
C. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans approved – 8/17/2016.
D. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Inspection – 5/9/2017.
E. Final Plans for Letting – 9/11/2017.
F. Let Contract – 11/15/2017.

EXHIBIT I-6

Project/Contract 6

1. **Project Numbers: N/A**
2. **PI Numbers: 0010821**
3. **County(ies): Cobb, Douglas**
4. **Description: Truck Friendly Lanes – SR 6 From 1-20WB To SR 6 Spur**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design
3.03	Multi-Lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.09	Location Studies
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design
3.09	Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.01	Minor Bridge Design

4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
4.05	Bridge Inspection
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The project will improve operations for trucks on SR 6 from I-20 West to SR 6 Spur. SR 6 is an urban principal arterial and is 6 lanes with a raised median from I-20 to US 78/Veterans Memorial Parkway and 4 lanes with a paved median from Veterans Memorial Parkway to SR 6 Spur. The scope of work includes preparation of the concept report, preliminary construction plans, right of way plans, and final construction plans in accordance with the GDOT plan presentation guide. The scope of work also includes database preparation, environmental documentation, and permitting as needed.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guideline established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process (PDP), Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), GDOT Environmental Procedure Manual and all applicable design guidelines including but not limited to Department's Policies and Procedures, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Green Book, Roadside Design guide, Highway Capacity Manual, and GDOT Standard Specification and Standards & Details, GDOT Design Policy Manual, and GDOT Bridge Design Manual.

Task Order #1 is expected to be Survey, Traffic Analysis and Public Involvement for Stakeholders (pending negotiations discussions).

A. Concept Report:

1. Traffic Studies.
2. Cost estimates.
3. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
4. Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
5. Approved Concept Report.
6. Concept Design Data Book.

B. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies surveys reports and assessment of effects (i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, Archaeology).

2. NEPA documents:

   a. One NEPA document for Right of Way Authorization.
   b. One NEPA document re-evaluation for Construction.

3. Preparation of a Section 404 permit application.
4. Aquatic Survey.
5. Stream Buffer Variance.
6. Wetland Mitigation.
7. Preparation of the SBV application.
8. Practical Alternatives Report (PAR).
9. Public Involvement (PIOH/PHOH/Noise/Detour Meetings).
10. Public Involvement Plan Development and Approval.
11. Prepare for and attend the Preliminary Field Plan Review and Final Field Plan Review (PFPR/ FFPR.
12. Certification for Right-of-Way.
13. Certification for Let.

C. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
   d. Preliminary Communication Plans.
   e. Preliminary Staging Plans.
   f. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
   g. Corrected/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.

2. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
3. Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
4. Value Engineering Study.
5. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
6. Constructability Meeting participation.
7. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
8. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
9. Location and Design Report.
10. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services)
11. PFPR corrected plans.
12. Preliminary Traffic Management Plan.

D. Subsurface Utiltly Engineering (SUE):

1. Consultant shall have a SUE Kickoff Meeting.

2. Consultant shall perform Quality Level D (QL-D) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will be used for alignment determination:

   Submit the Quality Level D for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

3. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B (QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will replace 1st submission to the Utility owners.
4. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
2. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.
4. Right of Way coordination with the GDOT Right of Way Office during acquisitions.

F. Final Design:

1. FFPR participation , report, and responses(all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
2. Erosion Control Plans.
3. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
4. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
5. Corrected FFPR Plans.
6. Cost Estimatoin System (CES) Final cost estimate.
7. Final Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
8. Amendments & Revisions.
9. Errors and Omissions.
10. Final Design Data Book.
11. Final Traffic Management Plan.
12. Final Utilities Plans.
13. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plans.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables.
I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).
J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Package, address/respond to comments, and make plan changes.
K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final bridge and roadway plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, Utilities, etc.) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. Bridge Design Lead.
C. NEPA Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – To Be Determined.
B. Concept Development Summary – 03/07/2016.
C. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – 10/05/2017.
D. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans approved – 04/06/2018.
E. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Inspection – 04/04/2019.
F. Final Plans for Letting –07/05/2019.
G. Let Contract – 10/06/2019.

EXHIBIT I-7

Project/Contract 7

1. **Project Numbers:** **CSNHS-0007-00(855)**
2. **PI Numbers:** **0007855**
3. **County:** **Henry**
4. **Description:** **SR 42 from Downtown McDonough to SR 138**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design
3.03	Multi-lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Roadways)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
3.15	Highway and Outdoor Lighting
4.01	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrology Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying

5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrology Studies (Soils and Foundations)
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The project will widen SR 42 from downtown McDonough to SR 138 approximately 7.25 miles. The scope of work includes completion of a concept report, preliminary construction plans, right of way plans, and final construction plans in accordance with GDOT policies and guidelines. The scope of work also includes database preparation, environmental documentation, permitting as necessary and public involvement.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guideline established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the PDP, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA, GDOT Environmental Procedure Manual and all applicable design guidelines including but not limited to Department MOGs, AASHTO Green Book, Roadside Design guide, Highway Capacity Manual, and GDOT Standard Specification and Standards & Details, GDOT Design Policy Manual, and GDOT Bridge Design Manual.

Task Order #1 is expected to be Concept Report, Environmental Resource Identification, Public Involvement (PIOH and PHOH), SUE Qual D submission, and public outreach, as necessary.

A. Concept Report – Scoping phase only:

1. Aerial Photography (mapping grade).
2. Traffic Studies.
3. Cost estimates.
4. Value Engineering (VE) Study preparation and attendance.
5. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Prepare for Concept Meeting, attend and document.
7. Complete Approved Concept Report.
8. Prepare Concept Design Data Book.

B. Environmental Document (PAR):

1. Draft Need and Purpose.
2. Perform Concept Environmental Resource Identification.
3. Public Involvement (PIOH and PHOH).
4. Public outreach, as necessary.
5. Necessary Environmental Special studies surveys reports and assessment of effects [i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, Archeology].
6. NEPA documents.
7. Preparation of 404 permit application.
8. Stream Buffer Variance.
9. Wetland Mitigation.
10. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
11. Prepare for and attend the PFPRs, FFPR and Constructability Reviews.
12. Certification for Right-of-Way.
13. Environmental Re-evaluation as necessary
14. Certification for Let.

C. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge/Wall Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
   d. Preliminary Staging
   e. Preliminary Photometric layout.
   f. SUE Plans.
   g. MS4 Design, if required.

2. Prepare design exceptions and design variances reports.
3. Constructability Meeting participation and attendance.
4. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
5. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
6. Location and Design Report.
7. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information Requested by Engineering Services).
8. Attend other field reviews as necessary.

D. Subsurface Utiltly Engineering (SUE):

1. Consultant shall have a SUE Kickoff Meeting.

2. Consultant shall perform Quality Level D (QL-D) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will be used for alignment determination:

   Submit the Quality Level D for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

3. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B (QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will replace 1st submission to the Utility owners.
4. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of Right of Ways and Staking.
2. Revise Plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of way revisions during acquisition.
4. Prepare and attend Property owners' meeting.

F. Final Design:

1. Complete Final Road Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Final Bridge/Wall Plans.
   b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Final Signal Plans.
   d. Final staging Plans.
   e. Final Lighting Plans
   f. 2nd Submission Utility Plans.
   g. Final MS4 Design.
   h. Erosion Control Plans.

2. FFPR participation, report and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
3. Quality Assurance /Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. CES Final cost estimate.
6. Final PS& E Package.
7. Amendments and Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.
4. Respond to Erosion Control issues during construction.
5. Answer Construction Field questions.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. Bridge Design Lead.
C. Environmental Studies Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. GDOT issues Notice to Receive (NTP) – To Be Determined.
B. VE study Completed – January 2016.
C. Concept Approval - July 2016.
D. Environmental Document approval – June 2017.

REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

EXHIBIT II
CERTIFICATION FORM

I, _____, being duly sworn, state that I am _____ (title) of _____

_____ (firm) and hereby duly certify that I have read and understand the information presented in the attached proposal and any enclosure and exhibits thereto.

Initial each box below indicating certification. The person initialing must be the same person who signs the Certification Form. (If unable to initial any box for any reason, place an "X" in the applicable box and attach a statement explaining the non-certification. The Department will review and make a determination as to whether or not the firm shall be considered further or disqualified).

☐ I further certify that to the best of my knowledge the information given in response to the Request for Qualifications is full, complete and truthful.

☐ I further certify that the submitting firm and any principal employee of the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been convicted of any crime of moral turpitude or any felony offense, nor has had their professional license suspended, revoked or been subjected to disciplinary proceedings, nor is any team members/principals currently under indictment for any reason related to actions on public infrastructure projects.

☐ I further certify that I understand that Firms included on the current Federal list of firms suspended or debarred are not eligible for selection and that the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been suspended or debarred from contracting with any federal, state or local government agency, and further, that the submitting firm is not now under consideration for suspension or debarment from any such agency.

☐ I further certify that the submitting firm has not in the immediately preceding five (5) years been defaulted in any federal, state or local government agency contract and further, that the submitting firm is not now under any notice of intent to default on any such contract, nor has been removed from a contract or failed to complete a contract as assigned due to cause or default.

☐ I further certify that the firm or any affiliate(s) has not been involved in any arbitration, litigation, mediation, dispute review board or other dispute resolution proceeding with a client, business partner, or government agency in the last five years involving an amount in excess of $500,000 related to performance on public infrastructure projects.

☐ I further certify that there are not any pending regulatory inquiries that could impact our ability to provide services if we are the selected consultant.

☐ I further certify that there are no possible conflicts of interest created by our consideration in the selection process or by our involvement in the project.

☐ I further certify that the submitting firm's annual average revenue for the past five (5) years is sufficient to allow the services to be delivered effectively by our firm and that there are no trends in the revenue which may be concerning other than normal market fluctuations.

☐ I further certify that in regards to Audit and Accounting System Requirements, that the submitting firm:

I. Has an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
II. Has submitted its yearly Certified Public Accountant overhead audit if it currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding $250,000.
III. Has no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
IV. Is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

I acknowledge, agree and authorize, and certify that the proposer acknowledges, agrees and authorizes, that GDOT may, by means that either deems appropriate, determine the accuracy and truth of the information provided by the proposer and that the GDOT may contact any individual or entity named in the Statement of Qualifications for the purpose of verifying the information supplied therein.

I acknowledge and agree that all of the information contained in the Statement of Qualifications is submitted for the express purpose of inducing the GDOT to award a contract.

A material false statement or omission made in conjunction with this proposal is sufficient cause for suspension or debarment from further contracts, or denial or rescission of any contract entered into based upon this proposal thereby precluding the firm from doing business with, or performing work for, the State of Georgia. In addition, such false statement or omission may subject the person and entity making the proposal to criminal prosecution under the laws of the State of Georgia of the United States, including but not limited to O.C.G.A. §16-10-20, 18 U.S.C. §§1001 or 1341.

Sworn and subscribed before me

This _____ day of _____, 20___.

_____
Signature

_____
NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: _____

_____
NOTARY SEAL

EXHIBIT III

GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT

Contracting Entity/Respondent: _____

Address: _____

Solicitation No./Contract No. : **RFQ-484-111414**

Solicitation/Contract Name: **Engineering Design Services – Batch #3 (B3-2014)**

By executing this affidavit, the undersigned person or entity verifies its compliance with O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91, stating affirmatively that the individual, firm, or entity which is contracting with the Georgia Department of Transportation has registered with, is authorized to participate in, and is participating in the federal work authorization program commonly known as E-Verify, or any subsequent replacement program, in accordance with the applicable provisions and deadlines established in O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91.

The undersigned person or entity further agrees that it will continue to use the federal work authorization program throughout the contract period, and it will contract for the physical performance of services in satisfaction of such contract only with subcontractors who present an affidavit to the undersigned with the information required by O.C.GA. § 13-10-91(b).

The undersigned person or entity further agrees to maintain records of such compliance and provide a copy of each such verification to the Georgia Department of Transportation within five (5) business days after any subcontractor is retained to perform such service.

_____
E-Verify/Company Identification Number

_____
Date of Authorization

_____
Signature of Authorized Officer or Agent
(Contractor Name)

_____
Date

_____
Title of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant

_____
Printed Name of Authorized Officer or Agent

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN
BEFORE ME ON THIS THE

_____ DAY OF _____, 201_

_____
Notary Public

[NOTARY SEAL]

My Commission Expires: _____

EXHIBIT IV
Area Class Summary Example

Respondents should complete a table similar to the below and indicate by placing an "X" in the appropriate column indicating the firm which meets each required area class for each specific project with particular emphasis on the area classes which the Prime must hold as well as the subconsultants. The below table is a full listing of all area classes. Since no single advertisement would require every area class, Respondents should delete all the area classes which are not applicable to the project they are pursuing and only include the ones applicable. Particular attention should be paid to the date that consultants certificate expires.

Area Class #	Area Class Description	Prime Consultant Name	Sub-Consultant #1 Name	Sub-Consultant #2 Name	Sub-Consultant #3 Name	Sub-Consultant #4 Name	Sub-Consultant #5 Name	Sub-Consultant #6 Name
	DBE – Yes/No ->							
	Prequalification Expiration Date							
1.01	Statewide Systems Planning							
1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning							
1.03	Aviation Systems Planning							
1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning							
1.05	Alternate Systems Planning							
1.06(a)	NEPA							
1.06(b)	History							
1.06(c)	Air Quality							
1.06(d)	Noise							
1.06(e)	Ecology							
1.06(f)	Archaeology							
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys							
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)							
1.08	Airport Master Planning (AMP)							
1.09	Location Studies							
1.10	Traffic Analysis							
1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies							
1.12	Major Investment Studies							
1.13	Non-Motorized transportation Planning							
2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems Management)							
2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies							
2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System							
2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communication and Information Systems							
2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering							
2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures							
2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical System							
2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services							
2.09	Airport Design (AD)							
2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems Marketing)							
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design							
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane urban Roadway Design							
3.03	Multi-Lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction							
3.04	Multi-lane Rural Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.05	Multi-lane Urban Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies							
3.07	Traffic Operations Design							
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design							
3.09	Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation							

3.10	Utility Coordination							
3.11	Architecture							
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)							
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians							
3.14	Historic Rehabilitation							
3.15	Highway and Outdoor Lighting							
3.16	Value Engineering (VE)							
3.17	Toll Facilities Infrastructure Design							
4.01	Minor Bridge Design							
4.02	Major Bridge Design							
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)							
4.05	Bridge Inspection							
5.01	Land Surveying							
5.02	Engineering Surveying							
5.03	Geodetic Surveying							
5.04	Aerial Photography							
5.05	Photogrammetry							
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing							
5.07	Cartography							
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)							
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies							
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies							
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies							
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)							
6.04(a)	Laboratory Testing of Roadway Construction Materials							
6.04(b)	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials							
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies							
8.01	Construction Engineering and Supervision							
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan							
9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting							
9.03	Field Inspection for Erosion Control							

ATTACHMENT 1

Submittal Formats for Engineering Design Services – Batch #3 (B2-2014)

		# of Pages Allowed
Cover Page	->	1
A. Administrative Requirements		
1. Basic Company Information a. Company name b. Company Headquarter Address c. Contact Information d. Company Website e. Georgia Addresses f. Staff g. Ownership		Excluded
2. Notarized Certification Form (Exhibit II) for Prime	->	1
3. Notarized Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit (Exhibit III)	->	1
4. Signed Cover Page of any Addenda Issued	->	1 (each addenda)
B. Experience and Qualifications		
1. Project Manager a. Education b. Registration c. Relevant engineering experience d. Relevant project management experience e. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		2
2. Key Team Leader Experience a. Education b. Registration c. Relevant experience in applicable resource area d. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		1 (each)
3. Prime's Experience a. Client name, project location, and dates b. Description of overall project and services performed c. Duration of project services provided d. Experience using GDOT specific processes, etc. e. Clients current contact information f. Involvement of Key Team Leaders		2
4. Area Class Table and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications for Prime and Sub-Consultants	->	Excluded
C. Resources/Workload Capacity		
1. Overall Resources a. Organization chart b. Primary office to handle project and staff description of office and benefits of office c. Narrative on Additional Resources Areas and Ability	->	Excluded 1
2. Project Manager Commitment Table	->	Excluded
3. Key Team Leaders Project Commitment Table	->	Excluded

ADDENDUM NO. 1

ISSUE DATE: November 7, 2014

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ-484-111414: Engineering Design Services (B3-2014)

NOTE PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE ARE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO THE CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: Because of the changes to Exhibit I-2, Exhibit 1-3, and Exhibit 1-7 in the RFQ, as altered in this Addendum, signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your PROPOSAL.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all articles and corrections listed below, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account in preparing your proposal.

