

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
STATE OF GEORGIA**

INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE PI No. 0010751 **OFFICE** Environmental Services

GB - MH

DATE February 1, 2012

FROM Glenn Bowman, P.E., State Environmental Administrator

TO Lisa Myers, Acting State Project Review Engineer

SUBJECT Certification for the Let of Project 0010751, District 3 Signing and Marking
Improvements at Various Locations in D3

CHECKLIST			YES	NO	
A. Is an approved environmental document, environmental clearance, or reevaluation on file?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Document type: PCE	Approval date: 1/23/2012	Current Approval Date: 1/23/2012			
B. Have any changes occurred since the last approval?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
CHECKLIST			YES	NO	N/A
C. Have all pre-construction environmental commitments been completed?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
D. Have all environmental commitments/requirements been included in the project plans and contract?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
E. Have all necessary permits (TVA, Section 404, Coast Guard, cemetery, etc.) been obtained?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
F. Have all Waters of the US mitigation credits been purchased?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
G. Have all buffer variances been obtained?			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Compliance with applicable environmental requirements has been completed for the subject project. There are no additional environmental commitments and/or requirements that would require notations in the plans. However, construction activities should be limited to areas within the designated project construction limits. Please see the attached Green Sheet.

CERTIFIED BY: *Jonathan Cox*
Jonathan Cox

REVIEWED BY: *Michael Hest*
Reviewing Manager

GB/jlc

cc: Via email: Renee Mays, Environmental Compliance Bureau;
Kelvin Mullins, GDOT Project Manager;
Rodney N. Barry, P.E., FHWA, Attn: Katy Allen, P.E., w/attachment (NEPA only);
Lakenya Rapley (2 for Certification – 3 for Certification/Reevaluation)
Project File/GDOT General Files/OEL Work Product File

Please ensure the following items (as applicable) are included in this certification:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Green Sheet | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 2. 404 Permit, Buffer Variance(s), and any other Env permit(s) required under NEPA, such as TVA permit | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 3. Proof of mitigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |

**ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION FOR PROGRAMMATIC
CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION CHECK LIST**

P.I. NUMBER: 0010751

STIP/TIP #: LUMP

PROJECT ID: NA

COUNTY: District 3 County

Project Description from TIP/STIP: NA

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The proposed project would add signing and marking improvements at various locations in District 3 (approx. +-500 locations.) The scope of work for this project would consist of the following:

1. Restriping the entire intersection
2. Restriping between the intersections when intersections are less than 1000 feet apart
3. Installing raised pavement markings as required
4. Replacing all existing signs (stop signs, yield signs, ect) – No overhead signs
5. Addition of signs as required per standard details
6. Replace and install rumble strips where required

All work would be completed within the existing ROW.

QUALIFIES AS PROJECT TYPE ELIGIBLE FOR PCE: *Please identify the most applicable item under the list of Eligible Scopes of Action in the Programmatic Agreement (PCE) this project (most) fits under: 2o. Highway safety, truck escape ramps, or traffic operation improvement projects including the installation of ramp metering control devices and lighting (as long as concept report has been approved by FHWA)*

RIGHT-OF-WAY

1. Are ROW and/or Easements (Permanent or Temporary) required for project implementation? Yes No

If Yes, define ROW/Easements amounts (width and/or acreage). NA

2. Does the proposed project involve any business or residential displacements? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

3. Does the proposed project/required ROW impair (such as loss of parking, substantial loss of residential yards) any use to remaining property? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

4. Do the proposed project and/or required ROW involve any change in access? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

5. Does the proposed project's required ROW involve any Public Controversy? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

6. Does the proposed project's required ROW involve UST and/or Hazardous Material sites? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

1. Has there been public involvement on this project? Yes No
If so, please describe all public involvement activities to date (# attendees, # comments, pro/con, nature of comments, etc) or why no public involvement was needed (Public Involvement should be held in conformance with the Department's Public Involvement Guidelines.) BASED ON THE TYPE OF PROJECT, NO PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES WERE CONDUCTED.

PUBLIC CONTROVERSY

1. Does the proposed project involve any potential for Public Controversy? Yes No
If yes, the project may not be eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement. GDOT should contact FHWA regarding the eligibility of the action for programmatic processing of the CE.

ARCHAEOLOGY

- No Archaeological Resources Present (*skip to the next section*)
 No Potential to Cause Effect
 Archaeological Resource/s are present. They are listed in the table below, along with their effects determinations:

HISTORY

- No Potential to Cause Effect
 No Historic Properties Affected
 The project has potential to cause effect, and there are historic resources present. They are listed in the table below, along with their effects determinations:

SECTION 4F

[Please list all identified Section 4F resources (public parks/recreation areas, historic resources, archaeological resources worthy of preservation in place, and/or wildlife/waterfowl refuges.) Remove table if none.]

1. Does the project involve any use of Section 4F Resources as defined in 23 CFR: Yes No
771.135?

If Yes, the PCE agreement is not applicable. If you are anticipating the use of De Minimis, you should mark this statement as Yes and not apply the PCE Agreement. Please refer to the March 2005 FHWA Policy Paper on Section 4F and the Office of Environmental Services' Environmental Procedures Manual for definitions of use.