I. Written Questions and Answers:

	Questions	Answers
1.	Exhibit I-2 – The RFQ includes area class 6.02, Bridge Foundation Studies, however there does not appear to be any bridges in the scope of the project. Is 6-02 necessary for this contract?	No. Area Class 6.02 is not necessary for Exhibit 1-2, P.I. No 0000401. Please see Revised Exhibit 1-2 below.
2.	Exhibit I-2 – The scope of the project includes four intersection improvements. Should area class 3.07, Traffic Operations Design, also be included?	Yes. Area Class 3.07-Traffic Operations Design will be added to Exhibit 1-2, P.I. No. 0000401. Please see Revised Exhibit 1-2 below.

3.	Exhibit I-6 – There is the potential that significant traffic signal enhancements and operational improvements may be part of this project. Should Traffic Operation and Design be included as a key team leader?	No. We listed the key team leaders required for this project.
4.	Exhibit 1-7 – There is no 6.01. Should this be 6.01(a) - Soil Survey Studies or 6.01(b) – Geological & Geophysical Studies?	Area Class 6.01 does not exist. 6.01(a)-Soil Survey Studies is necessary for Exhibit 1-7, P.I. No. 0007855. Please see Revised Exhibit 1-7 below.
5.	Exhibit 1-3 - Why is Area Class 4.05 Bridge Inspection required? The bridges are new location so please clarify why bridge inspection would be required.	Area Class 4.05 is not necessary for Exhibit 1-3, P.I. No. 122660-. Please see Revised Exhibit 1-3 below.
6.	Exhibit 1-4 - Was the NEPA document approved in the original contract? If so, won't it need revised to remove PI 245090 since that portion was awarded under the TIA Program?.	The NEPA document depends on Logical Termini. Task Order #1 will help define the NEPA needs.
7.	Exhibit 1-4 - Was the NEPA document approved in the original contract? If so, won't it need revised to remove PI 245090 since that portion was awarded under the TIA Program?.	Yes, studies approved are from the original contract.

II. RFQ Exhibit I-2, Exhibit 1-3, and Exhibit 1-7 are DELETED and REPLACED by the attached Exhibit I-2, Exhibit 1-3 and Exhibit 1-7.

EXHIBIT I-2

Project/Contract 2

1. **Project Numbers: STP00-0000-00(401)**
2. **PI Numbers: 0000401**
3. **County: Floyd County**
4. **Description: Intersection Improvements at four locations along SR 101**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.09	Location Studies
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The proposed project would consist of intersection improvements between SR 101/CR 57/Pleasant Hope Rd and SR 101/CR 54/Donahoo Rd in Floyd County. The scope of work includes 4 intersection improvements at Pleasant Hope Road, Center Road, Old Rockmart Road and Donahoo Road for preliminary construction plans, right of way plans and final construction plans in accordance with the GDOT plan presentation guide.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guidance established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services.

Task Order #1 is expected to be CE (Categorical Exclusion) special studies (no CE approval since ROW in LR1), design of Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) plans and completion of ROW plans.

A. Public Involvement:

1. Prepare for and participate in a Public Information Open House (PIOH).
2. Prepare for and participate in stakeholder meetings.

B. Environmental Document:

1. Necessary Environmental Special Studies survey reports and assessment of effects [i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology (including I-Bat), and Archaeology].

2. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document. Using Special Studies previously approved:

   a. Categorical Exclusion (CE).
   b. One NEPA document reevaluation for Construction.

C. Preliminary Design (from 20% to Completion):

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Roadway Plans (Plan, Profile, Cross Sections).
   b. Preliminary Drainage Plans.
   c. Preliminary Staging Plans.
   d. Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plans (ESPCP's).

2. Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
3. Constructability Meeting participation.
4. Cost Estimation System (CES) with annual updates.
5. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
6. Location and Design Report.
7. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
8. Corrected/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.
9. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

D. Subsurface Utiltly Engineering:

1. Consultant shall have a SUE Kickoff Meeting.

2. Consultant shall perform Quality Level D (QL-D) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will be used for alignment determination:

   Submit the Quality Level D for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

3. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B (QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will replace 1st submission to the Utility owners.
4. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

1. Prepare complete ROW Plans.
2. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
3. Complete Quality Assurance/Quality Control review.
4. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
5. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.

F. Final Design:

1. Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Final Roadway Plans (Plan, Profile, Cross Sections).
   b. Final Drainage Plans.
   c. Final Staging Plans.
   d. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
   e. Final Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plans (ESPCP's).

2. Prepare Final PS&E Package.
3. Attend Final Field Plan Review (FFPR), prepare report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
4. Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Report as needed.
5. Complete Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews (FFPR & Final Submittal).
6. Prepare CES Final cost estimate.
7. Final Utilities Plans.
8. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plans.
9. Prepare Amendments & Revisions.
10. Final Earthwork Calculations.
11. Prepare and submit Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. NEPA Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – To Be Determined.
B. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – 2/26/2016.
C. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans Preparation – 5/6/2016.
D. Expected for ROW SHELF pending funding.

EXHIBIT I-3

Project/Contract 3

1. Project Numbers: EDS00-0441-00(043)
2. PI Numbers: 122660-
3. County: Oconee
4. Description: Widening of SR 24/ US 441 FM N Of Apalachee Rliver to Watkinsville Bypass

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane urban Roadway Design

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.09	Location Studies
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.01	Minor Bridge Design

4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The Proposed project will consist of the widening/new construction of SR 24/US 441 North of the Apalachee River to the Watkinsville bypass in Oconee County (PI 122660-). Also included in this widening will be the construction of a new bridge over Greenbrier Creek. The scope of work includes concept validation and revising, coordination for obtaining the environmental document, preliminary construction plans, bridge plans, right of way plans, and final construction plans in accordance with the GDOT plan presentation guide. The Environmental Document will be completed by the consultant for PI 222560- and coordination with plans and needed information will be required. This coordination is key to the success of these projects and strategies should be discussed in submittals. Also for this project a citizen advisory committee will be anticipated for this project and meetings will be required.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guidance established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services.

Task Order #1 is is expected to be Field Survey and Traffic Analysis. (Pending negotiation discussions).

A. Concept Development:

1. Validate current Concept Report.
2. Revise Concept Report if necessary.

B. Environmental Document:

Close Coordination with the Project Team on PI 222560- on all aspects.

C. Database Preparation:

1. Field Surveys (using the guidance provided in the GDOT Survey Manual).
2. DTM/Topo for all obscure areas within the projects survey limits.
3. Drainage structure locations and invert elevations.
4. Property Resolution should be performed for each parcel within the survey limits.
5. All information should be submitted in the Inroads/Microstation V 8i format.

D. Preliminary Design:

1. Value Engineering (VE) Study (if required).
2. Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
3. Pavement Evaluation/UST & Monitoring Wells/Soil Survey.
4. Bridge Hydraulic Study.
5. Preliminary Bridge Layouts.
6. Preliminary Utilities Plans.
7. Correct/Revisions of Preliminary Utilities Plans.

8. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
   d. Preliminary Communication Plans.
   e. Preliminary Staging Plans.

9. Pavement Type Selection.
10. Constructability Meeting participation.
11. Cost Estimation System (CES) with annual updates.
12. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
13. Location and Design Report.
14. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
15. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B(QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area.
16. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of right of way plans and staking.
2. Revise plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of Way revisions during acquisition.

F. Final Design:

1. Final Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E) Package.
2. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
3. Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Report.
4. Final Bridge Plans.
5. Final Utilities Plans.
6. Corrected/Revisions of Final Utilities Plans.
7. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
8. CES Final cost estimate.
9. Amendments & Revisions.
10. Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Review Shop Drawings.
2. Use on Construction Revisions.
3. Earthwork Coordination.

H. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews for all deliverables:

I. Attendance in and meeting minutes of monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

J. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) and Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Package, address/respond to comments, and make plan changes.

K. Prepare, reproduce, and distribute preliminary and final bridge and roadway plans and all supporting disciplines (signing and marking, erosion control, R/W, Utilities, etc.) as well as all special provisions, all design files, and supporting documentation.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. Bridge Design Lead.

8. **An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:**

A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – To Be Determined.
B. Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) Inspection – 08/18/2016.
C. Right-of- Way (ROW) Plans approved – 05/27/2017.
D. Final Field Plan Review (FFPR) Inspection – 04/15/2018.
E. Final Plans for Letting – 08/15/2019.
F. Let Contract – 11/15/2019.

EXHIBIT I-7

Project/Contract 7

1. **Project Numbers:** **CSNHS-0007-00(855)**
2. **PI Numbers:** **0007855**
3. **County:** **Henry**
4. **Description:** **SR 42 from Downtown McDonough to SR 138**

5. **Required Area Classes:**

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Urban Roadway Design
3.03	Multi-lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
1.06(a)	NEPA
1.06(b)	History
1.06(c)	Air Quality
1.06(d)	Noise
1.06(e)	Ecology
1.06(f)	Archaeology
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
1.07	Attitude, Opinion and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)
1.10	Traffic Analysis
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
3.07	Traffic Operations Design
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Roadways)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
3.15	Highway and Outdoor Lighting
4.01	Minor Bridge Design
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrology Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.03	Geodetic Surveying

5.04	Aerial Photography
5.05	Photogrammetry
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrology Studies (Soils and Foundations)
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope of Work:

The project will widen SR 42 from downtown McDonough to SR 138 approximately 7.25 miles. The scope of work includes completion of a concept report, preliminary construction plans, right of way plans, and final construction plans in accordance with GDOT policies and guidelines. The scope of work also includes database preparation, environmental documentation, permitting as necessary and public involvement.

All phases of the project should proceed using the guideline established in the Plan Development Process (PDP). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the PDP, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA, GDOT Environmental Procedure Manual and all applicable design guidelines including but not limited to Department MOGs, AASHTO Green Book, Roadside Design guide, Highway Capacity Manual, and GDOT Standard Specification and Standards & Details, GDOT Design Policy Manual, and GDOT Bridge Design Manual.

Task Order #1 is expected to be Concept Report, Environmental Resource Identification, Public Involvement (PIOH and PHOH), SUE Qual D submission, and public outreach, as necessary.

A. Concept Report – Scoping phase only:

1. Aerial Photography (mapping grade).
2. Traffic Studies.
3. Cost estimates.
4. Value Engineering (VE) Study preparation and attendance.
5. Initial Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
6. Prepare for Concept Meeting, attend and document.
7. Complete Approved Concept Report.
8. Prepare Concept Design Data Book.

B. Environmental Document (PAR):

1. Draft Need and Purpose.
2. Perform Concept Environmental Resource Identification.
3. Public Involvement (PIOH and PHOH).
4. Public outreach, as necessary.
5. Necessary Environmental Special studies surveys reports and assessment of effects [i.e., Air, Noise, History, Ecology, Archeology].
6. NEPA documents.
7. Preparation of 404 permit application.
8. Stream Buffer Variance.
9. Wetland Mitigation.
10. Preparation of a Vegetative Buffer application.
11. Prepare for and attend the PFPRs, FFPR and Constructability Reviews.
12. Certification for Right-of-Way.
13. Environmental Re-evaluation as necessary
14. Certification for Let.

C. Preliminary Design:

1. Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Preliminary Bridge/Wall Plans.
   b. Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Preliminary Signal Plans.
   d. Preliminary Staging
   e. Preliminary Photometric layout.
   f. SUE Plans.
   g. MS4 Design, if required.

2. Prepare design exceptions and design variances reports.
3. Constructability Meeting participation and attendance.
4. Cost Estimation with annual updates.
5. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
6. Location and Design Report.
7. PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information Requested by Engineering Services).
8. Attend other field reviews as necessary.

D. Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE):

1. Consultant shall have a SUE Kickoff Meeting.

2. Consultant shall perform Quality Level D (QL-D) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will be used for alignment determination:

   Submit the Quality Level D for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

3. Consultant shall perform Quality Level B (QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) investigation services within the defined project area. This will replace 1st submission to the Utility owners.
4. Submit the Quality Level B for review to the SUE Department. Any changes shall be made based on comments from the department until accepted.

E. Right-of-Way Plans:

1. Coordinate field review of Right of Ways and Staking.
2. Revise Plans and deliver final right-of-way plans.
3. Right of way revisions during acquisition.
4. Prepare and attend Property owners' meeting.

F. Final Design:

1. Complete Final Road Plans, including but not limited to:

   a. Final Bridge/Wall Plans.
   b. Final Signing and Marking Plans.
   c. Final Signal Plans.
   d. Final staging Plans.
   e. Final Lighting Plans
   f. 2nd Submission Utility Plans.
   g. Final MS4 Design.
   h. Erosion Control Plans.

2. FFPR participation, report and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

3. Quality Assurance /Quality Control Reviews.
4. Corrected FFPR Plans.
5. CES Final cost estimate.
6. Final PS& E Package.
7. Amendments and Revisions.
8. Final Design Data Book.

G. Construction:

1. Use on Construction Revisions.
2. Review Shop Drawings.
3. Site Condition Revisions.
4. Respond to Erosion Control issues during construction.
5. Answer Construction Field questions.

7. Related Key Team Leaders:

A. Roadway Design Lead.
B. Bridge Design Lead.
C. Environmental Studies Lead.

8. An expected schedule includes the following milestone dates:

A. GDOT issues Notice to Receive (NTP) – To Be Determined.
B. VE study Completed – January 2016.
C. Concept Approval - July 2016.
D. Environmental Document approval – June 2017.

REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ADDENDUM NO. 2

ISSUE DATE: January 6, 2015

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ-484-111414: Engineering Design Services (B3-2014), Contract 6, P.I. No. 0010821

NOTE PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE ARE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO THE CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: This Addendum is for Contract 6, P.I. No. 0010821 only. The signed acknowledgment of this Addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your PROPOSAL.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all articles and corrections listed below, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account in preparing your proposal.

I. Written Questions and Answers:

	Questions	Answers
1.	Will the Initial Concept Report, Study or Plans be available for viewing?	No. This scope of work is in the beginning phase.

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	November 14, 2014
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm

No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Exhibit II - Certification	Exhibit III - GSICAA	Signed Addendum If Applicable	Compliant with Page # Limitations	Compliant with Required Format	Meets Required Area Classes	Comments
1	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	11/13/2014	12:14 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
2	American Engineers, Inc.	11/13/2014	8:32 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
3	Atkins North America, Inc	11/14/2014	11:04 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
4	CDM Smith, Inc.	11/14/2014	11:16 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
5	Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	11/14/2014	1:55 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
6	Columbia Engineering	11/14/2014	7:55 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
7	Croy Engineering, LLC	11/13/2014	2:37 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
8	Gresham, Smith and Partners	11/14/2014	10:39 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
9	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	11/14/2014	11:10 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
10	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	11/14/2014	1:14 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
11	KCI Technologies, Inc.	11/14/2014	10:50 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
12	Keck & Wood, Inc.	11/13/2014	3:39 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
13	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	11/14/2014	1:09 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
14	Lowe Engineers, LLC	11/14/2014	11:17 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
15	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	11/13/2014	11:41 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
16	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated	11/14/2014	12:40 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
17	Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	11/14/2014	10:24 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
18	Mulkey Engineers & Consultants	11/14/2014	10:57 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
19	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	11/14/2014	10:54 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
20	Pond & Company	11/14/2014	1:34 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
21	QK4, Inc.	11/14/2014	1:29 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
22	R. K. Shah & Associates	11/14/2014	10:35 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
23	RS&H, Inc.	11/14/2014	11:02 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
24	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	11/13/2014	12:11 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
25	Thompson Engineering, Inc.	11/13/2014	12:11 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
26	STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates	11/13/2014	12:19 p.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
27	TranSystems Corporation	11/14/2014	11:59 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	x	
28	URS Corporation	11/14/2014	10:42 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
29	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)	11/12/2014	2:58 p.m.	X	X	X	?	X	X	**Page Limit - Section C.1.b. and C.1.c.**
30	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	11/14/2014	11:14 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	
31	W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.	11/14/2014	11:30 a.m.	X	X	X	X	X	X	

SOQ AREA CLASS CHECKLIST

Solicitation #: RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4, Phase I

Solicitation Title: Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-

	Primes and Subconsultants	1.06(a)	1.06(b)	1.06(c)	1.06(d)	1.06(e)	1.06(f)	1.06(g)	1.07	1.10	3.01	3.02	3.06	3.07	3.12	3.13	4.01	4.04	5.01	5.02	5.03	5.08	6.01(a)	6.02	6.05	9.01	Certificate Expires	Comments
1	**American Consulting Professionals, LLC**	X		X	X	X					X	X	X		X	X	X									X	3/31/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	KCI Technologies, Inc.			X	X						X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X				X	7/31/2017	
	Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	X	X			X			X		X	X	X			X										X	7/31/2015	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	2/28/2015	
	MC Squared, Inc.																						X	X	X		11/30/2017	
	Wilburn Engineering, LLC									X			X	X													5/31/2017	
2	**American Engineers, Inc.**									X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	9/30/2016	
	Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	5/31/2016	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Eco-Tech Consultants, Inc.					X																					8/31/2017	
	International Design Services, Inc. /dba/IDS Global, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X		X				X	11/30/2014	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
3	**Atkins North America, Inc**	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	6/30/2017	
	New South Associates, Inc.		X				X																				5/31/2017	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	Anne Morris and Associates, LLC								X																		12/31/2016	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	2/28/2015	
	Willmer Engineering, Inc.																						X	X	X		2/28/2017	
4	**CDM Smith, Inc.**	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	X		X	2/28/2015	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	2/28/2015	
	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X					X	12/31/2015	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
5	**Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.**										X	X	X	X	X	X	X									X	5/31/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	LandAir Surveying Company of Georgia																		X	X	X						7/31/2017	
	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated										X	X	X	X	X		X	X								X	2/29/2016	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
	Wilburn Engineering, LLC									X			X	X													5/31/2017	
	Willmer Engineering, Inc.																						X	X	X		2/28/2017	
6	**Columbia Engineering**										X	X	X		X	X			X	X	X					X	9/30/2017	
	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	1/31/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC																						X	X	X		4/30/2016	
	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X					X	12/31/2015	
	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X				X	3/31/2017	
7	**Croy Engineering, LLC**	X							X	X	X	X	X	X		X			X	X	X					X	7/31/2017	
	New South Associates, Inc.		X				X																				5/31/2017	
	River to Tap - R2T, Inc.			X	X										X											X	7/31/2015	
	Ecological Solutions	X				X		X																			2/29/2016	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	2/28/2015	
	Contour Engineering, LLC																						X	X	X		4/30/2017	
8	**Gresham, Smith and Partners**	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	8/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X				X	3/31/2017	
	MC Squared, Inc.																						X	X	X		11/30/2017	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
9	**Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.**										X	X			X	X	X	X								X	4/30/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Foresite Group, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X										X	5/31/2015	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	2/28/2015	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
10	**Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC**										X	X			X		X	X								X	2/29/2016	
	Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	X	X			X			X		X	X	X			X										X	7/31/2015	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	2/28/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Golder Associates, Inc.																						X	X	X	X	2/28/2015	
	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X					X	12/31/2016	
11	**KCI Technologies, Inc.**			X	X						X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X				X	7/31/2017	
	Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group LLC	X	X			X			X		X	X	X			X										X	7/31/2015	
	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	1/31/2015	
	American Consulting Professionals, LLC	X		X	X	X					X	X	X		X	X	X									X	3/31/2017	
	Brockington and Associates		X				X																				7/31/2015	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
	Contour Engineering, LLC																						X	X	X		4/30/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
12	**Keck & Wood, Inc.**										X	X				X										X	10/31/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	GCA Inc.									X			X	X													6/30/2017	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	2/28/2015	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		5/31/2017	

SOQ AREA CLASS CHECKLIST

Solicitation #: RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4, Phase I

Solicitation Title: Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-

	Primes and Subconsultants	1.06(a)	1.06(b)	1.06(c)	1.06(d)	1.06(e)	1.06(f)	1.06(g)	1.07	1.10	3.01	3.02	3.06	3.07	3.12	3.13	4.01	4.04	5.01	5.02	5.03	5.08	6.01(a)	6.02	6.05	9.01	Certificate Expires	Comments
13	**Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.**	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	9/30/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	So-Deep, Inc.																					X					11/30/2014	
	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X					X	12/31/2016	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
14	**Lowe Engineers, LLC**									X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X					X	10/31/2015	
	URS Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	X	X	X	6/30/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	2/28/2015	
	Willmer Engineering, Inc.																						X	X	X		2/28/2017	
	Sycamore Consulting, Inc.								X																		8/31/2017	
15	**Michael Baker Jr., Inc.**	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	1/31/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	2/28/2015	
	Willmer Engineering, Inc.																						X	X	X		2/28/2017	
16	**Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated**										X	X	X	X	X		X	X								X	2/29/2016	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	2/28/2015	
	Mulkey Engineers & Consultants	X	X			X			X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	3/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	Pond & Company									X	X	X	X	X	X	X										X	12/31/2014	
	Bihl Engineering, LLC									X			X														12/31/2016	
	NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC																						X	X	X		4/30/2016	
17	**Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.**	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	5/31/2015	
	Development Planning & Engineering, Inc.										X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X					X	4/30/2015	
	Bowler Engineers, Inc.												X			X										X	11/30/2016	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
18	**Mulkey Engineers & Consultants**	X	X			X			X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	3/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated										X	X	X	X	X		X	X								X	2/29/2016	
	Foresite Group, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X										X	5/31/2015	
	Ranger Consulting, Inc.																						X	X	X		5/31/2015	
19	**Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	2/28/2015	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
	LandAir Surveying Company of Georgia																		X	X	X						7/31/2017	
	S&ME, Inc.																						X	X	X		12/31/2014	
	TBE Group, Inc.																		X	X	X	X					5/31/2015	
	Sycamore Consulting, Inc.								X																		8/31/2017	
20	**Pond & Company**																										12/31/2014	
	Gresham, Smith and Partners	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	8/31/2017	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	2/28/2015	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
	Mulkey Engineers & Consultants	X	X			X			X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	3/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
21	**QK4, Inc.**									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X									X	9/30/2017	
	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated										X	X	X	X	X		X	X								X	2/29/2016	
	CCR Environmental, Inc.					X		X																			7/31/2017	
	Accura Engineering & Consulting Services, Inc.																		X	X	X	X	X	X			3/31/2016	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
22	**R. K. Shah & Associates**										X	X		X	X	X		X								X	4/30/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Wilburn Engineering, LLC									X			X	X													5/31/2017	
	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X							X	X	1/31/2015	
	Rochester & Associates, Inc.										X	X			X	X			X	X	X					X	2/28/2017	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
23	**RS&H, Inc.**	X							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	11/30/2018	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X				X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X					X	12/31/2015	
	Accura Engineering & Consulting Services, Inc.																		X	X	X	X	X	X			3/31/2016	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
24	**Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.**	X								X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	2/28/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Sastry and Associates, Inc.																X										3/31/2016	
	KCI Technologies, Inc.			X	X						X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X				X	7/31/2017	
	Terracon Consultants, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X																	X	X	X	X	6/30/2016	

SOQ AREA CLASS CHECKLIST

Solicitation #: RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4, Phase I

Solicitation Title: Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-

	Primes and Subconsultants	1.06(a)	1.06(b)	1.06(c)	1.06(d)	1.06(e)	1.06(f)	1.06(g)	1.07	1.10	3.01	3.02	3.06	3.07	3.12	3.13	4.01	4.04	5.01	5.02	5.03	5.08	6.01(a)	6.02	6.05	9.01	Certificate Expires	Comments
25	**Thompson Engineering, Inc.**										X	X				X									X	X	3/31/2016	
	T. Y. Lin International									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X									X	2/28/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Foresite Group, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X										X	5/31/2015	
	Aulick Engineering LLC														X			X								X	12/31/2014	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	2/28/2015	
	Sycamore Consulting, Inc.								X																		8/31/2017	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
	Ranger Consulting, Inc.																						X	X	X		5/31/2015	
26	**STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates**			X		X					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	6/30/2016	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Sycamore Consulting, Inc.								X																		8/31/2017	
	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	1/31/2015	
	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated										X	X	X	X	X		X	X								X	2/29/2016	
	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc.										X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	8/31/2015	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
27	**TranSystems Corporation**																										8/31/2017	
	GT Hill Planners Corporation	X	X	X	X	X	X		X																		11/30/2015	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
	GCA Inc.									X			X	X													6/30/2017	
	Ranger Consulting, Inc.																						X	X	X		5/31/2015	
28	**URS Corporation**	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	X	X	X	6/30/2015	
	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X							X	X	5/31/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Long Engineering, Inc.										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	2/28/2015	
	Settimio Consulting Services Inc.																										4/30/2016	
	Contour Engineering, LLC																						X	X	X		4/30/2017	
	Ranger Consulting, Inc.																						X	X	X		5/31/2015	
	Luster National, Inc.																									X	9/30/2015	
	Lowe Engineers, LLC									X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X					X	10/31/2015	
29	**Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)**										X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	8/31/2015	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Sycamore Consulting, Inc.								X																		5/31/2017	
	Contour Engineering, LLC																						X	X	X		4/30/2017	
	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	2/28/2015	
30	**Wolverton & Associates, Inc.**									X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X				X	3/31/2017	
	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.										X	X			X	X	X	X								X	4/30/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	MC Squared, Inc.																						X	X	X		11/30/2017	
	United Consulting					X																X	X	X	X		8/31/2017	
31	**W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.**										X	X			X	X										X	4/30/2017	
	Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		5/31/2017	
	Willmer Engineering, Inc.																						X	X	X		2/28/2017	
	Toole Surveying Company, Inc																		X	X	X						12/31/2015	
	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								X	9/30/2015	
	So-Deep, Inc.																					X					11/30/2014	

GDOT GUIDE FOR SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS
RFQ 484-111414 (B3-2014)
Engineering Design Services
Contract #4, P.I. No. 245080-

This ENTIRE GUIDE must be reviewed carefully by all Selection Committee Members BEFORE the evaluation of submittals.

Coordination and Communication

Karen Mims will coordinate the overall submittal evaluation process and serve as Facilitator of any Selection Committee Meetings through the completion of the evaluation. All Committee members will be provided copies of submittals and related information, and will be notified of any proposed (if applicable) meetings, conference calls, and deadlines. IMPORTANT- *All written communication* (e-mails, memos, scoresheets, handwritten notes in SOQs, etc.) related to the evaluation can be subject to public record. Therefore, all such communication should be limited to objective and verifiable information.

Evaluation Process

The evaluation and scoring will be handled in two phases. Phase I will be the evaluation of the written Statements of Qualifications received from all respondents. Phase II will be the evaluation of the written responses from the Finalists. The scoring for the Finalists will be carried forward from Phase I and added to the scores from Phase II to determine the highest ranked Finalists and hence with whom negotiations will be initiated. The criteria to be utilized in the evaluation and scoring are as follows:

Phase I

- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – (20% or 200 Points)**
- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – (30% or 300 Points)**

Phase II

- **Technical Approach – (40% or 400 Points)**
- **Past Performance – (10% or 100 Points)**

Phase I
Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

Evaluation of Eligible Submittals

Submittals determined eligible must be read thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will determine the rating for each criteria as follows:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

Directions for use of the Evaluation Preliminary Scoring Forms:

Scoring forms will be distributed to all Selection Committee members along with copies of submittals which were received and validated. Evaluators will have the option of using the hard copy forms or an electronic version of the form. However, to ensure that Open Records Request can be filled in compliance with the law, Evaluators who choose to use the electronic version of the form should only maintain one version of the form and must provide the electronic version of the form to Procurement. Each evaluator will use their numbered scoring form for scoring all submittals. Evaluators must ensure that the name of the Firm being evaluated is written in the appropriate box to identify the Firm to whom the ratings

and comments belong. Using the criteria categories in **Evaluation of Eligible Submittals** above, each submittal will be given a **preliminary score** for each of the criteria. The Reviewer should provide comments for each section which support the rating. Reviewers should not seek to write down everything that the submittal contains. Rather, Reviewers should first determine the rating and then answer why they feel the rating is warranted.

The review, preliminary scoring, and comments MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting and must be sent to the Procurement Facilitator by the deadline given in order to make efficient and effective usage of all Selection Committee Members time.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EVALUATING AVAILABILITY

Through working with the consultant industry, they asked that when considering their availability, we consider more than merely the number of projects they have listed. With this in mind we have allowed space in their SOQ for the respondents to provide a narrative in their ability. This narrative will allow them to discuss how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. It also recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project workloads and allows them to discuss the advantages of their team and the abilities of their team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule. If there is no schedule provided, they can discuss the advantages of the team and abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. You MUST consider this narrative along with the workload table when rating the SOQs. You MUST NOT merely look at the workload table solely for making the rating decision.