FLOODPLAIN/FLOODWAY

1. Is there a significant encroachment on any floodplain/floodway? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement. (Regulatory Coordination and No Rise Certifications are still applicable, if needed.)

WATERS OF THE U.S./JURISDICTIONAL WATERS (WETLANDS/STREAMS/OPEN WATERS)

1. Please list the number of sites: 0
2. Please list largest individual impact (feet or acreage): 0
3. Please list out cumulative impact to all sites (feet and acreage): 0
4. If a Section 404 permit is needed, is the project eligible for a Nationwide or Regional Permit? Yes No N/A

If No, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

PROTECTED SPECIES [Please list all species names. In the Status column please mark if it is Federal or State by denoting E= Endangered; T=Threatened; C=Candidate]

1. Does the project qualify under Appendix A of the Joint Coordination Procedures (JCP)? Yes No
(List type of activity in which it qualifies: 9. Pavemt markings/signals/RR warnings)
2. Do all species listed have a No Effects determination (or equivalent determination for State and/or Candidate Species) Yes No

If No, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

MIGRATORY BIRDS

[Please list out any species encountered and any special provisions/commitments that are applicable.]
None

FARMLAND

1. Does the proposed project occur within an area with Prime farmland? Yes No

If yes, please ensure farmland coordination procedures are completed and Farmland Impact Rating Score is less than or equal to 160. If it is higher than 160, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

AIR

1. If the proposed project is in an ozone non-attainment area or maintenance area, is it included in a conforming regional transportation plan (RTP?) Yes No N/A

If No, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

2. Is the proposed project either exempt or not a project of air quality concern for PM2.5? Yes No N/A

If No, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

3. Is the proposed project either exempt or would not have meaningful potential Mobile Source Air Toxic (MSAT) effects? Yes No

If No, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

4. Will the proposed project cause federal ambient air quality standards to be exceeded? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

NOISE

1. Will the proposed project exceed federal noise abatement criteria (23 CFR 772, Table 1)? Yes No N/A

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

2. Will the proposed project have substantial changes in noise levels relative to the no-build condition established in GDOT Policy? Yes No N/A

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

COASTAL

1. Is a US Coast Guard Permit required? Yes No

If Yes, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

2. Is the project consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Plan (as determined by the appropriate federal and/or state agency?) Yes No N/A

If No, the project is not eligible for processing under terms of the June 2008 PCE Agreement.

PREPARED BY (Planner): Spetha Date 01/20/12

REVIEWED BY: Murdoch Date 1.23.12

APPROVED BY: Richard Johnson Date 1/23/12
[Section Chief (or Higher Title) Signature for QC/QA]

cc: PCE Files [Please add to the PCE spreadsheet]

Please ensure the following items (at a minimum and as applicable) are included in the PCE Project File

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. All Section 106 documentation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 2. Any Required Section 7 documentation | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 3. Early Coordination Letters and Responses | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 4. All Public Involvement Information (including but not limited to: Synopsis, Summary of Comments, Public Comments, Responses to Comments, etc.) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 5. Approved Concept Report | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 6. Air Quality Analysis | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 7. Noise Assessment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 8. Special Provisions | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| 9. Ecology Assessment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |

ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS TABLE

P#: 0010751 | County: District 3 | Date Updated: 01.20.12 | Stage: PCE
 Date on Plans Reviewed by OES: NA

These commitments are feasible.
(must be checked at all stages)

Project Manager (PM) _____

PM Signature/Date _____

Plans incorporate the commitments.
(must be checked to certify for letting)

Engineer of Record (EOR) _____

EOR Signature/Date _____

Air/Noise _____

Eco _____

NEPA _____

Arch _____

Hist _____

No Commitments 

A. Resources to be Delineated on the Plans and/or Listed in the Environmental Resource Impact Table (ERIT)

Resource Name	Additional Information (refer to the SP, plan note, design feature, permit, variance, commitment, etc...)	Name and Date of Report or Transmittal	Plan Sheet	ERIT	Correctly Shown?
None					No

B. Special Provisions (Attach all special provisions to the commitments table, if available)

Special Provision	Purpose	Est. Cost	SP's Latest Date
None			

C. Plan Notes and Design Features (Description: For plan notes, provide exact wording in "quotes" and approximate location)

Purpose	Description	Est. Cost	Correctly Shown?
None			

D. Permits, Buffer Variances and Mitigation Credits

Permit, Variance, etc	Additional Information (permit details, number of credits needed, etc...)	Est. Cost	Acquired?
None			

E. Other Commitments or Requirements (Status: Pre- and Post - Complete or Incomplete; During - Signature Req'd)

Pre-, During, or Post	Commitment	Responsible party	Est. Cost	Status
None				

Total Estimated Cost: 0

If Project is Complete or Under Construction, Area or Construction Engineer affirms that all Special Provisions, Plan Notes and During Construction Commitments were or are being adhered to during the project's construction.

Please Print Name and Title: _____ Signature: _____ Date: _____ Please provide an explanation if unable to sign.