Evaluation Meeting:

All completed Scoring Forms with the preliminary scores and comments for each criteria of each firm, must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Monday, December 08, 2014. The completed forms must be turned in at the conclusion of the meeting.

Prior to the meeting, the Facilitator will use the scores and subsequent ranks to determine where the majority of the discussion should be focused. Generally, the majority of the discussion will center on the top submittals. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted.

The final rankings will be used to determine the three to five Finalists who will proceed and have their scores carried forward to Phase II of the evaluation.

It is important to note, that all evaluation scoring, notes, and comments will be subject to open records and there is a very high likelihood they will be reviewed by a wide variety of individuals. For this reason, it is extremely important to adhere to all guidelines and suggestions contained in this Guide for Selection Committee Members.

Phase II

Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

- Finalists will be required to submit a written response which must detail the Technical approach (including design concepts and use of alternative methods).

- Past Performance - Procurement will be checking references and will provide the results of the reference checks to the Selection Committee. The Selection Committee will also be allowed to bring any information for consideration they have available regarding the Firm's performance on any project/contract.

Submittals and Past Performance information must be read/considered thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will make notes in the submittals and must be prepared to discuss their position in the Selection Committee Meeting for Phase II. **The review and notes MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting.**

Evaluation Meeting:

All notes must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Thursday, January 15, 2015. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted. The Committee will assign the following ratings:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

FINAL SCORING AND SELECTION

The scores from Phase I and Phase II will be added together and a final overall ranking will be determined and provided for Selection Committee approval.

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE PRELIMINARY SCORING AND RANKING OF SUBMITTALS

Solicitation Title:	*Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-*	1	URS Corporation
Solicitation #:	*RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4*	2	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Preliminary Scoring based on Published Criteria		3	RS&H, Inc.
(This Page For GDOT Use)		4	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.
		5	Gresham, Smith and Partners
	(RANKING)	6	Atkins North America, Inc
	Sum of	7	R. K. Shah & Associates
	Individual	8	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC
SUBMITTING FIRMS	Rankings / Ranking	9	TranSystems Corporation
		10	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	45 / 23	11	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
American Engineers, Inc.	45 / 24	12	Columbia Engineering
Atkins North America, Inc	18 / 6	13	Clark Patterson Lee
CDM Smith, Inc.	38 / 17	14	QK4, Inc.
Clark Patterson Lee	37 / 13	15	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.
Columbia Engineering	35 / 12	16	Thompson Engineering, Inc.
Croy Engineering, LLC	41 / 19	17	CDM Smith, Inc.
Gresham, Smith and Partners	17 / 5	18	W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	32 / 10	19	Croy Engineering, LLC
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	31 / 8	20	KCI Technologies, Inc.
KCI Technologies, Inc.	41 / 20	21	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Keck & Wood, Inc.	64 / 30	22	Lowe Engineers, LLC
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	42 / 21	23	American Consulting Professionals, LLC
Lowe Engineers, LLC	43 / 22	24	American Engineers, Inc.
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	14 / 4	25	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated
Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated	45 / 25	26	Pond & Company
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	50 / 27	27	Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.
Mulkey Engineers & Consultants	55 / 29	28	STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	33 / 11	29	Mulkey Engineers & Consultants
Pond & Company	48 / 26	30	Keck & Wood, Inc.
QK4, Inc.	37 / 14	31	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)
R. K. Shah & Associates	24 / 7		
RS&H, Inc.	13 / 3		
Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	37 / 15		
Thompson Engineering, Inc.	37 / 16		
STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates	54 / 28		
TranSystems Corporation	31 / 9		
URS Corporation	7 / 1		
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)	93 / 31		
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	7 / 2		
W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.	38 / 18		

Evaluator 1

Evaluation Criteria →	Experience and Qualifications	Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Phase One Evaluator 1 Individual	
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*		
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
American Engineers, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Atkins North America, Inc	Adequate	Good	325	9
CDM Smith, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Clark Patterson Lee	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Columbia Engineering	Adequate	Good	325	9
Croy Engineering, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Gresham, Smith and Partners	Good	Good	375	1
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	325	9
KCI Technologies, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Keck & Wood, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Good	Adequate	300	14
Lowe Engineers, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Mulkey Engineers & Consultants	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Pond & Company	Adequate	Good	325	9
QK4, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
R. K. Shah & Associates	Good	Good	375	1
RS&H, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Thompson Engineering, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	325	9
URS Corporation	Good	Good	375	1
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)	0	0	0	31
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*	*500*	*%*

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-111414- Engineering Design Services, Contract 4, P.I. No.245080-	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #:	1		

Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.

Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points
Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points
Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points
Good = More then meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points
Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points

Firm Name: American Consulting Professionals, LLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → INADEQUATE

Comments
PM - STEVEN CARVER 20 yrs DALTON + CONNORS
ROADS - TERRY BOUTWELL 18 yrs 1 LSTD 5 PROJECTS
MOSTLY FL EXPER

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → ADEQUATE

Comments
GENERALLY CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK.
PE LISTED IN ROADWAY AS WELL

Firm Name: American Engineers, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM - TOM FRAZEL - 18 yrs / 17 yrs MARIETTA
ROADS - BRYON RILEY 18 PE MGCS
PM - KENNETH OTT 32 yrs / 20 yrs
NEPA - JON COX 16 yrs - FAZ013S — GDOT REFERENCES, APPEARS GOOD.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
GENERALLY CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK
PE'S PROTECTION CONCEPT.
ROADWAY AWAITING NTP 1 PROJECT

Firm Name: Atkins North America, Inc

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM - MIKE MOSELEY - 14/14 SOME DESIGN BUILD LOTS
ROADS - CHRIS LONG 18/18
PM - DAN BURGOYNE 27/20

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments
DESIGN BUILD
PM HAS LARGE AMOUNT OF WORK IN LETTING AS PM ON FAST PACE DESIGN BUILD PROJECT
ROADWAY HAS MORE AVAILABILITY (CST MOSTLY)

Firm Name: CDM Smith, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

PM - UNIT SECTION PE MS, PMP 20 YRS
TLD - JEFF VICKERY 6 YRS
PATRICK DELOT

Comments CAPABILITY OF PERFORMING WORK

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments LISTED NETWORK STAFF to BORROW in WEEDED.

Firm Name: Clark Patterson Lee

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

PM - MARK HANSON 15
TLD BRADLEY COX 7
BR - ROBBINS 29
NEPA - SUTTON 21

Comments - CAP. OF PERFORMING WORK

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments PM LEAD DESIGN ENGINEER ON OTHER PROJECT. BR PROJECTS ALL SHOWN in PRELIMINARY PHASE + ACTIVE

Firm Name: Columbia Engineering

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

PE - MAUREEN NEREMBAUM - 29 FORMER BOY PARK GROUP
TLD - HELEN HAWKINS - 20 BIT
BR - CONSTANCE MORRISSEY - 7 YRS - DOI - PLEASANT HILL
NEPA EP SAWYER

Comments 2 - PMIQ COLUMBIA / MCHUGH BAILOR

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments PM 1 PROJECT
ROADWAY 3 PROJECT 2 LET | 1 FINAL PLANS
— AVAILABILITY EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS

Firm Name: Croy Engineering, LLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

PM - CHRIS ROBERTS 25 YRS - GRIP
TLD - DAVID WEBB - 8 YRS
BR - SHANNY POWELL 31
NEPA MELANIE BROOKS

Comments

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments CAPABILITY OF PERFORMING WORK
PM - MOSTLY in CONCEPT

Firm Name: Gresham, Smith and Partners

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → GOOD

PM – SCOTT SIMPSON 20 yrs – PM ON ADJACENT TIA SR 15 PROJECT WHICH WOULD HELP in COORDINATION BETWEEN PROJECTS.
RD – ERIC RUHLEN 17
BR – TOM TEAM 2 yrs
NEPA – AARON
– LIST RR COORDINATION

Comments

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → GOOD

EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS
(70% – 75%) AVAILABILITY.

Comments

Firm Name: Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → GOOD

PM – ANDY KANDRACY 21
RD – TRAM BRAUDEN 25
BR – MR SOOD SHARAF 29
NEPA SUSAN THOMAS 21 E.P.

Comments

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → GOOD

EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS

Comments

Firm Name: Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

PM – LARRY COOK 20
RD – TYLER MCINTOSH – 7 yrs
BR – PETER GRAY 19 NC EXPER MOSTLY / RR
NEPA – LEMOR BRONSBERG 20

Comments

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → GOOD

EXCEEDS in AVAILABLE AREA RD 100% in May.

Comments

Firm Name: KCI Technologies, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

PM – STAN HICKS 35 yrs
RD – KERNE BOUCHETTE 15 yrs – CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK
BR – AL BOWMAN 25
NEPA – LEMOR BRONSBERG 18

Comments

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% — Assigned Rating → ADEQ

PM OFFICE MANAGER AS WELL.
– CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK.

Comments

Firm Name: Keck & Wood, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM – RICK GURNEY – V.P. / SECRETARY 37 YRS KEW
RD – ROBERT RENWICK 7 YRS improvements, stor
BR – SAMMY POWELL 30
NER SUSAN THOMAS – 21 YRS
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WK.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Firm Name: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments
PM – PETER COAKLEY 16 YRS GRIP
RD – SEAN JOHNSTON – 15 YR/10
BR – DAVID STRICKLIN – 18 (S.E)
DEBBIE WILSON – 35 YRS
– HAS CURRENT LOGICAL TERMINI EXPER. & INDIVIDUAL QA/QC – ROADWAY & BRIDGE.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM & PROJECT in 4 PHASES – CONCEP, P.P., F.P. + CST SERVICES

Firm Name: Lowe Engineers, LLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM – WILLIAM SHEEHAN 13 YRS
RD – NATHAN LAIRD 14 YRS
BR – SCOTT CAPLES 29 YRS 111 GA
SUSAN
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM & LR. PROJECTS in PREL. PLANS WITH – L.R. 1 Final.

Firm Name: Michael Baker Jr., Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments
PM – BEN CLOPPER 16 y 1 BAKER
RD – BRAD GOWEN 15
BR – GEORGE MANNING 15 1 BAKER
NEPA – MARY BEST 40
– GOOD QA QC
– EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments
EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS

Firm Name: Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM - CHRIS MARSENGILL 18
RD - TRENT HUFFMAN 21 - 7 NCDOT
BR - ROBBIE FRIZZELL 29
NEPA - PATRICK SMEETON 20
- CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WK.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Firm Name: Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
PM - KEN TIMPSON 21 YRS MORE DESIGN EXP than PM
RD - ALAN SMITH - 29 YRS 2 DIPLOMA'S
BR - JOE MCGREW 35 YRS
NEPA - TODD HILL
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Firm Name: Mulkey Engineers & Consultants

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → AQ

Comments
PM - KEN MCDUFF 25 YRS
RD - ALEX STONE 20 YRS
BR - ROBBIE 29
NEPA - MEREDITH TREDEAU

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → AQ

Comments
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Firm Name: Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments
PM - BILL ROUNDTREE 30 YRS
RD - SHAWN REESE 21 YEARS
BR - JARED OGDNOR
NEPA - STUART TYLER 36

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments
EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS
RDWAY 1 PROJECT Final PLANS 20 hrs AFTER JAN 15.

Firm Name: Pond & Company

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → AQ

Comments
PM - BRYON LETOURNEAU 17 YR
RD - DANIEL SABIA 8 YR - GDOT EXP
BR - TOM TRAN 23 FHGBR
NEPA - MEREDITH TREDEAU 16 Y
- CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WK

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments
RD Final in CONSTRUCTION

Firm Name: QK, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → AG

Comments: PM - ANDREW BULLERSTEDT / V.P. 25 YRS — DOUBLE ROLL
RD - JEFF DYER PE MS. 33 YRS
BR - ROBBIE FRIZZELL 29
NEPA - PATRICK SMEETON 20 YR — CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WK.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → AG

Comments: DON'T KNOW V.P TIME REQUIREMENTS
CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Firm Name: R. K. Shah & Associates

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments: PM - RAJU - 38/26 YR RD - GARRETT SAUBER 19
BR. - GARRICK EDWARDS 26 YR / 6F BRG BR. SUSAN THOM 21/13

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments: RDWY. WILL BE OPEN DEC 31 F.D. + MAY F.D.

Firm Name: RS&H, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments: PM - JEFF VANDYKE 28 / RD - JOHNNY LEE 13 YR
BR - GREG GRANT 29 / NEPA - SUSAN THOM 21 (- EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS)

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments: AVAILABLE CLOSE TO 75% TIME (EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS)

Firm Name: Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments: PM - DOYLE KELLEY 20 YRS / RD JOHN GIORDANO 9 YRS
BR ARUNA SASTRY BR EXP ? / NEPA JEFF INGHAM - MSCE

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments: PRIMARY OFFICE SAVANNAH + (CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WK.)

Firm Name: Thompson Engineering, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments: PM - JEFF CHURCH 22 YR / 15 PM RD TOM HARJUNG 24 YRS
BR - ROBERT MASSARO 28 YRS / NEPA SUSAN 21 YRS

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments: WORK DONE AT ATL OFFICE // CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WK

Firm Name: STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments: PM - MARQUE POZIN 22 MSCE RD JEAN YU - 9 YRS + CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK
BR ROBBIE FRIZZELL 29 YR NEPA SUSAN 21 YR

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments: - RD + PM Wanting NTP on A FEW CONTRACTS
- CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Firm Name: TranSystems Corporation

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → ADEQ

Comments: PM DAVID HENRY - 19 YRS RD RICK HARTLINE 30 YRS
BR - JOHN ROSSLOW 12 EROSION NEPA - PATRICK SMEETON 20 YRS
LEAD RD NOR PM LIST LEVEL 1 / CERTIFICATION - (CAPABLE OF PERFORM WK)

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → GOOD

Comments: PM 50% COMPLETE MOST
RD 1 PROJECT - (EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS)

Firm Name:	URS Corporation
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → GOOD
Comments	PM- NICK CASTRONOVA 21, RD- HATEM ALY 22YRS + EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS BR- SCOTT CAPLES 29/11GA NEPA - LAURA DAWOOD 17
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → GOOD
Comments	ALCOM RESOURCES AVAILABLE / NEARLY 100% AVAILABLE. RD 2 PROJECTS in Final Plans 70% + 100% COMPLET - (EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS

Firm Name:	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →
Comments	DISQUALIFIED
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →

Firm Name:	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → GOOD
Comments	PM- MARIO MACRINA 20/15GDOT RD - MARISSA MUSCHOTT 15YRS BR- MASOOD SHABAZAZ 29YR (HLL) SUSAN (EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS)
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → GOOD
Comments	PM 65% AVAIL - NO Final 75%, 85%, 55% (EXCEEDS in SOME ASPECTS

Firm Name:	W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → ADEQ
Comments	PM- ERIK HAMMARLUND 212 / V.P. RD ROBERT BAISDEN MSCE BR- DAVID STRICKLIN 18YRS KH SUSAN - 21 + CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WK)
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → ADEQ
Comments	AUGUST HEADQUARTERS. 45% - (CAPABLE OF PERFORMING WORK

Evaluator 2

Evaluation Criteria →	Experience and Qualifications	Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Phase One Evaluator 2 Individual	
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*		
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
American Engineers, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
Atkins North America, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	8
CDM Smith, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	200	12
Clark Patterson Lee	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
Columbia Engineering	Adequate	Marginal	175	15
Croy Engineering, LLC	Adequate	Marginal	175	15
Gresham, Smith and Partners	Adequate	Marginal	175	15
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Good	Adequate	300	3
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
KCI Technologies, Inc.	Adequate	Marginal	175	15
Keck & Wood, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	8
Lowe Engineers, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	8
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	Adequate	Good	325	2
Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	8
Mulkey Engineers & Consultants	Marginal	Adequate	200	12
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	200	12
Pond & Company	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
QK4, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
R. K. Shah & Associates	Good	Adequate	300	3
RS&H, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
Thompson Engineering, Inc.	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
TranSystems Corporation	Marginal	Marginal	125	19
URS Corporation	Good	Adequate	300	3
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)	0	0	0	31
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	Good	Adequate	300	3
W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.	Good	Adequate	300	3
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*	*500*	*%*

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-111414- Engineering Design Services, Contract 4, P.I. No.245080-	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #:	2		

Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.

Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points
Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points
Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points
Good = More then meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points
Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points

Firm Name: American Consulting Professionals, LLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → **Marginal**

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening projects (two in GA one in FL) and one bypass - 45 hrs
RD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Comity from AL – Expires 12/31/16 – three widening projects (one in GA two in FL) – 80 hrs
BD – 12 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Comity from FL – Expires 12/31/14 – two minor bridge project in GA & one bridge project in FL - 40 hrs - DNK
NEPA – 14 years of experience – one roundabout project in GA and two projects in FL - 40 hrs
FIRM – one extension project in FL, two widening projects in FL, one new location project in FL and one on-call services contract with Whitfield County

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → **Marginal**

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening projects (two in GA one in FL) and one bypass - 45 hrs
RD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Comity from AL – Expires 12/31/16 – three widening projects (one in GA two in FL) – 80 hrs
BD – 12 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Comity from FL – Expires 12/31/14 – two minor bridge project in GA & one bridge project in FL - 40 hrs - DNK
NEPA – 14 years of experience – one roundabout project in GA and two projects in FL - 40 hrs
FIRM – one extension project in FL, two widening projects in FL, one new location project in FL and one on-call services contract with

Firm Name: American Engineers, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → **Marginal**

PM – 18 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Comity from KY – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening project in GA and two in other states – 50 hrs
RD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/16 – three widening project in GA - 40 hrs
BD – 32 years of experience – PE since 1995 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects in GA and one bridge replacement project in GA– 0 hrs – OFS - ISSUES
NEPA – 10 years of experience – former GDOT employee – environmental lead on several widening projects – 34 hrs
FIRM – four widening projects in GA and one project in TN???

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → **Marginal**

PM – 18 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Comity from KY – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening project in GA and two in other states – 50 hrs
RD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/16 – three widening project in GA - 40 hrs
BD – 32 years of experience – PE since 1995 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects in GA and one bridge replacement project in GA– 0 hrs – OFS - ISSUES
NEPA – 10 years of experience – former GDOT employee – environmental lead on several widening projects – 34 hrs

Firm Name: Atkins North America, Inc

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → **Adequate**

PM – 14 years of experience – PE since 2004 – Expires 12/31/14 – two interchange projects, one road improvement project and two bridge replacement project all in GA – 102 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2005 – Expires 12/31/16 – one widening project, one airport project and on interchange project all in GA - 60 hrs
BD - 27 years of experience – PE since 2008 – Comity from PA – Expires 12/31/14 – one interchange project in GA, one bridge replacement project in GA and one project in PA - 22 hrs
NEPA – 18 years of experience – one widening project in GA and two bridge replacement projects in GA – 54 hrs
FIRM – one interchanges/collector-distributor road project, one intersection improvement project, two widening projects and on design services contract all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → **Adequate**

PM – 14 years of experience – PE since 2004 – Expires 12/31/14 – two interchange projects, one road improvement project and two bridge replacement project all in GA – 102 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2005 – Expires 12/31/16 – one widening project, one airport project and on interchange project all in GA - 60 hrs
BD - 27 years of experience – PE since 2008 – Comity from PA – Expires 12/31/14 – one interchange project in GA, one bridge replacement project in GA and one project in PA - 22 hrs
NEPA – 18 years of experience – one widening project in GA and two bridge replacement projects in GA – 54 hrs
FIRM – one interchanges/collector-distributor road project, one intersection improvement project, two widening projects and on design services contract all in GA

Firm Name:	CDM Smith, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 20 years of experience??? – PE since 2008 – Comity from VA – Expires 12/31/14 – one interchange project, one bridge replacement project , two connector project and one complete streets project all in GA– 60 hrs
RD – 7 years of experience??? – PE since 2012 – Expires 12/31/14 – one connector project, one widening project and one complete street project all in GA – 8 hrs
BD – 10 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – two bridge replacement projects and one interchange project – 70 hrs - ISSUES
NEPA – 28 years of experience – One widening project, one bridge replacement project and on connector project all in GA - 40 hrs
FIRM – one connector project, one interstate reconstruction project, two bridge replacement projects and one complete streets project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 20 years of experience??? – PE since 2008 – Comity from VA – Expires 12/31/14 – one interchange project, one bridge replacement project , two connector project and one complete streets project all in GA– 60 hrs
RD – 7 years of experience??? – PE since 2012 – Expires 12/31/14 – one connector project, one widening project and one complete street project all in GA – 8 hrs
BD – 10 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – two bridge replacement projects and one interchange project – 70 hrs - ISSUES
NEPA – 28 years of experience – One widening project, one bridge replacement project and on connector project all in GA - 40 hrs
FIRM – one connector project, one interstate reconstruction project, two bridge replacement projects and one complete streets project all in GA

Firm Name:	Clark Patterson Lee

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 15 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/16 – One turn lane project, one widening project and one intersection project all in GA– 40 hrs
RD – 7 years of experience – PE since 2012 – Expires 12/31/16 – one interchange project and two widening project all in GA - 40 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bride replacement projects – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA - 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – two bridge replacement projects, one interchange project and two widening/reconstruction project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 15 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/16 – One turn lane project, one widening project and one intersection project all in GA– 40 hrs
RD – 7 years of experience – PE since 2012 – Expires 12/31/16 – one interchange project and two widening project all in GA - 40 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bride replacement projects – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA - 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – two bridge replacement projects, one interchange project and two widening/reconstruction project all in GA

Firm Name:	Columbia Engineering

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 29 years of experience – PE since 1991 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects, one bypass project on concept development project all in GA - 15 hrs
RD – 20 years of experience – NOT GA PE – two widening projects and one intersection reconstruction project all in GA - 16 hrs
BD – 18 years of experience??? – PE since 2014 – Comity from IL – Expires 12/31/16 – one DDI project, one widening project and one intersection project all in GA - NO HOURS LISTED
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA - 108 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one widening/reconstruction project, one widening project, one interchange project and one intersection project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 29 years of experience – PE since 1991 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects, one bypass project on concept development project all in GA - 15 hrs
RD – 20 years of experience – NOT GA PE – two widening projects and one intersection reconstruction project all in GA - 16 hrs
BD – 18 years of experience??? – PE since 2014 – Comity from IL – Expires 12/31/16 – one DDI project, one widening project and one intersection project all in GA - NO HOURS LISTED
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA - 108 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one widening/reconstruction project, one widening project, one interchange project and one intersection project all in GA

Firm Name:	Croy Engineering, LLC
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → Adequate

PM – 25 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 - three widening projects all in GA – 52 hrs
RD – 8 years of experience – PE since 2014 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening projects all in GA – 40 hrs
BD – 31 years of experience – PE since 1987 – Expires 12/31/14 – one statewide bridge replacement project in GA, one bridge replacement project in AI of nine bridges and one bridge replace project in GA - 64 hrs
NEPA – 13 years of experience – one widening project, one interchange project and one trail project all in GA –0 hrs
FIRM – one new location project (issues), one widening project, one new interchange project and one widening/realignment project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 25 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 - three widening projects all in GA – 52 hrs
RD – 8 years of experience – PE since 2014 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening projects all in GA – 40 hrs
BD – 31 years of experience – PE since 1987 – Expires 12/31/14 – one statewide bridge replacement project in GA, one bridge replacement project in AI of nine bridges and one bridge replace project in GA - 64 hrs
NEPA – 13 years of experience – one widening project, one interchange project and one trail project all in GA –0 hrs
FIRM – one new location project (issues), one widening project, one new interchange project and one widening/realignment project all in GA

Firm Name:	Gresham, Smith and Partners

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2000 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, two safety and operational improvement projects, one connector project and one bridge replacement project all in GA – 42 hrs
RD – 17 years of experience – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, one widening project and one safety intersection project all in GA– 50 hrs
BD – 23 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 – One bypass project, one bridge replacement project and one superstructure replacement project - 36 hrs - ISSUES
NEPA – 10 years of experience??? – one bypass project, one concept project and one bypass project all in GA - 64 hrs
FIRM - one GRIP project, two removal of reversible lanes projects, one widening/safety improvement project and one interchange project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2000 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, two safety and operational improvement projects, one connector project and one bridge replacement project all in GA – 42 hrs
RD – 17 years of experience – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, one widening project and one safety intersection project all in GA– 50 hrs
BD – 23 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 – One bypass project, one bridge replacement project and one superstructure replacement project - 36 hrs - ISSUES
NEPA – 10 years of experience??? – one bypass project, one concept project and one bypass project all in GA - 64 hrs
FIRM - one GRIP project, two removal of reversible lanes projects, one widening/safety improvement project and one interchange project all in GA

Firm Name:	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good

PM – 21 years of experience – PE since 2001 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – two bypass project, two widening projects and one new interchange project all in GA– NO HOURS LISTED
RD – 19 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one extension project all in GA – NO HOURS LISTED
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – two bridge projects on new location and one bridge interchange project all in GA – NO HOURS LISTED
NEPA – 21 years of experience – One bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA - NO HOURS LISTED
FIRM – three bypass projects, one widening/reconstruction project and on widening/interchange project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 21 years of experience – PE since 2001 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – two bypass project, two widening projects and one new interchange project all in GA– NO HOURS LISTED
RD – 19 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one extension project all in GA – NO HOURS LISTED
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – two bridge projects on new location and one bridge interchange project all in GA – NO HOURS LISTED

Firm Name:	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 30 years of experience – PE since 1986 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – one connector project, one widening project, one reconstruction project, one interchange project and one extension project all in GA – 16 hrs – RAILROAD PM
RD - ??? years of experience – PE since 2010 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one connector project all in GA – 70 hrs
BD - ??? years of experience – PE since 2007 – comity from FL – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge projects all in NC – 35 hrs - DNK
NEPA - ??? years of experience – one bypass project and two widening projects all in GA – 50 hrs
FIRM – new location project in SC, two widening projects in SC, one improvement project in SC and one widening project in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 30 years of experience – PE since 1986 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – one connector project, one widening project, one reconstruction project, one interchange project and one extension project all in GA – 16 hrs – RAILROAD PM
RD - ??? years of experience – PE since 2010 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one connector project all in GA – 70 hrs
BD - ??? years of experience – PE since 2007 – comity from FL – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge projects all in NC – 35 hrs - DNK
NEPA - ??? years of experience – one bypass project and two widening projects all in GA – 50 hrs
FIRM – new location project in SC, two widening projects in SC, one improvement project in SC and one widening project in GA

Firm Name:	KCI Technologies, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 35 years of experience – PE since 1988 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects, one connector project and one bridge replacement project all in GA– 32 hrs
RD – 15 years of experience – 15 years of experience – PE since 2004 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening projects all in GA- 32 hrs
BD – 25 years of experience – PE since 1998 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – one bridge interchange project, one connector project and one causeway project all in GA – 40 hrs
NEPA – 18 years of experience – one bypass project, one widening project and one bridge replacement project all in GA – 26 hrs
FIRM – two widening projects in GA, bridge replacement project in GA and bypass project in NC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 35 years of experience – PE since 1988 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects, one connector project and one bridge replacement project all in GA– 32 hrs
RD – 15 years of experience – 15 years of experience – PE since 2004 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening projects all in GA- 32 hrs
BD – 25 years of experience – PE since 1998 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – one bridge interchange project, one connector project and one causeway project all in GA – 40 hrs
NEPA – 18 years of experience – one bypass project, one widening project and one bridge replacement project all in GA – 26 hrs
FIRM – two widening projects in GA, bridge replacement project in GA and bypass project in NC

Firm Name:	Keck & Wood, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 29 years of experience with K&W – PE since 1985 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects, one extension project, one bridge replacement project and one intersection improvement project in GA – 140 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 7 years of experience with K&W– PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – one widening project, one operational project and city project in GA – 90 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 30 years of experience – PE since 1987 – Expires 12/31/14 – one statewide bridge project, one bridge replacement project and upgrade project to add rail to bridges all in GA – 40 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one new bypass project and one widening project all in GA - 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one widening/reconstruction project, one extension project, one road improvement project and one bridge replacement project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 29 years of experience with K&W – PE since 1985 – Comity – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects, one extension project, one bridge replacement project and one intersection improvement project in GA – 140 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 7 years of experience with K&W– PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – one widening project, one operational project and city project in GA – 90 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 30 years of experience – PE since 1987 – Expires 12/31/14 – one statewide bridge project, one bridge replacement project and upgrade project to add rail to bridges all in GA – 40 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one new bypass project and one widening project all in GA - 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one widening/reconstruction project, one extension project, one road improvement project and one bridge replacement project all in GA

Firm Name:	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 12 years of experience with KH– PE since 2009 – Comity from MT – one GRIP project, two widening projects, one extension project and one bridge replacements project all in GA – Expires 12/31/14 – 115 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 10 years of experience with KH – PE since 2003 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one improvement project all in GA – 90 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 18 years of experience with KH– PE since 2006 – Comity from NC – Expires 12/31/14 – one interchange project, one widening project and one extension project all in GA – 40 hrs
NEPA – 30 years of experience with KH – one GRIP project and two widening projects all in GA – 45 hrs
FIRM – one widening project, one GRIP project, one extension project and one widening/reconstruction project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 12 years of experience with KH– PE since 2009 – Comity from MT – one GRIP project, two widening projects, one extension project and one bridge replacements project all in GA – Expires 12/31/14 – 115 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 10 years of experience with KH – PE since 2003 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one improvement project all in GA – 90 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 18 years of experience with KH– PE since 2006 – Comity from NC – Expires 12/31/14 – one interchange project, one widening project and one extension project all in GA – 40 hrs
NEPA – 30 years of experience with KH – one GRIP project and two widening projects all in GA – 45 hrs
FIRM – one widening project, one GRIP project, one extension project and one widening/reconstruction project all in GA

Firm Name:	Lowe Engineers, LLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 13 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/14 – two bypass projects, one auxiliary lane project, one intersection improvement projects and two widening projects all in GA – 80 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 14 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – one improvement project, one widening project and one improvement/widening project all in GA – 75 hrs
BD -29 years of experience – PE since 2004 – comity from MD – Expires 12/31/14 – one grade separation bridge project in GA, one bridge project in OH and one widening project in GA – 32 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA - 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one connector project, two widening projects, one road improvement project and one bridge replacement project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 13 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/14 – two bypass projects, one auxiliary lane project, one intersection improvement projects and two widening projects all in GA – 80 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 14 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – one improvement project, one widening project and one improvement/widening project all in GA – 75 hrs
BD -29 years of experience – PE since 2004 – comity from MD – Expires 12/31/14 – one grade separation bridge project in GA, one bridge project in OH and one widening project in GA – 32 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA - 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one connector project, two widening projects, one road improvement project and one bridge replacement project all in GA

Firm Name:	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 16 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, three widening projects, two improvement projects, one passing lane project and one interchange project all in GA – 8 hrs
RD – 15 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/16 – one connector project, one improvement project and one widening project all in GA – 28 hrs
BD – 15 years of experience – PE since 2004 – comity from IL – Expires 12/31/16 – one extension project, one bridge replacement project and one improvement project all in GA – 2 hrs
NEPA - 40 years of experience – one HOV project, one connector project and one widening & bridge replacement project all in GA– 16 hrs
FIRM – two road improvement projects, one widening project, one connector project and one widening/improvement project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Good

PM – 16 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, three widening projects, two improvement projects, one passing lane project and one interchange project all in GA – 8 hrs
RD – 15 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/16 – one connector project, one improvement project and one widening project all in GA – 28 hrs
BD – 15 years of experience – PE since 2004 – comity from IL – Expires 12/31/16 – one extension project, one bridge replacement project and one improvement project all in GA – 2 hrs
NEPA - 40 years of experience – one HOV project, one connector project and one widening & bridge replacement project all in GA– 16 hrs
FIRM – two road improvement projects, one widening project, one connector project and one widening/improvement project all in GA

Firm Name:	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 19 years of experience??? – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/16 – three widening projects and one intersection realignment project all in GA – 24 hrs
RD – 21 years of experience – PE since 2005 – Comity from NC – Expires 12/31/14 – one bypass project in NC and one widening & interchange project in NC – 38 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge projects– 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA – 20 years of experience – One bypass project, one widening project and one extension project - 35 hrs
FIRM – two bypass projects in NC, one realignment project in FL and one widening/interchange project in FL

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 19 years of experience??? – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/16 – three widening projects and one intersection realignment project all in GA – 24 hrs
RD – 21 years of experience – PE since 2005 – Comity from NC – Expires 12/31/14 – one bypass project in NC and one widening & interchange project in NC – 38 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge projects– 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA – 20 years of experience – One bypass project, one widening project and one extension project - 35 hrs
FIRM – two bypass projects in NC, one realignment project in FL and one widening/interchange project in FL

Firm Name:	Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 21 years of experience – PE since 1999 – Expires 12/31/14 – one intersection realignment project, one extension project, two widening projects and one widening/relocation project all in GA – 124 hrs (over half book)
RD – 29 years of experience – NOT GA PE – two widening projects and one intersection improvement project all in GA – 120 hrs (over half book)
BD – 35 years of experience – PE since 1981 – Expires 12/31/14 – one bridge replacement project, one work order services contract and one widening interstate project all in GA – 66 hrs
NEPA - ??? years of experience – one interchange project, on connector project and one bypass project all in GA – 60 hrs 40 hrs aft 2015
FIRM – one widening project, on road improvement project, one interchange project, one ramp/interchange project in ATL and one GRIP project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 21 years of experience – PE since 1999 – Expires 12/31/14 – one intersection realignment project, one extension project, two widening projects and one widening/relocation project all in GA – 124 hrs (over half book)
RD – 29 years of experience – NOT GA PE – two widening projects and one intersection improvement project all in GA – 120 hrs (over half book)
BD – 35 years of experience – PE since 1981 – Expires 12/31/14 – one bridge replacement project, one work order services contract and one widening interstate project all in GA – 66 hrs
NEPA - ??? years of experience – one interchange project, on connector project and one bypass project all in GA – 60 hrs 40 hrs aft 2015
FIRM – one widening project, on road improvement project, one interchange project, one ramp/interchange project in ATL and one GRIP project all in GA

Firm Name:	Mulkey Engineers & Consultants

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 25 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening/reconstruction projects, one widening GRIP project and one corridor improvement project all in GA – 140 hrs (over half book) after July 2015 only 10 hrs
RD – 19 years of experience – PE since 2000 – Expires 12/31/16 - one road improvement project and two widening projects all in GA – 62 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge project all in GA – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA – 16 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one task order project an done HOV Project all in GA – 20 hrs
FIRM – two widening/reconstruction projects, one road improvement project one corridor improvement project and one widening project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM – 25 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/14 – three widening/reconstruction projects, one widening GRIP project and one corridor improvement project all in GA – 140 hrs (over half book) after July 2015 only 10 hrs
RD – 19 years of experience – PE since 2000 – Expires 12/31/16 - one road improvement project and two widening projects all in GA – 62 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge project all in GA – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA – 16 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one task order project an done HOV Project all in GA – 20 hrs
FIRM – two widening/reconstruction projects, one road improvement project one corridor improvement project and one widening project all in GA

Firm Name:	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 30 years of experience – PE since 1995 – Expires 12/31/16 – all project listed while district preconstruction engineer in other offices or by consultants – 52 hrs - ISSUE
RD- 21 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one bridge replacement project all in GA– 160 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 27 years of experience – PE since 1998 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge replacement projects all in GA – 90 hrs (over half booked)
NEPA – 30??? years of experience – one manage lane project in GA, one on-call contract in FL and one project with USDOT– 86 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one connector project, two bridge replace projects and two widening projects all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → Adequate

PM – 30 years of experience – PE since 1995 – Expires 12/31/16 – all project listed while district preconstruction engineer in other offices or by consultants – 52 hrs - ISSUE
RD- 21 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one bridge replacement project all in GA– 160 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 27 years of experience – PE since 1998 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge replacement projects all in GA – 90 hrs (over half booked)
NEPA – 30??? years of experience – one manage lane project in GA, one on-call contract in FL and one project with USDOT– 86 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one connector project, two bridge replace projects and two widening projects all in GA

Firm Name:	Pond & Company
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 17 years of experience - PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one extension project, one widening project, one interchange project and one complete street project all in GA – 40 hrs
RD – 8 years of experience – PE since 2014 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one grade separation project all in GA– 104 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 23 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 – two bridge replacement projects and one big bridge contract all in GA – 39 hrs
NEPA- 16 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one task order project and one HOV project all in GA – 20 hrs
FIRM – one new location project, two widening projects, one safety improvement project and one extension project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 17 years of experience - PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one extension project, one widening project, one interchange project and one complete street project all in GA – 40 hrs
RD – 8 years of experience – PE since 2014 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one grade separation project all in GA– 104 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 23 years of experience – PE since 1996 – Expires 12/31/16 – two bridge replacement projects and one big bridge contract all in GA – 39 hrs
NEPA- 16 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one task order project and one HOV project all in GA – 20 hrs
FIRM – one new location project, two widening projects, one safety improvement project and one extension project all in GA

Firm Name:	QK, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM –25 years of experience – PE since 1995 – Expires 12/31/16 – one extension project, three widening projects and one bypass project all in GA– 10 hrs after 2/2015 0 hrs
RD – 33 years of experience – PE since 1985 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one new alignment project all in GA – 15 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge project all in GA – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer – 54 hrs
NEPA – 20 years of experience – one road improvement project, one bypass project and one interstate corridor project all in GA– 35 hrs after 12/2014 25 hrs
FIRM – two widening projects, one extension project, on road improvement project and one statewide intersection task order all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM –25 years of experience – PE since 1995 – Expires 12/31/16 – one extension project, three widening projects and one bypass project all in GA– 10 hrs after 2/2015 0 hrs
RD – 33 years of experience – PE since 1985 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one new alignment project all in GA – 15 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge project all in GA – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer – 54 hrs
NEPA – 20 years of experience – one road improvement project, one bypass project and one interstate corridor project all in GA– 35 hrs after 12/2014 25 hrs
FIRM – two widening projects, one extension project, on road improvement project and one statewide intersection task order all in GA

Firm Name:	R. K. Shah & Associates

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good

PM- 38 years of experience – PE since 1987 – Comity – Expires 12/31/14 - (engineering experience) three widening projects, one operational improvement project and one one-way pair project all in GA (PM experience) one interstate widening/reconstruction project and two widening/reconstruction projects all in GA– 48 hrs
RD – 13 years of experience – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/14 – one operational improvement project, one widening project and one one-way pair project all in GA– 72 hrs
BD – 21 years of experience – PE since 2002 – comity from PA – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening/reconstruction bridge projects and one big bridge contract all in GA – 56 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – Two widening projects and one interstate widening/reconstruction project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

PM- 38 years of experience – PE since 1987 – Comity – Expires 12/31/14 - (engineering experience) three widening projects, one operational improvement project and one one-way pair project all in GA (PM experience) one interstate widening/reconstruction project and two widening/reconstruction projects all in GA– 48 hrs
RD – 13 years of experience – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/14 – one operational improvement project, one widening project and one one-way pair project all in GA– 72 hrs
BD – 21 years of experience – PE since 2002 – comity from PA – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening/reconstruction bridge projects and one big bridge contract all in GA – 56 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – Two widening projects and one interstate widening/reconstruction project all in GA

Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good

PM – 28 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, two widening projects, one safety improvement project and one extension project all in GA– 46 hrs
RD – 13 years of experience – PE since 2009 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one new location project all in GA– 0 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1992 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening/reconstruction interstate bridge projects in GA and design build project in MO – 86 hrs after 11/2014 only 6 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs after 2/2015 72 hrs after 7/2015 56 hrs after 8/2015 32 hrs
FIRM – one bypass project in GA, one widening project in FL, on widening project in GA and one bridge replacement project in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Good

PM – 28 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, two widening projects, one safety improvement project and one extension project all in GA– 46 hrs
RD – 13 years of experience – PE since 2009 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening projects and one new location project all in GA– 0 hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1992 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening/reconstruction interstate bridge projects in GA and design build project in MO – 86 hrs after 11/2014 only 6 hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience – one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs after 2/2015 72 hrs after 7/2015 56 hrs after 8/2015 32 hrs
FIRM – one bypass project in GA, one widening project in FL, on widening project in GA and one bridge replacement project in GA

Firm Name:	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2006 – Comity from SC – Expires 12/31/16 – four widening projects and one extension project al in GA– 110 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 9? years of experience – PE since 2009 – Expires 12/31/14 – one extension project, one improvement project and one corridor improvement project all in GA– 55 hrs
BD – 30?? years of experience – PE since 1991 – Expires 12/31/16 – three bridge projects – 25 hrs - ISSUES
NEPA – 14?? years of experience – two widening projects and one roundabout project all in GA – 38 hrs
FIRM – four widening projects and one extension project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2006 – Comity from SC – Expires 12/31/16 – four widening projects and one extension project al in GA– 110 hrs (over half booked)
RD – 9? years of experience – PE since 2009 – Expires 12/31/14 – one extension project, one improvement project and one corridor improvement project all in GA– 55 hrs
BD – 30?? years of experience – PE since 1991 – Expires 12/31/16 – three bridge projects – 25 hrs - ISSUES
NEPA – 14?? years of experience – two widening projects and one roundabout project all in GA – 38 hrs
FIRM – four widening projects and one extension project all in GA

Firm Name:	Thompson Engineering, Inc.
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 24 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/14 – one widening project in GA, one new interchange project in AL and three safety improvement projects in GA – 28-hrs
RD – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/14 – one widening project and two widening/reconstruction projects all in GA – 60 hrs
BD- 25 years of experience – PE since 2014 – Comity from NY – Expires 12/31/16 – three bridge projects in CO and NY– 48-hrs - DNK
NEPA – 21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one extension project in AL, one widening project in GA, one widening project in AL, one widening/reconstruction project in AL and on interchange project in AL

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 24 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/14 – one widening project in GA, one new interchange project in AL and three safety improvement projects in GA – 28-hrs
RD – PE since 2001 – Expires 12/31/14 – one widening project and two widening/reconstruction projects all in GA – 60 hrs
BD- 25 years of experience – PE since 2014 – Comity from NY – Expires 12/31/16 – three bridge projects in CO and NY– 48-hrs - DNK
NEPA – 21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one extension project in AL, one widening project in GA, one widening project in AL, one widening/reconstruction project in AL and on interchange project in AL

Firm Name:	STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating → Marginal

PM – 22 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening/improvement projects and one widening project all in GA – 60 hrs
RD – 9 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – one improvement project in GA, one widening project in GA and one bypass project in SC – 90 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge project all in GA – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA -21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – two widening projects in GA, two widening projects in SC and one bypass project in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

PM – 22 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/16 – two widening/improvement projects and one widening project all in GA – 60 hrs
RD – 9 years of experience – PE since 2011 – Expires 12/31/16 – one improvement project in GA, one widening project in GA and one bypass project in SC – 90 hrs (over half booked)
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three bridge project all in GA – 54 hrs – Hydraulics Engineer
NEPA -21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88 hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – two widening projects in GA, two widening projects in SC and one bypass project in GA

Firm Name:	TranSystems Corporation

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

TranSystem
PM – 19 years of experience – PE since 1999 – Expires 12/31/14 – (As designer engineer) two widening projects and one road improvement project (as project engineer) big bridge contract, interstate widening, three widening projects one new location and a bridge replace project (with issues) – 36-hrs
RD – 30 years of experience – NO PE – one widening project, one one-way pair/bridge replacement project (with issues) and one widening reconstruction project – 40-hrs
BD – 12 years of experience – PE since 2008 – expires 12/31/14 – on county road bridge replacement project, one parkway project with a bridge and one state route bridge replacement project – 10-hrs
NEPA – 20 years of experience, one road improvement project, one bypass project and one high speed rail service project – 40-hrs
FIRM – two widening projects, two new location project all in GA and on-call services contract in SC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Marginal

TranSystem
PM – 19 years of experience – PE since 1999 – Expires 12/31/14 – (As designer engineer) two widening projects and one road improvement project (as project engineer) big bridge contract, interstate widening, three widening projects one new location and a bridge replace project (with issues) – 36-hrs
RD – 30 years of experience – NO PE – one widening project, one one-way pair/bridge replacement project (with issues) and one widening reconstruction project – 40-hrs
BD – 12 years of experience – PE since 2008 – expires 12/31/14 – on county road bridge replacement project, one parkway project with a bridge and one state route bridge replacement project – 10-hrs
NEPA – 20 years of experience, one road improvement project, one bypass project and one high speed rail service project – 40-hrs
FIRM – two widening projects, two new location project all in GA and on-call services contract in SC

Firm Name:	URS Corporation

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → **Good**

PM – 21 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one task order contract, one widening project, one erosion control work order contract, one realignment project and one interstate reconstruction project all in GA– 36-hrs
RD – 22 years of experience – PE since 2006 – comity from OH – Expires 12/31/14 – one realignment project and one widening project all in GA – 12-hrs
BD – 29 years of experience – PE since 2004 – Comity from MD – Expires 12/31/14 – one bridge project on new location in GA, one turnpike project in OH and one widening project in GA – 28-hrs
NEPA – 17 years of experience – one road improvement project, one bridge replacement project and one road widening project all in GA – 32-hrs
FIRM – three widening/reconstruction projects, one on-call contract and bike/pedestrian facilities project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → **Adequate**

PM – 21 years of experience – PE since 2002 – Expires 12/31/16 – one task order contract, one widening project, one erosion control work order contract, one realignment project and one interstate reconstruction project all in GA– 36-hrs
RD – 22 years of experience – PE since 2006 – comity from OH – Expires 12/31/14 – one realignment project and one widening project all in GA – 12-hrs

Firm Name:	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating →

Assigned Rating →

Firm Name:	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → **Good**

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2004 – Comity from AL – Expires 12/31/16 – four widening projects and one new location project all in GA– 60-hrs
RD – 15 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one continuous flow project all in GA – 40-hrs
BD - 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three complex bridge project in urban areas all in GA – 24-hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88-hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one bypass project and four widening projects all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → **Adequate**

PM – 20 years of experience – PE since 2004 – Comity from AL – Expires 12/31/16 – four widening projects and one new location project all in GA– 60-hrs
RD – 15 years of experience – PE since 2007 – Expires 12/31/14 – two widening projects and one continuous flow project all in GA – 40-hrs
BD - 29 years of experience – PE since 1989 – Expires 12/31/14 – three complex bridge project in urban areas all in GA – 24-hrs
NEPA – 21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 88-hrs (over half booked)
FIRM – one bypass project and four widening projects all in GA

Firm Name:	W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20% | Assigned Rating → **Good**

PM – 22 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, three widening projects and bridge replacement project all in GA – 85-hrs (over half booked)
RD - 19 years of experience – PE since 1999 – Expires 12/31/14 - three widening projects all in GA - 110-hrs (over half booked)
BD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2006 – comity from NC – Expires 12/31/14 – one bridge replacement project, one widening project and on interchange project all in GA - 88-hrs (over half booked)
NEPA – 21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 40-hrs
FIRM – one bypass project, two widening projects and one access road on new location project all in GA

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30% | Assigned Rating → **Adequate**

PM – 22 years of experience – PE since 1997 – Expires 12/31/16 – one bypass project, three widening projects and bridge replacement project all in GA – 85-hrs (over half booked)
RD - 19 years of experience – PE since 1999 – Expires 12/31/14 - three widening projects all in GA - 110-hrs (over half booked)
BD – 18 years of experience – PE since 2006 – comity from NC – Expires 12/31/14 – one bridge replacement project, one widening project and on interchange project all in GA - 88-hrs (over half booked)
NEPA – 21 years of experience - one bridge replacement project, one bypass project and one road improvement project all in GA – 40-hrs
FIRM – one bypass project, two widening projects and one access road on new location project all in GA

Evaluator 3

Evaluation Criteria →

	Experience and Qualifications	Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Phase One Evaluator 3 Individual	
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*		
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
American Consulting Professionals, LLC	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
American Engineers, Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Atkins North America, Inc	Excellent	Excellent	500	1
CDM Smith, Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.	Good	Excellent	450	3
Columbia Engineering	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Croy Engineering, LLC	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Gresham, Smith and Partners	Excellent	Excellent	500	1
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Good	Adequate	300	28
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Good	Excellent	450	3
KCI Technologies, Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Keck & Wood, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	30
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Good	Good	375	20
Lowe Engineers, LLC	Good	Good	375	20
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Good	325	27
Mulkey Engineers & Consultants	Good	Adequate	300	28
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	375	20
Pond & Company	Good	Good	375	20
QK4, Inc.	Good	Excellent	450	3
R. K. Shah & Associates	Good	Good	375	20
RS&H, Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	400	11
Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	Good	Excellent	450	3
Thompson Engineering, Inc.	Good	Excellent	450	3
STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates	Good	Good	375	20
TranSystems Corporation	Good	Excellent	450	3
URS Corporation	Good	Excellent	450	3
Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)	0	0	0	31
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	Good	Excellent	450	3
W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.	Good	Good	375	20
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*	*500*	*%*

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-111414- Engineering Design Services, Contract 4, P.I. No.245080-	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #:	3		

Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.

Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points
Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points
Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points
Good = More then meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points
Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points

Firm Name: American Consulting Professionals, LLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

Comments: Minimum GDOT experience for Design lead. No GDOT FONSI experience for NEPA lead.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.

Firm Name: American Engineers, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

Comments: Design team lead has required minimum relevant experience.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.

Firm Name: Atkins North America, Inc

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members has significant relevant experience . Along with project scope & tasks description they have included how they made difference in overall project delivery.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.

Firm Name:	CDM Smith, Inc.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Adequate
Comments: Meets minimum relevant experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.			

Firm Name:	Clark Patterson Lee		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members shows relevant experience. However, no example provided for PM's projects.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.			

Firm Name:	Columbia Engineering		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Adequate
Comments: Team members shows relevant experience. However, Project Manger did not described project management related experience (such as managing schedule/ budget/ on time project delivery) but includes all design related experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.			

Firm Name:	Croy Engineering, LLC		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Adequate
Comments: Team members shows relevant experience. However, Project Manger did not described project management related experience (such as managing schedule/ budget/ on time project delivery) but includes all design related experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.			

Firm Name:	Gresham, Smith and Partners

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members has significant relevant experience . Along with project scope & tasks description they have included how they made difference in overall project delivery.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.

Firm Name:	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good

Comments: All team members shows relevant experience.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

Comments: No hours/month commitment included in SOQ.

Firm Name:	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good

Comments: All team members shows relevant experience.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.

Firm Name:	KCI Technologies, Inc.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate

Comments: Roadway Design Lead has minimum required experience.

Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent

Comments: All team members shows about 50% availability for this project.

Firm Name:	Moffatt & Nichol Incorporated		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Adequate
Comments: PM's experience shows all local projects.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members show about 50% availability for this project.			

Firm Name:	Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Adequate
Comments: Road design Lead has no P.E.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: Team members have less than 50% availability			

Firm Name:	Mulkey Engineers & Consultants		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Adequate
Comments: PM have about 20 hrs/month availability			

Firm Name:	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: PM's experience is adequate.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: Team members have less than 50% availability.			

Firm Name:	Pond & Company		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: Design lead has less than 50% availability.			

Firm Name:	QK, Inc.	
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments Bridge Eng.: no GDOT experience		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members show about 50% availability for this project.		

Firm Name:	R. K. Shah & Associates	
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: Team members have less than 50% availability.		

Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.	
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Adequate
Comments: Roadway design lead has adequate experience		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members show about 50% availability for this project.		

Firm Name:	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: PM and roadway lead have adequate experience.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: Team members have less than 50% availability.		

Firm Name:	Thompson Engineering, Inc.	
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members show about 50% availability for this project.		

Firm Name:	STV Incorporated dba STV Ralph Whitehead Associates	
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: Team members have less than 50% availability.		

Firm Name:	TranSystems Corporation	
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%	Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: NEPA lead has adequate experience.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%	Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members show about 50% availability for this project.		

Firm Name:	URS Corporation		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members show about 50% availability for this project.			

Firm Name:	Vaughn & Melton Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Disqualified)		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	
Comments			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	

Firm Name:	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Excellent
Comments: All team members show about 50% availability for this project.			

Firm Name:	W. R. Toole Engineers, Inc.		
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 20%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: All team members show relevant experience.			
Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 30%		Assigned Rating →	Good
Comments: Lead designer has 110/month current commitments.			

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF TOP SUBMITTALS FOR PHASE I

Solicitation Title:	*Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-*	1	Gresham, Smith and Partners
Solicitation #:	*RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4*	2	URS Corporation
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria FOR TOP TEN SUBITTALS		2	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.
(This Page For GDOT Use)		2	RS&H, Inc.
		2	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.
	(RANKING)	6	Atkins North America, Inc
		6	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC
	Group	6	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
SUBMITTING FIRMS	Score / Ranking	9	R. K. Shah & Associates
		9	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
		11	TranSystems Corporation
		11	Columbia Engineering
URS Corporation	375 / 2	11	Clark Patterson Lee
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	375 / 2	11	QK4, Inc.
RS&H, Inc.	375 / 2	11	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	375 / 2	11	Thompson Engineering, Inc.
Gresham, Smith and Partners	425 / 1		
Atkins North America, Inc	325 / 6		
R. K. Shah & Associates	300 / 9		
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	325 / 6		
TranSystems Corporation	250 / 11		
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	300 / 9		
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	325 / 6		
Columbia Engineering	250 / 11		
Clark Patterson Lee	250 / 11		
QK4, Inc.	250 / 11		
Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	250 / 11		
Thompson Engineering, Inc.	250 / 11		

Evaluation Criteria →	Experience and Qualifications	Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Phase One Scores and Group Ranking	
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*		
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
URS Corporation	Good	Good	375	2
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	Good	Good	375	2
RS&H, Inc.	Good	Good	375	2
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	Good	Good	375	2
Gresham, Smith and Partners	Excellent	Good	425	1
Atkins North America, Inc	Adequate	Good	325	6
R. K. Shah & Associates	Good	Adequate	300	9
Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Good	325	6
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Adequate	250	11
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	Good	Adequate	300	9
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Adequate	Good	325	6
Columbia Engineering	Adequate	Adequate	250	11
Clark Patterson Lee	Adequate	Adequate	250	11
QK4, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	11
Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	Adequate	Adequate	250	11
Thompson Engineering, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	11
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*	*500*	*%*

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	URS Corporation	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good

The Project Manager (PM) has extensive experience with GDOT's policies and procedures. The Prime as well as the team have significant relevant experience with projects of similar scope.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The staff listed on the organizational chart was detailed and clear. The workload capacity was 50% completed on all the listed projects. The team appears to be available for the required project.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good

The Project Manager (PM) has extensive experience with GDOT's policies and procedures. The Bridge Engineer lead listed complex bridge projects in urban areas. All key team leads showed relevant experience for work of similar scope.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The PM had 60% availability, and other key team members appeared to have sufficient availability and workload capability. The staff listed on the organizational chart was detailed and clear.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	RS&H, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good

The Project Manager (PM), Bridge and Environmental key leads have extensive experience of similar scope of work. The Lead Design lead has a fair amount of experience with projects in the Metro area.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The organizational chart was detailed and showed a lot of resources assigned to this project. The workload capacity on the team was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good

The Prime has extensive experience with projects of relevant scope. The Lead Design key member has sufficient experience.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The organizational chart was detailed and listed one Roadway, Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) lead and one Structural lead. The workload capacity was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Gresham, Smith and Partners	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Excellent

The Prime explained the challenges and approaches for the projects and listed major aspects in detail. The Project Manager (PM) noted the delivery of the project emphasizing the cost and schedule. The key team leads have experience of relevant scopes of work.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The organizational chart's resources were detailed for the assigned position. The workload capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Atkins North America, Inc	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

All four key team leaders showed extensive experience for projects of similar scope. The team provided examples as well as mentioned how they handled challenges. The Project Manager and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Lead explained the team's delivery focused approach.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The PM's workload commitment appears to be sufficient. The Roadway lead shows a high percentage of availability.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	R. K. Shah & Associates	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good

All team members show relevant experience of similar nature for this project. The Project Manger (PM) has numerous years with design experience and project management. The team displays strong National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Bridge Structural experience.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate

The workoad capacity for the team has a high percentage for being available pending final plan submission in December 2014/May 2015. The organizational chart shows the resources for key personnel.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, PLLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The Project Manager (PM) and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Lead listed relevant projects of similar scope of work. The Bridge Lead's experience was not noted within the proximity. The Roadway Lead did not list his years of experience, even though it shows he is a P.E.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The workload capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects. The organizational chart shows the resources are available and was detailed and concise.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	TranSystems Corporation	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The Roadway Lead did not list his Level 2 certification (Erosion Control). The Bridge Engineer shows he worked for multiple firms, therefore has not shown stability.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate

The organizational chart only lists one Structural Engineer member. The workload capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good

All key team leads have relevant experience of similar scope of services. The firm listed various bridge projects that were complex of nature.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate

The commitment chart did not list hours per month for staff. The organizational chart was sufficient and clear for the respective personnel.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The Project Manager (PM) has experience with GDOT's policies and practices. The team showed relevant experience for projects of similar scope. There was a concern that most of the listed projects for the PM were completed by subconsultants.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Good

The organizational chart was clear and detailed displaying the assigned personnel appropriately. As of January 2015, key team leaders have 50% availability.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Columbia Engineering	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The team members showed relevant experience of similar scope. The Project Manager (PM) did not describe related experience such as managing schedule/budget and on time delivery.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate

The organizational chart was not clear because of the content of the printing. The workload capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Clark Patterson Lee	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The PM has relevant lead design experience, but does not show project management experience. The Road Design Lead listed project descriptions but provided no details of his role.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate

The organizational chart only lists one Structural Engineer member. The capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	QK4, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The Project Manager (PM) has relevant lead design experience, but does not show project management experience. The Bridge Engineer has numerous projects listed with extensive experience in hydraulics, but limited experience in structural design.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate

There was only one Road Design lead for the project per the organizational chart. The capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The Project Manager (PM) listed projects of relevant experience. The Bridge Design Lead's projects listed were lacking strength/descriptive experience. Bridge Lead listed relevant experience as National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and not as structual.

Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Assigned Rating	Adequate

One of the listed employees on the organizational chart works for GDOT. The workload capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects.

RFQ		PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Thompson Engineering, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
All team members have relevant experience with simliar scope of work. The lead bridge design does not have experience with GDOT's policies and procedures.			
Resources availability and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
The organizational chart was not clearly categorized. The workload capacity for the team was 50% completed on all projects.			

Georgia Department of Transportation

SELECTION OF FINALISTS

RFQ-484-111414
Engineering Design Services – (B3-2014)

The Georgia Department of Transportation is pleased to announce the selections of the following firms as finalists regarding the above RFQ for (B3-2014), Contracts 1-7:

Selected Finalists:

Project/Contract #1 – (PI/Project # 0006048)

1. Atkins North America, Inc.
2. Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC
3. KCI Technologies, Inc.
4. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
5. Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.

Project/Contract #2 – (PI/Project # 0000401)

1. KCI Technologies, Inc.
2. Moffatt & Nichol
3. Mulkey, Inc.
4. Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
5. QK4, Inc.

Project/Contract #3 - (PI/Project # 122660-)

1. Gresham, Smith and Partners
2. KCI Technologies, Inc.
3. Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc.
4. RS&H, Inc.
5. Wolverton & Associates, Inc.

Project/Contract #4 - (PI/Project # 245080-)

1. Gresham, Smith and Partners
2. Michael Baker Jr., Inc.
3. RS&H, Inc.
4. URS Corporation
5. Wolverton & Associates, Inc.

Project/Contract #5 - (PI/Project # 132986-)

1. CDM Smith, Inc.
2. Gresham, Smith and Partners
3. Infrastructure Consulting and Engineering, LLC.
4. Michael Baker Jr., Inc.
5. Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.

Project/Contract #6 - (PI/Project # 0010821)

1. American Engineers, Inc.
2. Clark Patterson Engineers, Surveyor and Architects, P.C.
3. Mulkey, Inc.
4. Pond and Company
5. R.K. Shah & Associates, Inc.

Project/Contract #7 - (PI/Project # 0007855)

1. American Engineers, Inc.
2. KCI Technologies, Inc.
3. Kennedy Engineering & Associates Group, LLC
4. Moffatt & Nichol
5. URS Corporation

Keith Golden, P.E., Commissioner

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

One Georgia Center, 600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Telephone: (404) 631-1000

December 17, 2014

NOTICE TO SELECTED FINALISTS

To: Gresham, Smith and Partners; Michael Baker Jr., Inc.; RS&H, Inc.; URS Corporation; Wolverton & Associates, Inc.

Please send an e-mail confirming receipt of this notice to Karen Mims @ (kmims@dot.ga.gov).

Re: RFQ-484-111414 – Engineering Design Services, Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

On behalf of the Selection Committee for the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) referenced above, we congratulate you and your firm on being selected as a finalist for further consideration. This notice shall serve as an official request for additional required information and action from finalists. **Please refer to the original solicitation (RFQ-484-111414), page 9, VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response, A&B and page 10, IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response, A-D for instructions to submit your package.** As a finalist, your firm is required to comply with the written instructions and remaining schedule below:

A. <u>Technical Approach - 40%</u>

This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.

Furnish information that may serve to differentiate your firm from other firms and evidence of the firm's fit to the project and/or needs of GDOT, including:

1. Technical approach to delivering the project (including design concepts and use of alternative methods.
2. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, or knowledge which your firm has which could benefit the project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. <u>Past Performance - 10%</u>

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Remaining Schedule

1. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms.	12/17/2014	----------
2. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists (e-mail preferred)	01/02/2015	2:00 PM
3. GDOT Receives Submittals I, and 2 for Phase II	01/09/2015	2:00 PM

C. Finalist Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Suitability** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. For each evaluator, the points assigned to each criterion will be totaled and a rank will be determined. The rankings of all evaluators will be totaled for each finalist in order to determine the sum of the individual rankings. The finalists will be ranked in descending order of recommendation using the sum of individual rankings from the Selection Committee members. Should a tie exist for the highest ranking firm on the contract/project, and qualifications appear to be equal, the Selection Committee shall defer to the sum of the individual points and the award shall be made to the finalist with the highest sum.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract, including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm, GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations in writing and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

Please address any questions you may have to Karen Mims, and congratulations, again, to each of you!

Karen Mims
kmims@dot.ga.gov
404-631-1430

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	January 9, 2015
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm

No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Compliant with Page # Limitations
1	Gresham, Smith and Partners	1/9/2015	8:21 a.m.	X
2	URS Corporation	1/9/2015	12:02 p.m.	X
3	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	1/9/2015	12:02 p.m.	X
4	RS&H, Inc.	1/9/2015	11:38 a.m.	X
5	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	1/9/2015	12:09 p.m.	X

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF SUBMITTALS

Solicitation Title:	*Engineering Design Services, P.I. No. 245080-*	1	URS Corporation
Solicitation #:	*RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4*	2	Gresham, Smith and Partners
PHASE I AND PHASE II -Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overal Ranking based on Published Criteria		3	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.
		3	RS&H, Inc.
		5	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.

(This Page For GDOT Use)

SUBMITTING FIRMS	(RANKING) Sum of Total Score	Group Ranking
Gresham, Smith and Partners	825	2
URS Corporation	875	1
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	750	3
RS&H, Inc.	750	3
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	650	5

Evaluation Criteria →

	PHASE I		PHASE II		Group Scores and Ranking	
	Experience and Qualifications	Resources availability and Workload Capacity	Technical Approach - Suitability	Past Performance		
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*	*400*	*100*		
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Gresham, Smith and Partners	Excellent	Good	Good	Excellent	825	2
URS Corporation	Good	Good	Excellent	Excellent	875	1
Wolverton & Associates, Inc.	Good	Good	Good	Good	750	3
RS&H, Inc.	Good	Good	Good	Good	750	3
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	Good	Good	Adequate	Good	650	5
Maximum Points allowed =	*200*	*300*	*400*	*100*	*1000*	%

I	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Gresham, Smith and Partners		
Suitability -Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Good

The Consultant has historic knowledge regarding the community and the corridor. The Prime also has cost-saving benefits managing the adjacent projects by utilizing the same team for the other adjacent project. The firm has familiarity with the environmental processes and has good Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) methods.

Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Excellent

The firm has a good relationship with Snellville CID and they will be one of the major stakeholders for this project. The Consultant also addressed unforeseen concerns, according to the GDOT PM from previous projects. It was also mentioned on the past performance evaluation the firm would be utilized again for new projects if the opportunity arose.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	URS Corporation		
Suitability -Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Excellent

The Consultant's proposal addressed each key team member's role and the significance of that role to the project. They discussed and cover all the key points for this project and understand what this project needs. The firm analyzed various aspects of the project in detail. They summarized the technical approach very well.

Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Excellent

Outstanding past performance on a very complex project. The evaluators as a group agreed that the URS's PM assigned to various projects does a great job.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Wolverton & Associates, Inc.		
Suitability -Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Good

The Prime's proposal stated they would exceed the baseline and deliver the project ahead of the construction funding year. There was an example of the schedule in the proposal. The Consultant mentioned minimizing the environmental impact and railroad coordination's which are two large components of this project.

Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Good

Firm is good to work with, responsive and efficient.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	RS&H, Inc.		
Suitability -Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Good

The firm mentioned value engineering approach to projects. The firm provided information about the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) breakdown. The construction impacts were also discussed. The firm recognizes the challenges of constructability and minimizing the environmental impacts for this project.

Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Good

The firm has good customer service and is well respected.

RFQ	RFQ 484-111414, B3, Contract 4	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.		
Suitability -Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate

The firm's proposal mentioned the Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) in detail to cover key components of the project. The Consultant team has knowledge of GDOT's Plan Development Process.

Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Good

The evaluators agreed they are a good firm, deliver projects in a timely manner and works extremely hard.

RFQ 484-111414

Engineering Design Services (B3-2014), Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

Reference Check Scores for
Gresham Smith and Partners

Reference A

Firm Name	**GA Department of Transportation**		
Project Name	SR 49/US 41/SR 11 Widening/Safety Improvements		
Project Manager	Derrick Cameron	Title	Assistant State Project Review
Contact Information	404-631-1223		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		9
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		10
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		9
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		9
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		9
Comments	They were reponsive; also did a good job in addressing unforeseen concerns		

Reference B

Firm Name	**Gwinnett County Department of Transportation**		
Project Name	Gwinnett County DOT Bridge Replacement - SR 324 over I-85, Buford, GA		
Project Manager	Eric Rickert, Scott Shelton, P.E.	Title	Project Managers
Contact Information	John Ray, Gwinnett Co., Project Manager, 770-822-7470		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		9
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		9
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		9
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		9
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		9
Comments	Did a very good job. We use them quite a bit and will utilize them again.		

RFQ 484-111414
Engineering Design Services (B3-2014), Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

Reference Check Scores for
Michael Baker, Jr., Inc.

Reference A

Firm Name	**Douglas County Department of Transporation**		
Project Name	Lee Road form SR 92/Fairburn Road to I-20, Douglas County, GA		
Project Manager	Randy Hulsey	Title	Director of DDOT
Contact Information	770-920-4932		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		8
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		8
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		8
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		7
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		8
Comments	Managed the project very well and went through several managers but they accomplished a good design and are assisting with the ROW.		

Reference B

Firm Name	**Georgia Department of Transportation, Office of Innovative Delivery**		
Project Name	Design-Build/Jimmy Deloach Connector		
Project Manager	Andrew Hoenig	Title	Project Manager
Contact Information	404-631-1757		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		9
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		8
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		8
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		9
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		9
Comments	Overall, they are a good firm to work for and pay attention to details.		

RFQ 484-111414
Engineering Design Services (B3-2014), Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

Reference Check Scores for
RS&H, Inc.

Reference A

Firm Name	**Cobb County Department of Transportation, Marietta, GA**		
Project Name	North Booth Road and Wooten Lake Road		
Project Manager	Dana Goodman	Title	Project Manager
Contact Information	770-528-1634		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		7
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		7
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		8
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		8
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		9
Comments	Professional well respected firm.		

Reference B

Firm Name	**Georgia Department of Transportation, Office of Program Delivery**		
Project Name	SR 140/Houze Road over Little River		
Project Manager	Kim Nesbitt	Title	Project Manager
Contact Information	404-631-1575		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		7
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		7
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		6
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		7
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		7
Comments	Good customer service firm, provided good service.		

RFQ 484-111414

Engineering Design Services (B3-2014), Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

Reference Check Scores for
URS Corporation

Reference A

Firm Name	**Georgia Department of Transportation**		
Project Name	State Route 99 Widening and Reconstruction Projects Glynn County (2011 -		
Project Manager	Matt Bennett	Title	District Program Manager
Contact Information	912-530-4392		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		9
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		8
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		8
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management		8
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		8
Comments	The program manager you get makes a huge difference. Mr. Castronova is a really good PM and is very responsive.		

Reference B

Firm Name	**Georgia Department of Transportation, (State of Georgia)**		
Project Name	SR 92 Widening and Relocation, Douglasville, Georgia		
Project Manager	Mr. Peter Emmanuel	Title	Associate Project Manager
Contact Information	404-631-1158		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		10
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		10
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		10
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		10
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		10
Comments	URS Corporation did a tremendous job handling a high complex transportation project and getting it to LET for construction on time.		

RFQ 484-111414
Engineering Design Services (B3-2014), Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

Reference Check Scores for
Wolverton & Associates

Reference A

Firm Name	**GA Department of Transportation**		
Project Name	SR 101 Widening, Floyd County, GA		
Project Manager	Kenneth Franks	Title	Project Manager
Contact Information	404-631-1709		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		9
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		9
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		10
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		9
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		9
Comments	Good responsive firm, proactive and professional. PM always ontime, delivered product on schedule. Firm stayed on budget with high level of efficiency.		

Reference B

Firm Name	**Georgia Department of Transportation, Atlanta, GA**		
Project Name	SR 133 Widening, Brooks and Colquitt Counties, GA		
Project Manager	Cassius Edwards	Title	Project Manager
Contact Information	912-530-4370		
	Reference Questions		**Score**
	1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.		10
	2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.		10
	3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.		10
	4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.		9
	5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.		10
Comments	Firm does excellent work, goes above and beyond in performance. Firm is good to work with, responsive, and efficient. Evaluates all out-of-scope concerns/issues- brings them to GDOT PM and proposes resolutions. Works well with GDOT personnel.		

Reference Check Summary for
RFQ 484-111414
Engineering Design Services (B3-2014), Contract 4, P.I. No. 245080-

Questions (to be answered on 1-10 scale, 10 indicates best)	Gresham Smith and Partners	Michael Baker, Jr., Inc.	RS&H, Inc.	URS Corporation	Wolverton & Associates
1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in Project Management for your project.					
Reference A	9	8	7	9	9
Reference B	9	9	7	10	10
Section Average	9.00	8.50	7.00	9.50	9.50
2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.					
Reference A	10	8	7	8	9
Reference B	9	8	7	10	10
Section Average	9.50	8.00	7.00	9.00	9.50
3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.					
Reference A	9	8	8	8	10
Reference B	9	8	6	10	10
Section Average	9.00	8.00	7.00	9.00	10.00
4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program management.					
Reference A	9	7	8	8	9
Reference B	9	9	7	10	9
Section Average	9.00	8.00	7.50	9.00	9.00
5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.					
Reference A	9	8	9	8	9
Reference B	9	9	7	10	10
Section Average	9.00	8.50	8.00	9.00	9.50
Overall Average	**9.10**	**8.20**	**7.30**	**9.10**	**9.50**

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : URS* Corporation*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY URS CORPORATION Status:Active

DUNS: 003451256 +4: CAGE Code: 6UX95 DoDAAC:

Expiration Date: Feb 3, 2016 Has Active Exclusion?: No Delinquent Federal Debt?: No

Address: 300 M ST SE STE 400
City: WASHINGTON State/Province: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ZIP Code: 20003-3403 Country: UNITED STATES

STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

NOTICE OF PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT QUALIFICATION

You are qualified to provide Consulting Services to the Department of Transportation for the area-classes of work checked below. Notice of qualification is not a notice of selection.

NAME AND ADDRESS	ISSUE DATE	DATE OF EXPIRATION
URS Corporation 400 Northpark Town Center 1000 Abernathy Rd., NE, Suite 900 Atlanta, GA 30328	11/8/12	6/30/15

SIGNATURE

Glenn Barnes

1. Transporation Planning

X	1.01	State Wide Systems Planning
X	1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning
	1.03	Aviation Systems Planning
X	1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning
X	1.05	Alternate System and Corridor Location Planning
	1.06	Unknown
X	1.06a	NEPA Documentation
X	1.06b	History
X	1.06c	Air Studies
X	1.06d	Noise Studies
X	1.06e	Ecology
X	1.06f	Archaeology
	1.06g	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys
X	1.07	Attitude, Opinion and Community Value Studies
X	1.08	Airport Master Planning
X	1.09	Location Studies
X	1.10	Traffic Studies
	1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies
X	1.12	Major Investment Studies
X	1.13	Non-Motorized Transportation Planning

2. Mass Transit Operations

X	2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems) Management
X	2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies
X	2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System
X	2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communications and Information Systems
X	2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering
	2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures
X	2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical Systems
X	2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services
X	2.09	Aviation
X	2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems) Marketing

3. Highway Design Roadway

X	3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Rural Generally Free Access Highway Design
X	3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane with Curb and Gutter Generally Free Access Highways Design Including Storm Sewers
X	3.03	Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Widening and Reconstruction, with Curb and Gutter and Storm Sewers in Heavily Developed Commercial, Industrial and Residential Urban Areas
X	3.04	Multi-Lane, Limited Access Expressway Type Highway Design
X	3.05	Design of Urban Expressway and Interstate
X	3.06	Traffic Operations Studies
X	3.07	Traffic Operations Design
X	3.08	Landscape Architecture

3. Highway Design Roadway (Continued)

X	3.09	Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation
X	3.10	Utility Coordination
	3.11	Architecture
X	3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
X	3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
	3.14	Historic Rehabilitation
	3.15	Highway Lighting
X	3.16	Value Engineering
X	3.17	Design of Toll Facilities Infrastructure

4. Highway Structures

X	4.01	Minor Bridges Design
X	4.02	Major Bridges Design
	4.03	Movable Span Bridges Design
X	4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
X	4.05	Bridge Inspection

5. Topography

	5.01	Land Surveying
	5.02	Engineering Surveying
	5.03	Geodetic Surveying
	5.04	Aerial Photography
	5.05	Aerial Photogrammetry
	5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing
	5.07	Cartography
	5.08	Subsurface Utility Engineering

6. Soils, Foundation & Materials Testing

X	6.01a	Soil Surveys
X	6.01b	Geological and Geophysical Studies
X	6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
X	6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Soils and Foundation)
	6.04a	Laboratory Materials Testing
	6.04b	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials
X	6.05	Hazard Waste Site Assessment Studies

8. Construction

X	8.01	Construction Supervision

9. Erosion and Sedimentation Control

X	9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control and Comprehensive Monitoring Program
X	9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting
X	9.03	Field Inspections for Compliance of Erosion and Sedimentation Control Devices Installations